#### EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

+ + + + +

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

+ + + + +

MEETING

THURSDAY
AUGUST 13, 2020

+ + + + +

The Board of Directors convened via webinar at 10:00 a.m., Kimberly A. Reed, President and Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT

KIMBERLY A. REED, Chairman SPENCER BACHUS, III, Director JUDITH DELZOPPO PRYOR, Director

STAFF PRESENT

MOLLY CONWAY, Deputy General Counsel
JIM CRUSE, Senior Vice President of the Office
of Policy Analysis and International
Relations, EXIM Bank

JAMES FLETCHER, Help Desk Analyst
DAVID SLADE, Senior Vice President and General
Counsel

KEN TINSLEY, Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer, EXIM Bank

LISA TERRY, Senior Vice President and Chief Ethics Officer, EXIM Bank NICOLE WHARTON, Senior Counsel, EXIM Bank

#### ALSO PRESENT:

DICK ALDRICH, Executive Chairman, PEFCO

MARY BUSH, PEFCO

TIM DUNNE, President and Chief Executive
Officer, PEFCO

VERONIQUE DE RUGY, Senior Research Fellow,
Mercatus Center

STACEY FACTER, Senior Vice President, Bankers
Association for Finance and Trade

BENJAMIN FRIEDMAN, PEFCO

PATRICK GANG, Head of Export and Agency Finance,
Bank of America

STEPHEN GREENE, Chief Operating Officer,
American Trade Finance

GORDON HOUGH, Senior Vice President, PEFCO

HARRY KAPLAN, American Trade Finance

RAJ NANDKUMAR, Senior Vice President and Treasurer, PEFCO

### CONTENTS

# I. Open:

 Stakeholder presentations regarding the request to renew EXIM's partnership with Private Export Funding Corporation (PEFCO)

Jim Cruse	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.12
Dick Aldrich	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.19
Tim Dunne	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.24
Gordon Hough	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.25
Raj Nandkumar .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.35
Veronique De Rugy	7	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.40
Stacey Facter .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.46
Patrick Gang	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.48
Stephen Greene.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.53
Nicole Wharton.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.57
Lisa Terry	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.63
Ken Tinsley	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.64
Questions	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	.74

# II. Closed: None

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S							
2	10:02 a.m.							
3	CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you, James.							
4	Good morning. This is Chairman Kimberly Reed. I							
5	would like to welcome everyone in attendance this							
6	morning. I call the meeting to order. May I							
7	have a motion to consider item number 1 on the							
8	agenda?							
9	DIRECTOR BACHUS: This is Director							
10	Bachus. I make a motion to consider item number							
11	1, stakeholder presentation regarding the request							
12	to renew EXIM's partnership with the Private							
13	Export Funding Corporation, PEFCO.							
14	CHAIRMAN REED: Is there a second? Is							
15	there a second, Director Pryor?							
16	DIRECTOR BACHUS: I think, Chairman							
17	Reed, you could also second the motion.							
18	CHAIRMAN REED: Okay. I hope Director							
19	Pryor is on the phone with us. She may be on							
20	mute. So Director Bachus, thank you. I think we							
21	should wait for Director Pryor.							
22	DIRECTOR PRYOR: Hi. This is Director							

Pryor. I was having problems with my computer.

I'm back. I'm here. And good morning, everyone.

I second the motion, Chairman Reed.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you, Judith.

It's so nice to have you with us. Okay. Thank
you. This morning we are holding a public
meeting to hear from stakeholders regarding
possible renewal of EXIM's agreement with PEFCO.

I was born in 1971 and PEFCO was established in 1970, and I would say there has never been a public review of this 50-year-old agreement between EXIM and PEFCO. I feel that it is time that we have a very thoughtful review. I have promised the Congress that we would increase transparency, and this review of our relationship with PEFCO is a prime example of that commitment. In fact, yesterday, our leg. affairs team did a briefing with the Congress, and I do not see any of them on the call with us today, but if they are in attendance, we appreciate their focus on this topic.

But I also want to say thank you to

the more than 100 registrants who are here today for a very thoughtful and in-depth listening session and discussion. We have a very lengthy agenda. I wish we could have had more people come but of course, we are all telecommuting, and so our agenda is quite full. But we welcome and invite anyone to submit public comments through the Federal Register. And you can find the press release on our website talking about that.

When I was sworn in to head EXIM by President Trump in May of 2019, he told me to do great things and lead EXIM to new heights so that the world will see more products stamped with those four beautiful words, Made in the USA. And I know that we're very focused on job creation for our country, which is never more important than it is today at this time of COVID. But we also want to protect the taxpayer and follow the And so for those of you who are new to EXIM law. and how follow the law, the law is in our charter that you can find on our website. But our main charge is that we support U.S. jobs, and our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

primary function is expanding United States exports through fully competitive financing.

annual report to Congress on global export credit competition. And I would like to point everyone to this report. I am so pleased to have worked now for more than a year with Director Bachus and Director Pryor on bringing good reforms and transformations to our agency, and there's more work to do. But at the same time, we were reauthorized for a historic seven years.

President Trump asked for 10 years, and Congress, on December 20, 2019, sent a bill to the President for 7 years, the longest in EXIM's 86-year history. And I want to thank everyone who was engaged in this very thoughtful process.

As part of this new congressional mandate, Congress has asked us to focus on advancing the United States comparative leadership in the world and neutralize China as we have seen what they have done with their export credit agencies to help support things

like their Belt and Road initiatives. When you read this report, you will now appreciate that we have 115 export credit agencies around the world. And EXIM now is back in focus, and we have this new mandate to focus at least 20 percent of our \$135-billion portfolio on this program on China and transformational exports.

Today we're going to be hearing from some key staff and PEFCO and then some outside external stakeholders. They all are going to have important historical background for this audience and for our Board to fully appreciate the role of PEFCO and its agreement with EXIM going forward. I will say that I am committed to increasing transparency, and future efforts will absolutely be focused on that.

So with that, let me just share with you who we have presenting today. From EXIM, we have Jim Cruse, Senior Vice President of the Office of Policy Analysis and International Relations, and on Monday, Jim just celebrated his 50 years of being a public servant at EXIM.

Congratulations again, Jim. And Jim is an institution who will be able to share from the beginning of PEFCO the EXIM perspective.

You'll also be hearing from Ken

Tinsley, our Senior Vice President and Chief Risk

Officer; Lisa Terry, Senior Vice President and

Chief Ethics Officer. I know that my fellow

Board members and I very much appreciated the

opportunity to approve these two very important

functions at EXIM last year. We also have Nicole

Wharton, our Senior Counsel.

With us from PEFCO's Board of
Directors are Dick Aldrich, Executive Chairman of
PEFCO, who will be presenting on behalf of the
PEFCO Board today. Also with us but not
presenting are Mary Bush, Chairman of Bush
International, LLC, and Ben Friedman, William
Joseph Maier Professor of Political Economy at
Harvard University.

Thank you PEFCO Board members for joining us today.

PEFCO staff joining us are Tim Dunne,

President and CEO; Gordon Hough, Senior Vice

President; and Raj Nandkumar, Senior Vice

President and Treasurer. Thank you, PEFCO staff.

Finally, we have our external stakeholders for this very important public meeting. Joining us today are Veronique De Rugy, Senior Research Fellow, the Mercatus Center.

Veronique, thank you, again, for coming back now to EXIM for the third time. And as you noted at our October 25 EXIM Advisory Board meeting, PEFCO was something that our Board should take a look at. So thank you for planting that seed. We had that thought underway, but we will have a very good discussion today.

Also, we have Stacey Facter, Senior
Vice President, the Bankers Association for
Finance and Trade; Patrick Gang, Head of Export
and Agency Finance for Bank of America; and
Stephen Green, Chief Operating Officer for
American Trade Finance. Also joining us from
American Trade Finance is CEO Harry Kaplan,
though he is not a panelist today. Thank you

again to our external stakeholders.

EXIM Advisory Committee has established a subcommittee being chaired by Larry Goodman of the Center for Financial Stability. I know that we have that advisory committee subcommittee listening in today, and thank you for that. And then also any other EXIM Advisory Board or Sub-Saharan Advisory Committee members that we have on the call today, thank you.

So as we begin, if you would each please summarize your remarks in no more than five minutes. My fellow Board members and I will then ask questions of you at the conclusion of all remarks.

And again, thank you, Director Bachus and Director Pryor for taking notes throughout these presentations so we will then be able to have a robust conversation at the conclusion.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN REED: I now invite Jim Cruse

to -- thank you -- I now invite Jim Cruse to

provide an overview of EXIM's relationship with PEFCO. Jim, please introduce yourself for the record and begin your five minutes of remarks.

And I would also ask that we have a timekeeper on the call to please interject if we do exceed the five minutes for each of our panelists. Thank you.

Thank you, Madam MR. CRUSE: Okay. I am Jim Cruse, Senior Vice President Chairman. for Policy Analysis and International Relations at the Bank and have been for some years. I am sort of the person responsible for setting the scene of this unprecedented and unique exercise in transparency. And to do that, I have, one, prepared the overview memo that you see, which quickly goes through the history of PEFCO, what it is, what the issue is in front of us, and sort of their evolution of roles over the past 50 years.

However, given the fact that we are all hear today to listen to a variety of comments about the benefits, possible costs and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

considerations of PEFCO, I thought it would be useful for me to make three observations and perspectives that I hope will help you, as a Board, in cutting through all the comments and getting to the core of what it is that you need to evaluate. These comments reflect the experience I've had the past few months in preparing some 17 briefing members on PEFCO as well as my own history with PEFCO, which goes back to the late 1970s when I first became involved.

All right. So let me identify the three aspects. The first is one needs a clear definition of the technical role PEFCO plays.

PEFCO is not a bank, and I'm going to go through the aspects of this nature of its role to make it clear what one can associate with its role and what associates, really, with EXIM Bank.

Secondly, I think it's important to understand the character of PEFCO. Now it may sound strange to talk about a personalization aspect such as character with an entity -- a

corporate entity that has, in a sense, no soul, but what I hope to communicate is that this one does have some soul.

And third, I think it's key for you to understand exactly what it is that you're being asked to evaluate so that you can separate the wheat from the chaff.

So on the first point, what is PEFCO's technical role, it is important to differentiate the separate roles that, for example, a bank plays. A bank can originate a transaction. bank can evaluate and take credit risks. can provide funding, and a bank can hold an asset through maturity. However, PEFCO cannot do all of those roles. It cannot originate a transaction. It does not have the staff for that. It cannot evaluate credit nor assume credit risk. It is simply a funder. It can fund and it can hold an asset to maturity. important to differentiate that, because there are four components of that that you will find some confusion about as we go through the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

testimony today.

The first is that all comments relating to the buyers and the exporters and the associations that they form with good or bad things really all belong on EXIM Bank's shoulders. They do not belong on PEFCO's. PEFCO can only fund what EXIM first approves as a credit.

Secondly, the risk that PEFCO takes is not on credit. It is on the liability side. It is on the borrowing cost being less than the lending cost. And anybody who thinks this isn't a risk just needs to talk to any savings and loan executive -- of course, there are none of them left -- from the 80s or from anybody from Lehman Brothers in terms of the issue of funding risk.

The third is that this role is a relatively narrow one, but it has been one that PEFCO has stayed with through waxing and waning over the 50 years. It has not wandered. It has not tried to add to its list of objectives. It has stuck to its knitting.

And fourth, that role today is critical. In the post financial crisis and Basel III-dominated world of export finance, every ECA has had to go find a funding, asset holding source, because in today's world, the banks can no longer do that as a regular matter. And so we're lucky enough to have already had such an entity. We have developed its attributes over the past 10 years, but I guarantee you if we didn't have it, we would have to create it or EXIM Bank would have to take it on itself, both of which have consequences. So I hope it is clear exactly what PEFCO does and its importance today.

The second thing is what is PEFCO's character. Okay. Why am I talking about character? It is important to note that PEFCO was created at a unique time in the history of the role of U.S. exports. It was of strategic importance in the late 60s, early 70s and stayed that way until President Nixon took us off the gold standard. So it was a unique time when it was created. It was created for an unprecedented

purpose, to create a private sector alternative to direct lending. And it was an untested mechanism.

So given all that, you had an amazingly rapid coordination and consensus among various U.S. agencies, Justice, Treasury, Budget, the Fed, the Hill, to allow the creation of the entity that we call PEFCO. So there was no accident. EXIM did not act alone. The monopoly situation that does exist was one that was created with full transparency.

The second thing is that the banks and exporters that joined PEFCO to provide equity funding did so in the sense of every bank that did export finance at that time basically all joined hands and said, we have no idea what we're about to do, so we're all going to jump into the abyss together and hope that the combination of us provides a benefit. It's more like venture capital than it was actually an investment.

There was no real prospect of direct returns from the money that they put in. There was big risk

and a large possibility of failure. Yes, they hoped to benefit from the improved situation in U.S. exports, but to do that, their institutions would have to be competitive enough.

MR. FLETCHER: Excuse me, Mr. Cruse.

You have reached your five minutes. If you could please conclude your statements shortly so we can move to the next presenter.

MR. CRUSE: Okay. So the third aspect is that the thing to look for is that there are invaluable benefits to PEFCO, but there are things that we pay for. We pay for it with a special guarantee and claims. Your job is to evaluate the benefits, how broad, how deep, and to see if the costs and extra aspects that are associated justify those. It's not that what we get is free. We have to pay something. Is what we pay worth what we get?

So I hope that overview helps you to cut through all the comments and evaluate what you see. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much,

Mr. Cruse. I also want to acknowledge that we have now received RSVPs, so likely on our call are staff from Senator Toomey, Senator Lee, Senator Cruz, and Senator Sasse as well as staff from the U.S. State Department and the Federal Reserve. And Jim, I guess, just underscore again, the Federal Reserve was involved in the creation of PEFCO with EXIM as well as other agencies back many years ago.

With that, I now invite Dick Aldrich, Executive Chairman of PEFCO to speak. Welcome, Dick.

MR. ALDRICH: Thank you, Chairman Reed. On behalf of all of us at PEFCO, our thanks to you and the dedicated EXIM staff for organizing this meeting and for this invitation to speak in connection with PEFCO's request for the renewal of its guarantee and credit agreement.

Before I begin my brief remarks, I want to extend our congratulations to Jim Cruse on his commendation for 50 years of exceptional

service to EXIM.

Now as Chairman Reed said, I'm the Executive Chairman of PEFCO. I've had the privilege of being associated with PEFCO and its mission of supporting EXIM as a supplemental lender in the financing of U.S. exports since 1980, initially as a lawyer at an outside law firm until 1997 when I was elected to the Board of Directors and served as a member of the Executive Committee and Chairman of the Compensation Committee.

To clarify a question about my
position that's been raised and I've been asked
to respond to, I was elected a Lead Director in
2009. In 2017, as PEFCO's financial difficulties
increased, I was asked by the Board of Directors
to assume the position of Executive Chairman to
increase the frequency of communication between
PEFCO and the Board. Technically, under our
bylaws, that's when I ceased to be the Lead
Director. I would note that we will be
nominating a new Lead Director at the Nominating

and Governance Committee to be scheduled for next week. And the Lead Director will come from one of our members of the Executive Committee. I would note that PEFCO has three unaffiliated independent directors on our Board who are all members of the Executive Committee, Mary Bush, Ben Friedman, Dr. Rita Rodriguez, and we are joined by Bill Rhodes, who is also a member, and the other members include Tim Dunne and myself.

I've also been asked to comment
briefly on the fiduciary duties of PEFCO
directors. PEFCO is a Delaware corporation and
as such, its members are subject to the duty of
loyalty and care under the General Corporation
Law of Delaware and relevant case law. In the
PEFCO context, there is, however, an important
aspect of these director duties that deserves
special mention: conflicts of interest. In the
case of conflicts of interest, PEFCO Board
candidates and directors are required to disclose
to the Nominating Committee -- Nominating and
Corporate Governance Committee any matters which

would interfere with his or her ability to discharge their duties as a director.

Prior to their election, candidates for the PEFCO Board are reviewed by PEFCO for and are also required to vet with their own legal counsel any potential conflict of interest issues.

Importantly, in PEFCO's case, candidates that are directly involved in or have oversight responsibilities for lending decisions in conjunction with PEFCO financing are not considered free of conflicts of interest.

In addition, it's important to note that discussions of pricing and confidential information on PEFCO loans is prohibited at Board meetings. Once elected, new directors also go through orientation with PEFCO on their responsibilities and duties as directors.

In the time remaining, I'd like to close with some comments on the guarantee and credit agreement. The guarantee and credit agreement guarantees the interest coupon on

PEFCO's long-term secured notes, which are its principal funding source; and as a result, by virtue of an SEC no action relief, these securities are exempt from registration which permits PEFCO to obtain wider investor distribution and also attractive terms.

The agreement is also regarded as a fundamental -- fundamental to the EXIM and PEFCO relationship and has significant importance attached to it by the rating agencies of PEFCO, which are rating PEFCO triple A, and to the lenders of PEFCO's backstopped credit facilities. At the same time, the agreement gives EXIM important oversight over PEFCO's major financial decisions and other matters including, importantly, restrictions on PEFCO's engagements and any other business from the financing of U.S. exports, and it also gives EXIM the right to attend all PEFCO Board meetings.

With the confirmation of Chairman Reed, Director Bachus, and Director Pryor, and with a 7-year charter authorization behind it,

PEFCO is ramping up its financing for U.S. 1 2 exports in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic, and lenders are increasingly requesting PEFCO's 3 4 financial support for EXIM Bank-quaranteed 5 transactions including supply chain and working capital as well as other initiatives. As it did 6 7 in the 2008 financial crisis, PEFCO is eager to 8 However, in order for PEFCO to assist EXIM. remain a viable business and to continue its 9 mission in support of EXIM as a supplemental 10 11 lender of U.S. exports, PEFCO is requesting that 12 the guarantee and credit agreement be renewed. 13 Thank you again, Chairman Reed, for 14 this opportunity. 15 Thank you very much, CHAIRMAN REED: 16 Dick. I now invite Tim Dunne, Gordon Hough, and 17 Raj Nandkumar of PEFCO to provide a brief primer. 18 Please introduce yourselves for the record and 19 begin your remarks. 20 MR. DUNNE: Thank you, Chairman Reed.

President and CEO of PEFCO. I am pleased to be

This is -- my name is Timothy Dunne.

21

22

I'm the

presenting with Raj Nandkumar and Gordon Hough in providing an overview of who we are and what we I just want to add a few comments myself and do. what I see the role for PEFCO. I came to PEFCO back in 2005, joining as Treasurer and then eventually becoming President and CEO. original attraction to joining PEFCO was really to support what the mission was and what the overall effort is to support the export loan I think that the features that you'll see in the presentation are quite interesting and illustrative on what we do, where we came from, and how we fund ourselves. And I gladly now turn over to Gordon Hough to start the presentation for you, which should be up on the screen.

MR. HOUGH: Yes. Thank you, Tim. I'm going to run through a couple of slides fairly quickly, but we very much, all of us here at PEFCO, look forward to the Q&A session at the end.

By way of introduction, as I think Tim may have mentioned, I'm in charge of the lending

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

activities of PEFCO, have been with PEFCO for nearly the last seven years. Prior to that, I spent over 25 years in the banking community, a variety of different banks, always focused on trade, structured trade, project finance activity. Much of my personal time was involved with export credit agencies and EXIM Bank.

who we are, presumably everybody on the call has a pretty good understanding, but I do think it's worth noting and pointing out, as Chairman Reed indicated, we've been around for 50 years. The drivers behind the organization of PEFCO were the primary constituents in the export finance marketplace at the time, including EXIM Bank. As Dick Aldrich mentioned, EXIM Bank participates in our board meetings on a regular basis. They oversee all of our activities. It's been a very, very solid partnership over the years and a partnership which I think everybody at PEFCO greatly values.

One of the points down below, PEFCO

shareholders, among them are 26 different commercial banks. Most all of those banks have been shareholders from the get go. There were a couple added in 2008 but by and large, it's the original group. And it's interesting, I think, to note that only a portion of those 26 banks, probably roughly around half, I would say, are actually active in the EXIM Bank marketplace and have dialogues with EXIM Bank.

As far as our mission and objectives, again, I think this is pretty much self-evident, but it's to support EXIM's financing activities.

PEFCO partners with lenders to bring supplemental liquidity to the market. Jim Cruse said it very clearly, PEFCO is prohibited from marketing for loan transactions. Rather we work through the lending community, lending partners.

We are not in a position to chase transactions on our own. We very often become aware of transactions in the market from previous clients of PEFCO's who have repeat acquisitions from the U.S., they intend to use EXIM Bank. They want to

consider availing themselves to PEFCO's funding, but we tell them we cannot bid to them directly, we work through the banking community.

I think in this way, PEFCO serves as something of a catalyst for bank participation in the EXIM financing market.

I know some of the -- a number of the banks would not be in a position to continue to bid on transactions if they didn't have the confidence that they might be able to reposition their portfolios, sell assets, sell loans out of their balance sheet. That's become ever more important with the implementation of Basel III and increased regulatory oversight.

perco does rely on EXIM for its loan guarantees and engages in transactions that are only -- have already been approved by EXIM Bank credit review. We in no way influence the underwriting process. We get brought in well after that has taken place. I think it's important to note that when PEFCO is making their services, their liquidity available to the

market, we do so on a non-discriminatory basis, and to all lenders, potential arrangers on a level playing field. That is to say that we regularly are approached by multiple banks on the same transaction. We offer identical terms and conditions to them, and then they compete with the -- with -- in the market with the potential borrower to provide ancillary services and ancillary complementary financing that may be required for the transaction or the project. But PEFCO, it's all consistent amongst all of the -- anyone that approaches us.

The final point on sort of our mission objectives, I think it's important for everyone to understand that PEFCO has been and continues to be open for all of EXIM's Bank's programs under the guarantee structure, you know, so that's it.

On the next slide, it's something of a snapshot of PEFCO's activities. It articulates that there are some \$3.8 billion in outstandings, our current portfolio. That clearly is not

representative of where we would like to be or we would expect to be. That's reflective of the decrease in activity of EXIM Bank over the last four and a half years. We expect that to ramp up. We've got very -- we're very optimistic that it will be, because there's a high level of engagement with the banking community. We've been asked to quote on nearly \$10 billion of loans just in fiscal year 2020. So there's -- we expect that to grow considerably.

We have a geographic representation here of where our exposure is. Personally, I find that interesting. I think a lot of people do, but to be perfectly candid, I think it's fairly irrelevant to PEFCO. We're agnostic about geographies. We basically are here to fund whatever the banks ask us to do.

Now that being said, we're fully aware of the sensitivities of China, and we've got a very large exposure in China. We -- that's, frankly, reflected on the large exposure that EXIM Bank has had in China over the years. So

there's no surprise there.

We -- I would emphasize again, we don't lobby on the transactions that we fund.

Rather we only fund transactions that have been approved by EXIM Bank.

The column on the right-hand side of this slide, you know, identifies the volumes of activities of lending that we've had over the last couple years. Again, this is not terribly reflective of business as usual given the situation EXIM Bank has been through the last several years. We would normally expect to have annual funding of about \$1.5 to \$2 billion, and we're down, you know, at a fraction thereof.

I do think it's important to note that the first box on top of the medium-term commitments, while the trend -- the dollar values are not large, we would argue that it represents a very high percentage of EXIM Bank's authorization in that space. Conversely, in the long-term side, while the dollar values are larger, and particularly in a business as usual

mode would be larger, it would be a fairly modest percentage of EXIM authorization in that segment of the market.

This slide here, the next slide,
basically shows how an EXIM Bank transaction
works and accessing the different silos of
liquidity, if you will. So you could have an
offshore borrower in Mexico or India would
typically work with a commercial bank in the
states to arrange for an EXIM Bank guarantee
against -- or EXIM Bank's support for a
transaction. The borrower then would have four
options in which to effectively fund the loan: a
direct from EXIM Bank, PEFCO capital markets, an
EXIM Bank bond issue, or a bank, you know, a bank
lending balance sheet.

I think it's important for everyone to consider that PEFCO is in no way, shape, or form in the driver's seat here in making that determination. It is really the borrower with -- and the commercial bank or financial advisor for the borrower that is going to drive which source

of liquidity they would access to fund the transaction. You know, you might question well, why would they choose PEFCO. Without question, PEFCO has demonstrated itself as a reliable source of liquidity. Back in the financial crisis and, you know, and on multiple times, in times of stress and market disruptions, we've been able to step up to the plate and fund transactions when banks often were running for the hills.

Oftentimes banks will come to us with transactions that while they might be able and willing to put it on their balance sheet, oftentimes these are large transactions. They have balance sheet -- you know, they eat up capacity. In the current regulatory environment of Basel III, highly rated credit, large assets that are priced very competitively are just not that attractive to the banking community these days. Other times banks will be looking to manage various different limits, whether it be a counter-party limit of a borrower, an industry

limit, or a particular industrial sector, or a country limit. They arrange it, push it over to PEFCO, and they don't have to, you know, dance through that minefield internally of various different limits.

Oftentimes we are approached by foreign banks. The foreign banks are very active in the export finance market, and many of them have limited U.S. dollar liquidity. So PEFCO brings an alternative source of U.S. dollar liquidity that allows them to be competitive in the space.

Finally, I would comment that we are very active with the small nonbank lenders, and that is -- we feel that's absolutely critical, critical to EXIM Bank's support of penetrating and furthering their goals in supporting small business. The bulk of the small -- well, quite a large share of the small business is handled by nonbank lenders. They don't have the capital in the balance sheet to support a level of activity, and they are very, very dependent upon PEFCO.

And you will be hearing from one of those lenders later in the presentation.

That concludes my remarks. I'm going to turn this over to my colleague, Raj Nandkumar on PEFCO's funding and other related issues.

Thank you so much.

MR. NANDKUMAR: Thank you, Gordon.

This is Raj Nandkumar, the Senior Vice President and Treasurer of PEFCO. I also want to thank the EXIM Board including Chairman Reed for giving us the opportunity to present.

I've been with PEFCO for nearly eight years now in the treasury capacity and prior to that, I was in the trading desk at a couple of Wall Street banks trading mortgage-backed securities. And prior to that, I spent some time in venture capital and in management consulting.

Looking at the slide in terms of how
PEFCO funds itself, the way we operate is
actually quite simple. We use short-term debts
that have commercial paper and unsecured mediumterm notes to fund transactions until we can gain

liquidity, long-term liquidity through funding using either the collateralized notes program or the secured notes program. The typical tenure for warehousing loans is anywhere from a few weeks to a few months.

All of these funding programs are capital market based. The difference is the commercial paper, medium-term notes and the collateralized notes, commercial paper and medium-term notes don't have underlying assets that are pledged as eligible collateral, whereas the collateralized notes and the secured notes do, as you can see towards the middle of that screen.

In terms of the difference between the collateralized notes and the secured notes, the collateralized notes consist of EXIM Bank's loan with the standard guarantee which is available to all commercial lenders, and the secured notes comprised of an EXIM Bank loan that has a 1971 Guarantee. And there are some criteria as you will see with the 1971 Guarantee including PEFCO

needs to be the servicer of the loan. PEFCO needs to own the loan note, and PEFCO should be able to file a claim directly with EXIM Bank.

As I mentioned, you know, there isn't really a risk to EXIM Bank for three of the four funding programs that PEFCO has, because they are a capital market-based solution. The commercial paper and medium-term note we issue, under the 4(a)(2), 3(a)3 and 144A or Regulation S program, and we issue two underwriting banks directly to investors.

The collateralized notes is also a market based solution.

As far as the secured notes go, on which EXIM Bank provides a coupon guarantee, EXIM Bank has a minimal risk, because there are criteria that cover who can engage in that funding, including EXIM Bank needs to approve, exclusively approve of any issuance, and this is an annual approval.

MR. FLETCHER: Excuse me.

MR. NANDKUMAR: As well as there is a

contingency reserve account.

MR. FLETCHER: Excuse me, Mr.

Nandkumar, you have reached the end of your five
minutes. If you could please conclude your
statement shortly so we can move on to the next
presenter.

MR. NANDKUMAR: Thank you. Let's focus on our next slide in terms of why various constituents in the EXIM space need PEFCO.

PEFCO's existence is critical for the EXIM space, for EXIM Bank, we crowd in bank participation, as Gordon said, and we are critical for the mediumterm products. We are the lender of last resort for many of them.

For the commercial banks that want balance sheet relief or have liquidity constraints, we are able to -- they can't pitch transactions, many of them, and they need PEFCO as a backstop.

We are especially critical to the small business lenders. They need PEFCO financing to remain viable, and they are very

much attracted to PEFCO's simplicity, ease of use, and the service.

And the capital markets have their own dynamics. The markets are volatile. There's limited demand for floating rate products, and they can't handle multiple disbursement periods associated with project transactions.

So with that, I am going to -- the last slide is basically self-evident. We are looking to renew the 1971 Guarantee and Credit Agreement, and there are also standard operating procedures with EXIM Bank that govern and dictate how we can operate. With that, I turn it back to Chairman Reed. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much, and before we continue on, I just want to underscore for all of the listeners, I just heard PEFCO talk about China, and I just want to be sure that everyone knows that we have this new legislative mandate. I will point you to Section 408 where we consult with the Department of State regarding transactions in excess of \$25 million

where the end user, lender, or obligor is the government of China, and it also requires us to report these transactions to Congress. So just wanting to underscore from today going forward.

With that, I would like to ask

Veronique de Rugy, to please introduce yourself

for the record and begin your five minutes of

remarks. Thank you.

MS. De RUGY: Thank you, Chairman Reed. Hi, everyone. My name is Veronique de Rugy. I'm a Senior Research Fellow at the Mercatus Center at George Mason University.

I'm here today to express how saddened I am to hear that the members of the Board of Directors of the Export-Import Bank are ready to renew their partnership with PEFCO. Member of Congress has never reviewed this monopoly since it was set up 50 years ago in spite of taxpayers' exposure. It lacks transparency, and it fails all serious tests of additionality. As such, 25-year renewal of PEFCO would be at odds with Chairman Reed's commitment to be a reformer.

Rolling over a half-century entity like PEFCO for another quarter century without changing a thing other than actually raising its taxpayer-backed guarantee is no reform. It's business as usual.

This hearing is supposed to be a review of PEFCO, and while I truly appreciate the chance you have given me today to be here as a critic, I regret that I'm the only independent scholar and non-special interest on this panel.

So let's start.

I have heard, including today, PEFCO proponent make arguments in favor of it that make little sense. For instance, we just heard that PEFCO helps small businesses, but according to PEFCO's own annual report, small business lending accounts for less than 4 percent of PEFCO's portfolio. The only thing small about this is the size of this number. PEFCO is an entity that is created to serve EXIM's potentially-connected beneficiaries, and there are no better connected beneficiaries of EXIM's activities than Boeing. And you wouldn't be surprised to hear that 86

percent of PEFCO's portfolio is aircraft.

Worth mentioning here, too, 19 percent of the portfolio is China and Hong Kong, which should give pause to the congressional staff listening since whether it's PEFCO's or EXIM's fault, EXIM has a congressional mandate to give special vetting to loans to China.

Second argument is that PEFCO is necessary to crowd in the private sector to provide export financing. So what argument we hear is that it makes credit and liquidity available during a financial crisis. And that would be an okay argument if its role was temporary and limited to financial crisis, but it's not. In fact, PEFCO's role in the market is expanded after the great recession ended, and as global market, we cover crowding out, the risk-taking commercial lenders.

EXIM also argues, and we've heard it on this call many times, that Basel III banking regulations make PEFCO necessary. I dislike regulation as much as the next free market

person, but this does not hold water. PEFCO is dealing exclusively in loans that carry 100 percent government guarantee, and it takes no risk, which it says itself in its annual report. In reality, PEFCO does the opposite of its claim, and I'm happy to talk about this during the Q&A.

Risk-taking commercial banks are crowded out of a normal risk-taking role. The crowding out is done by PEFCO, which reduces commercial lenders to the role of originators of government loans.

Now, let me tell you what PEFCO is.

It is a quintessential case of EXIM's selfdealing and cronyism. So on the self-dealing,
the same banks that sell their EXIM guarantee

loans to PEFCO are the banks that own PEFCO. Its
consumers are its owners. I'm sure there's a lot
of rationale for it submitted to the general
public and members of Congress, and it will be
stopped. The large corporation exporters,
including Boeing and GE, that accounts for the
bulk of EXIM's financing also are among PEFCO's

shareholders; 86 percent of the underlying assets of EXIM guaranteed loans are PEFCO acquired, are in the aircraft.

Also, by the way, Boeing Senior VP of Finance and Treasurer is on the PEFCO's Board of Directors, so the cronyism. PEFCO is a privately-controlled institution with the exclusive rights to its guarantee arrangement with EXIM. It's a monopoly. As far as we are aware, there has been no bidding process or any other transparent mechanism for PEFCO to retain this exclusive benefit. PEFCO can pay dividends to its commercial shareholders even though the entity takes zero risk. Again, it's annual report says so.

So what should happen now? Well, in my opinion, it should not be renewed, period. I mean let's remember that economic crises or not, without PEFCO, EXIM still would be available to guarantee U.S. export transactions, but at a minimum, PEFCO's exclusive benefit should not be extended for another 25 years and without

competition. PEFCO should be renewed for no more than one year and at the end of which, Congress should evaluate PEFCO's usefulness in the context of economic conditions at the time with an eye --

MR. FLETCHER: Excuse me, Ms. de Rugy, you have reached your five minutes. If you could pleas conclude your statement shortly, so we can move on to the next presenter.

MS. De RUGY: Yes. I'm going to repeat that sentence, which is my last sentence. So -- because I want this to be clear. PEFCO should be renewed for no more than one year, at the end of which Congress should evaluate PEFCO's usefulness in the context of economic conditions at the time and with an eye towards steering risk-taking back to the commercial entities that profit from its activity. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much,
Veronique. We really appreciate that. Again, I
want to underscore the deals with China were
under a previous administration before we had a
program on China. So thank you for that. I now

would like to call on Stacey Facter. Please introduce yourself for the record and begin your five minutes of remarks.

MS. FACTER: Hi. I am Stacey Facter, the SVP for Trade Products at BAFT, Bankers
Association for Finance and Trade, an international trade association. Chairman Reed and members of the Board, I want to thank you for the invitation to speak today on behalf of BAFT's member banks.

BAFT's Structure Trade Export Finance
Committee covers EXIM and other structured trade
and export finance programs. It consists of more
than 35 banks of all sizes, smaller, regional,
global, including U.S. and non-U.S. banks. When
I put out the question about providing comments
on this Federal Register notice, we received
overwhelming support for submitting a comment
letter and highly positive feedback for
continuing and maintaining the partnership with
PEFCO. I am pleased to confirm that we submitted
our comment letter yesterday, and it is now a

matter of public record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Highlights of the letter include, as Mr. Cruse mentioned earlier, PEFCO does not have origination capabilities and, therefore, does not compete with the banks. It provides increased liquidity, particularly important in a post-COVID environment. It allows for U.S. exporters of all sizes to better compete with foreign suppliers that are generally supported by and even funded by their less conservative ECAs. importantly, it provides easier access to institutional investors, allowing for globallycompetitive pricing, providing a place to distribute assets in a Basel III, capitalconstrained and risk-rated asset environment.

And, finally, given their expertise,

PEFCO adds value from a transaction structure

perspective for banks, for transactors, for

exporters, and especially for the small- and

medium-sized exporters. Therefore, BAFT and its

members are highly support of EXIM Bank and its

partners, including its essential partnership

with PEFCO to ensure a fully-functioning and highly-competitive export credit agency in the USA to support U.S. exports and U.S. stocks.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much,
Ms. Facter. Now we will call on Patrick Gang.
Please introduce yourself for the record and
begin your five minutes of remarks.

MR. GANG: Thank you very much,

Chairman Reed, and thank you to yourself and the

rest of the Board members and, of course, the

entire EXIM Bank team for taking the time to

review this important relationship and the

pending renewal.

I'll preface my comments by noting that BofA is a shareholder of PEFCO and we also provide banking services to PEFCO. My role here at Bank of America is that I am the Global Head of Export Finance, so I look after all of our geographies and various export credit agencies around the world. So I certainly have a unique position to be able to comment on competition and

the various structures that make up ECA financing and how that fits into what you, as EXIM Bank, is doing.

So I'll be referencing several of the key messages in the August 12th published BAFT letter on this topic, which we do feel certainly represents the majority sentiment across the banking community. As previously mentioned, PEFCO's mission is to provide supplemental liquidity beyond traditional commercial bank We believe PEFCO plays an important lenders. role in facilitating incremental U.S. dollars to EXIM financing, particularly during times of challenging credit cycles like we're in now. This enables American exporters and their respective importers to receive further price stability and the potential to obtain more competitive financing when sourcing U.S. products. Very simply put, the additional liquidity and the additional supplies from PEFCO make U.S. exporters more competitive when financing options are essential to win contracts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

with foreign competition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PEFCO notably, through its secured notes program, expertly mobilizes institutional capital to indirectly invest in EXIM guaranteed financing. To be clear, we feel private sector participation for EXIM financing is actually enhanced with PEFCO involvement since relatively few PEFCO investors through its secured notes program would directly fund EXIM-guaranteed assets due to the normal structural limitation. We feel PEFCO bridges that gap and brings additional supplies to the market. Those new investors that PEFCO brings to the table -- which may result in lowering the prevailing interest rate for EXIM assets -- creates improved economics for EXIM borrowers and our client. In short, the PEFCO mandate supports our goal of providing clients with the most competitive structures available when leveraging EXIM financing.

Additionally, PEFCO has extensive experience structuring and funding EXIM

transactions that support a wide variety of small businesses across the U.S. Some of these entities might have a smaller banking network so certainly, PEFCO deal participation effectively ensures that those competitive funding rates can be transpired across a number of different outlets as opposed to the largest money center banks in the U.S.

It's important to note that PEFCO's role, in the broader ECA landscape, is not unique. Many of the ECAs have similar government-linked conduits that really have been established to ensure price efficiency. And I think that's the important point we want to note today is price efficiency. How can we get to the most important metrics, you know, for borrowers of EXIM products and users of EXIM products.

Some examples internationally included are certainly Swedish Export Credit in Sweden,

Finnish Export Credit, FEC, in Finland, and KFW in Germany. The list is quite long, but I'll just name a few. And certainly -- we certainly

feel that PEFCO has established a model that is really quite effective.

It's also important to note that PEFCO does not compete with commercial banks, and we can say that here today. I think it's been mentioned multiple times that they have no origination capability. We call them, they don't call us in terms of the point of contact, and it's when we think it can be a helpful solution for our client. PEFCO is often used as an important benchmark for transactions or syndications that might constrain lenders' balance sheets because of the regulatory and various Basel issues that have already been mentioned. So we do certainly feel that PEFCO crowds in other investors and other potential participants to really enable streamlined execution.

So with all that being said,
considering liquidity in a credit-challenged
environment created by COVID-19, the EXIM-PEFCO
partnership and PEFCO's funding capacity becomes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

even more important to navigate the uncertain credit cycle ahead.

Once again, thank you all for the time today, and I would be happy to talk through any other questions at the end of the call. Thank you, Chairman Reed.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much

Patrick, very much appreciate all of our external

participants. And the final one is Stephen

Greene. Please introduce yourself for the record

and begin your five minutes of remarks.

MR. GREENE: Good morning. This is

Steve Greene from ATRAFIN, American Trade

Finance. ATRAFIN is a U.S. finance company that

operates as a specialized lender from our base in

Connecticut. We have a master guarantee

agreement with EXIM Bank. We focus on medium
term loans for foreign buyers of U.S. capital

goods and services. ATRAFIN has been doing this

for 15 years.

We fill an important gap in the market. Most of the U.S. exporters we support

are U.S. small businesses. The projects we finance are very important to their success, and this business is their path to growth. We finance projects that typically range from \$250,000.00 up to \$10 million. These projects are too small for the larger international banks. Additionally, the U.S. exporters are simply unable to take this risk, especially for five years or more in very challenging markets. Local bank financing is difficult for these buyers to obtain and very limited both in amount and in term. This presents a major obstacle for their ability to buy a U.S.-made machine.

With the changes in the economy due to COVID, credit is tighter than ever all over the world, and especially in emerging markets. And the financing supported by EXIM Bank is, therefore, more important than ever before. A project's refinance, despite being small, can be quite complicated and require specialized knowledge to successfully fund.

Then there's the location of these

foreign buyers. Many of the foreign buyers, we find, it's a location in sub-Saharan Africa, some in the Middle East, Eastern Europe, and Southeast Asia in addition to Latin America. We have a strong track record in financing these countries. To name a few countries, we have financed transactions recently in Zambia, Gabon, Nigeria, Kenya, Mauritius, Tanzania, Ukraine, Sri Lanka, Cambodia, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, India, Paraguay, and many more.

These are critical orders for the U.S. exporters to win. Financing is often the key advantage that enables the U.S. exporters to win the order. U.S. exporters are typically facing very tough foreign competition. A lot from China and also from Europe, the foreign competition offers financing, and if the U.S. companies do not, they will lose the business.

As you can see, ATRAFIN fills this gap in the market for these smaller complicated financing transactions in challenging markets that's so important to this U.S. exporter.

That brings us to PEFCO, Private Export Funding Corporation. ATRAFIN is not a bank. We are a finance company. Unlike the large banks, we don't have a traditional bank balance sheet. We don't have funding in the form of deposits. PEFCO makes what we do possible. Ι have been working with PEFCO for about 25 years. They are not and have never been competitive with us in any way. The reality is exactly the PEFCO is what makes us competitive. opposite. PEFCO is what allows us to fill this critical gap in the market that allows the U.S. exporters to grow and compete. When we work with PEFCO, we are able to offer competitive rates to the buyers of U.S. capital goods and services, so that's a big support to these small business exporters.

Behind the scenes, PEFCO is quietly enabling small business U.S. exporters to be competitive against their foreign competition.

If PEFCO is not renewed, U.S. exporters will lose business to their foreign competition. As a result of this, ATRAFIN strongly supports the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

renewal of PEFCO for another 25 years. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much,
Mr. Greene and again, I want to say thank you to
our four presenters from stakeholder groups. And
now we're going to turn back to our EXIM staff.
Nicole Wharton, I invite you to present remarks
on behalf of EXIM's Office of General Counsel.
Please introduce yourself for the record and
begin your five minutes of remarks.

MS. WHARTON: Thank you, Chairman Reed. Good morning. This is Nicole Wharton, Senior Counsel in the Office of General Counsel. As has been stated, PEFCO is seeking to have the EXIM Board of Directors renew the relationship between EXIM and PEFCO. That relationship is governed primarily by three agreements, the Guarantee and Credit Agreement, the 1971 Guarantee Agreement, and the Standard Operating Procedures, the SOPs. I will provide a brief overview of these three documents.

The Guarantee and Credit Agreement is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

one of the two foundational agreements that established the relationship between EXIM and PEFCO. It was entered into between EXIM and PEFCO on February 1, 1971 at the inception of the relationship. It was renewed on April 8, 1994 and will expire on December 31, 2020. PEFCO is seeking a 25-year renewal of this agreement, the same length of time as the original agreement and its 1994 extension.

The Guarantee and Credit Agreement outlines the features and parameters of the EXIM-PEFCO relationship. Its most important element, as has been said before, is EXIM's guarantee of interest payments on PEFCO's secured notes.

In addition to the interest guarantee, the Guarantee and Credit Agreement gives EXIM a broad measure of supervision over PEFCO's operation. Pursuant to this agreement, EXIM approval is needed for PEFCO to engage in a number of activities, including issuing long-term debt of any kind, engaging in business unrelated to financing U.S. exports, and investing surplus

funds in asset types not specified in the agreement.

The Guarantee and Credit Agreement also entitles EXIM to representation at all of PEFCO's Board of Directors and Advisory Board meetings as well as full information regarding PEFCO's budget, financial condition, and operating results.

The second foundational agreement
between EXIM and PEFCO is the Guarantee
Agreement, which was entered into between EXIM
and PEFCO on December 15, 1971, which is
generally referred to as the 1971 Guarantee.
This agreement outlines the guarantee that EXIM
provides to PEFCO on loans, whether these loans
are made by PEFCO directly to the borrower or are
purchased by PEFCO from an EXIM-guaranteed
lender.

The most important element of the 1971

Guarantee is that it permits PEFCO to put the

loan payments from the borrower into a trust to

use as collateral to secure the principal

payments for PEFCO's secured note issuances.

The other important feature of the 1971 Guarantee is expedited claim payment 10 days from PEFCO demand.

The 1971 Guarantee Agreement, unlike the Guarantee and Credit Agreement, does not have an expiration date.

PEFCO is also seeking renewal of the Standard Operating Procedures between EXIM and PEFCO, the third primary agreement governing the relationship. Unlike the 1971 Guarantee and the Guarantee and Credit Agreement, the SOP is not a strictly legal agreement, but rather a statement of intent and expectation. It does not create any legally enforceable rights or obligations.

However, both parties -- EXIM and PEFCO -- agree that failure by either party to materially comply with the terms of the SOP would, in and of itself, be sufficient grounds for the other party to choose to decline to participate in future transactions.

The SOP, in its current form, was

first entered into between EXIM and PEFCO in 2002. However, prior to 2002, there were documents which played a similar role. The role of the SOP is to guide the day-to-day working relationship between EXIM and PEFCO. It serves to build out the legal framework established in the 1971 Guarantee and the Guarantee and Credit Agreement by providing more specificity with respect to PEFCO's functions and EXIM policy regarding these functions.

Primarily, the SOP directs PEFCO to support specific EXIM policy objectives while ensuring that PEFCO complements rather than displays its commercial sources of financing.

Among other things, the SOP details which types of assets are qualified to be placed in the secured notes collateral pool under the 1971 Guarantee.

The SOP is updated and approved periodically by the EXIM Board in order to ensure that PEFCO can operate effectively in whatever the existing market environment is at the time.

It was last amended in October 2019 in order to 1 2 reinstate PEFCO's authority to include EXIMguaranteed floating rate notes purchased on the 3 secondary market in the secured notes collateral 4 pool. 5 This concludes my presentation. 6 Thank 7 you. 8 CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much, 9 Nicole. I now invite Ken Tinsley to provide remarks from an EXIM Bank risk perspective. Ken, 10 11 please introduce yourself for the record and 12 begin your five minutes of remarks. Ken Tinsley? 13 (No response.) 14 Mr. Tinsley? CHAIRMAN REED: 15 Chairman, maybe we DIRECTOR BACHUS: 16 could move on to --17 CHAIRMAN REED: Yes. 18 DIRECTOR BACHUS: -- Lisa ---- we will --19 CHAIRMAN REED: 20 absolutely. So we will come back to Chief Risk 21 Officer Ken Tinsley. We know this is 22 technologically complicated to have these many

people speak at one time. So we will go back to

Ken. I now invite Lisa Terry, EXIM's Chief

Ethics Officer, to provide remarks from an ethics

perspective. Lisa, please introduce yourself for

the record and begin your five minutes of

remarks.

MS. TERRY: Thank you. Good morning. Good morning Chairman Reed, members of the Board of Directors, PEFCO representatives, and stakeholders. My name is Lisa Terry, and I serve as EXIM's Chief Ethics Officer. I was appointed to this position in October 2013 and officially appointed by this Board on May 30, 2019. While EXIM has always had ethics officials, this is the first time EXIM has had a full-time, senior-level ethics officer who reports directly to the Board of Directors.

As part of EXIM's due diligence review for the renewal of its partnership with PEFCO,

Chairman Reed asked me to review PEFCO's corporate governance structure and provide my opinion on its soundness from an ethics

perspective. As part of my review, I spoke with PEFCO's Executive Chairman, participated in PEFCO and EXIM briefings on PEFCO's operations, and reviewed pertinent governance documents. In my opinion, PEFCO has a solid governance structure that includes procedures aimed at protecting against potential conflicts of interest among its board members.

I would now like to highlight some of my findings. The Board constitution and qualifications. PEFCO's governance guidelines provide that the Board must consist of not less than 8 and no more than 14 Directors. The Board currently consists of 11 members which include a mix of management and non-management directors, stockholder representatives, and non-stockholder representatives. The guidelines include provisions that are aimed at ensuring integrity, diversity, relevant skill and experience, and independence among its members. These include that one --

MR. TINSLEY: Chairman Reed, can you

hear me?

MR. FLETCHER: Hello, Ken.

CHAIRMAN REED: I'm going to -- oh -- please continue, Lisa.

MS. TERRY: These provisions include that one, that we, as the Directors, must be unaffiliated, independent Directors; two, the Board must affirmatively determine that an unaffiliated, independent Director does not have any direct or indirect material relationship with the company that would impair his or her judgment; three, candidates to the Board must be free of conflict of interest and confirm annually that they are free from conflicts; and four, the company's code of conduct applies equally to employees and members of the Board alike. Such criteria provide a good deal of protection from unwanted conflicts.

The governance guidelines also provide that EXIM has a right to have two representatives present at all meetings of the Board and the Executive Committee. In this regard, EXIM serves

as an informal check on PEFCO's operations.

In my opinion, all of these guidelines are designed to ensure that the Board operates ethically.

Next, Board duties. The Board serves in an oversight role that has ultimate responsibility for the company's operations. Notably, the Board is not responsible for approving or otherwise opining on transactions that come to PEFCO for consideration. function occurs solely at the operational level pursuant to an EXIM PEFCO standard operating procedure which was just discussed. The SOP -which allows for little flexibility in the financing model and whether or how a loan is approved -- provides the mechanism for loan pricing and similar terms. It is mostly an automated process, and EXIM sets the parameters for the financing model. As such, the Board is not able to influence which transactions receive PEFCO support. Indeed I am advised -- and as Mr. Aldrich mentioned this morning -- matters such as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

loan pricing are not discussed at Board meetings, and transactions are brought to the attention of the Board only in the context of quarterly activities.

On to compensation. The Board's

Compensation and Management Development Committee

recommends to the Board for its approval the form

and amount of compensation to be paid Directors.

Only non-management Directors receive

compensation for Board service. Directors

receive an annual retainer for service, a

quarterly fee for attending Board meetings, as

well as fees for attending committee meetings.

The Committee is also responsible for evaluating performance of the president and recommending compensation.

Compensation is reviewed annually by the Committee, which performs market surveys of salary trends. PEFCO has no equity incentive in its compensation package. During the course of my review, I did not find any aspects of PEFCO's compensation structure concerning.

This concludes my remarks. I will be 1 2 glad to answer any questions. Thank you very much. 3 4 CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you, Ms. Terry. Do we have our Chief Risk Officer Ken Tinsley now 5 available to present comments? 6 I think I'm connected 7 MR. TINSLEY: 8 now, Madam Chairman. Can you hear me? 9 CHAIRMAN REED: Yes, sir. Thank you. 10 And thank you for taking time from your vacation 11 to join us today. 12 MR. TINSLEY: Thank you, and my 13 apologies. I was having some technical 14 difficulties, and my apologies for not making my 15 presentation in a timely fashion. So anyway, 16 good morning, Chairman Reed. Good morning 17 Director Bachus and Director Pryor. 18 My name is Ken Tinsley, and I am the 19 Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of 20 the Bank. As Chief Risk Officer, I report 21 directly to the Chairman and am responsible for

all risk to which EXIM is exposed.

The primary focus of my comments will be an analysis of the risk I believe PEFCO poses to EXIM and the U.S. taxpayer. I think, first of all, I need to define risk, because oftentimes when one defines risk, the focus is entirely on vulnerabilities. Risk is defined as the uncertainty in meeting an objective. Uncertainty entails not just vulnerabilities but also opportunities. Thus, my analysis requires an assessment of both.

Some of what I have to say you've already heard the same sorts of things from the prior speakers, but I have to include those comments just in terms of explaining very fully how I assess the risk or uncertainty associated with PEFCO.

By way of background, PEFCO is a public-private partnership that has been operating successfully since its inception approximately 50 years ago. Its equity capital comes totally from private sector shareholders and through the retention of income generated

from its operations. Its current shareholder group is comprised of 26 commercial banks, a financial services company, and 6 industrial companies.

PEFCO's annual reports are available to the public and provide an adequate level of transparency including details about its funding and lending activities and its agreements with EXIM.

PEFCO provides a source of liquidity for EXIM transactions when needed, without which there would likely be less funding capacity among commercial lenders and private capital available to support EXIM transactions. Less capacity would likely result in less exports and less deployment of private capital and export transactions. Simply put, lenders under EXIM programs are able to sell EXIM-backed loans to PEFCO providing a source of liquidity to lenders that, in turn, permits them to make additional EXIM Bank-backed loans. Such funding is particularly beneficial for smaller lenders who

have limited capital and are more active in transactions involving small, medium-sized enterprises.

I view PEFCO's engagement of private capital in support of EXIM's mission as the chief opportunity accruing to EXIM and the U.S. taxpayer. Thus, without PEFCO, opportunity lost. PEFCO does not take credit risk in the transactions it funds or purchases from lenders. Rather, it relies exclusively on EXIM's underwriting and its 100 percent undertaking of the risk in that regard.

percomanages its liquidity and interest rate exposures through a combination of solicitor borrowings, secured and unsecured long-term debt issuances, and interest rate derivatives. The secure note borrowings are a source of what I view as the chief vulnerability to EXIM and the U.S. taxpayer. Under a Guarantee and Credit Agreement originally signed in 1971, payment of interest on the secured notes is fully guaranteed by EXIM in return for a fee paid to

EXIM by PEFCO. It is noteworthy the secured notes are fully backed by collateral assets held in a trust arrangement.

If EXIM has to make an interest payment pursuant to the 1971 Agreement, there is a requirement on the part of PEFCO to reimburse EXIM through a variety of measures including the use of unpledged securities, cash, and possible capital calls.

The 1971 Agreement with PEFCO provides EXIM with a broad measure of control over many aspects of its lending and funding activities.

For example, the Agreement gives EXIM the right to have a presence at PEFCO's Board meetings and rights to receive information on its budget, financial condition, and operating results.

Further controls are contained in an operating agreement with EXIM.

As evidence of its prudent risk management, including its partnership with EXIM, PEFCO has the same triple A credit rating as the U.S. Government. The risk of default and loss

associated with a triple A-rated entity is extremely low. Thus, in my view, the vulnerability associated with the 1971 Agreement is substantially mitigated.

In conclusion, working in partnership with EXIM, PEFCO efficiently and effectively mobilizes private capital in support of U.S. exports as a supplement to the financing available directly through EXIM, thus creating this noteworthy opportunity. Based on its prudent management capital structure and close working relationship with EXIM, I believe the risk associated with PEFCO -- mainly the benefit or opportunity to mobilize private capital in support of mission -- warrant, if not exceed, the very modest vulnerabilities to EXIM and the U.S. taxpayer.

So that concludes my comments, Madam Chairman. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much,
Ken Tinsley, and my thanks to everyone who has
made remarks. Again, we are sorry that we have

to limit it in this way to EXIM staff, PEFCO staff, PEFCO Board, and then four external stakeholders. But again, we have open opportunity for written comments, and we really invite the public and other respected experts and even members of Congress to send in comments and letters. And I know that my fellow Board members will join me in thoughtfully reviewing everything. And with that, I just want to let Directors Bachus and Pryor know I'm going to come to you in a second for you to ask your questions first, but I just want to -- because we are so limited, I really wanted to put a couple other things into the discussion today just so that we know.

And first, I had a conversation with our Acting Inspector General, Jennifer Fain, and she sent me a letter on August 10, and I would like to read this for everyone.

Dear Chairman Reed: On July 27, 2020,

EXIM announced the public review of its

partnership with the Private Export Funding

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Corporation. PEFCO was incorporated in April of
1970 to assist the financing of U.S. exports
through the mobilization of private capital as a
supplement to the financing already available
through EXIM's commercial banks and other lending
institutions. PEFCO thereafter entered into a
Guarantee and Credit Agreement with EXIM in
February 1971. The parties amended the agreement
in March 1971 and April 1994. Because it
terminates on December 31, 2020, PEFCO recently
requested that EXIM renew the Agreement. EXIM's
Board of Directors scheduled an open meeting on
August 13, 2020 offering stakeholders to provide
comment on PEFCO's renewal request. After the
renewal of the Agreement in 2014, some
individuals criticized the relationship between
EXIM and PEFCO, accusing PEFCO of self-dealing
and expressing concerns that PEFCO is not subject
to any oversight. Among other oversight
mechanisms, Congress empowered the Office of
Inspector General for EXIM to conduct internal
oversight of any programs and operations of EXIM,

including its transactions with and supervision
of PEFCO. Consistent with the statutory
authority, we prepare an annual work plan that
outlines the audit inspection and evaluation
review priorities for the fiscal year. The plan
updated on a quarterly basis categorizes
reviews that EXIM's OIG has identified, as
mandated by law, or of high or medium risk to
EXIM. Due to resource constraints, mandatory
audits and prioritization of higher risk issues,
EXIM OIG has not yet conducted a review of PEFCO.
EXIM OIG takes no position with respect to
PEFCO's renewal request. Regardless of whether
EXIM terminates or extends the agreement, EXIM's
OIG reaffirms its independent authority to audit,
evaluate, inspect and/or investigate any and all
allegations involving EXIM's relationship with
PEFCO. I hope this has clarified EXIM OIG's role
in conducting oversight of EXIM's programs and
operations. Please feel free to contact me with
any additional questions or concerns. Thank you.
Sincerely, Jennifer L. Fain, Acting Inspector

General.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I just wanted to share that, and now I will call on Director Bachus for any questions that you would like to ask our panelists.

Thank you, Chairman. DIRECTOR BACHUS: I would like to ask some questions. I want to acknowledge Professor de Rugy's -- I got your testimony, Professor, yesterday, and, you know, some of the things that the Professor asked, I want to ask some of our panelists, because I think they are very important questions. She -and Professor, if I mischaracterize anything you say, just please interrupt me -- but Professor de Rugy has characterized PEFCO as a monopoly. And a monopoly, of course, as we all know, is an exclusive arrangement whereby the participants -in other words, the members of PEFCO -- have exclusive use of either a commodity or service, and it's not open to others. I would ask the PEFCO -- representatives from PEFCO, is PEFCO a monopoly, as the Professor has said in her

1	remarks? I'd open that up to any of
2	MR. HOUGH: This is Gordon Hough, I'm
3	
4	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Is it open to other
5	commercial banks or is it exclusively for the use
6	of the members? And is membership opened to
7	other banks, other commercial
8	MR. HOUGH: This
9	DIRECTOR BACHUS: banks or
10	entities?
11	MS. De RUGY: If I can add something.
12	Actually
13	MR. HOUGH: This is
14	MS. De RUGY: So just a few points is
15	that I did write it in my testimony. I did say
16	it in my oral testimony, but I want to note that
17	there is a one of the presenters before me
18	actually acknowledged, without me saying it, that
19	it was a monopoly.
20	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. Are you
21	I'm sorry. I'm having trouble
22	MR. HOUGH: This is Gordon Hough

1	DIRECTOR BACHUS: hearing
2	MR. HOUGH: from PEFCO.
3	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Yes. Is
4	Professor, were you saying it is a monopoly?
5	MR. HOUGH: They can say categorically
6	on the lending is there a question
7	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Go ahead.
8	MR. HOUGH: Yes.
9	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Just identify
10	MR. HOUGH: This is Gordon
11	DIRECTOR BACHUS: yourself for the
12	record.
13	MR. HOUGH: Hough from PEFCO, and
14	yes, sir, Gordon Hough from PEFCO, and I'm
15	responsible for the lending activity. And I can
16	say categorically that PEFCO makes our liquidity
17	available on equal terms to shareholders and non-
18	shareholders alike.
19	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Do others other
20	than the
21	MR. DUNNE: This is Tim Dunne.
22	DIRECTOR BACHUS: stakeholders

1	do other commercial banks participate?
2	MR. HOUGH: Yes.
3	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay.
4	MR. HOUGH: And in fact, you heard
5	from not well, not a commercial bank. You
6	heard from one entity, Steve Greene from ATRAFIN,
7	who is a regular user of PEFCO activities and
8	services, and they are not a shareholder at all.
9	There are a number of others.
LO	MS. De RUGY: But all
L1	MR. HOUGH: Yes, we do business with
L <b>2</b>	our shareholders, but we also do business with
L3	anyone who requests our services.
L <b>4</b>	MS. De RUGY: The monopoly
L5	DIRECTOR BACHUS: And others
L6	MS. De RUGY: monopoly is
L7	MR. HOUGH: There are also other
L8	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Excuse me
L9	MS. De RUGY: Excuse me, but the
20	monopoly
21	DIRECTOR BACHUS: The other
22	MS. De RUGY: is not in that

direction -- the monopoly did not go in that direction. The monopoly means that EXIM loan guarantees is only available to buy by PEFCO. There's no secondary market that is open to everyone like you find with SBA, like you find with other loan guarantee programs. And in fact, this is --

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Oh --

MS. De RUGY: -- one of the problems with monopoly is that it this is a situation that can actually happen and happens in the market naturally.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Well, I'm just reading --

MR. DUNNE: This is Tim Dunne from

PEFCO. Actually, yes, that's not correct. Other

buyers, other banks can -- or lenders can

purchase EXIM loans if they so choose. We're not

an exclusive purchaser and the only purchaser of

EXIM loans. However, as an entity, we ensure

that -- to the extent there is needed

supplemental capacity to buy these loans -- we

are there to do that. In fact, we have an arrangement with EXIM Bank that we have to allocate a minimum of \$800 million every year to purchase secondary long-term guaranteed loans.

MS. De RUGY: I'm sorry, but the other banks that are actually buying --

MR. DUNNE: I'd just like to add --

MS. De RUGY: -- the other banks that are buying EXIM guarantees don't have their interest rates on their notes guaranteed by EXIM, by taxpayers themselves. They'll -- and it just -- it's a completely exclusive arrangement to PEFCO that other commercial banks don't benefit from.

MR. DUNNE: Other commercial banks -this is Tim Dunne again -- other commercial banks
have other sources of liquidity available to
them. This just provides an ability for us to go
to market in any market conditions. During normal
times, liquidity tends to be priced relatively
thinly and has less of an impact, in times of
high market stress it becomes an important factor

in ensuring that we can fulfil our mission.

At the same time, as part of the SOP, there are specific requirements that we have to meet in order to avail ourselves of the secured notes program. Those requirements are detailed in the SOP itself in terms of which loans would qualify for secured note funding. We do do other loans, such as the Medium-Term Guaranteed Program, which we tend to use other sources for, and we do support a wide range of transactions in the market from that capacity. We're not exclusive and nor are we funneling everything through the secured note program.

MS. De RUGY: Mr. --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MS. CONWAY: Excuse me.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

DIRECTOR BACHUS: If you -- if you would allow me to maybe ask the questions, and then you could dispute the answer, I think it might go a little better that way. And I do want to commend you for your exhaustive remarks. I

1	mean that sincerely, and I'm trying to I've
2	tried to frame some questions based on what you
3	have said, which I think are legitimate
4	questions. And one of the questions you you
5	know, I want to ask and this is me asking as a
6	Director is the use of PEFCO limited to its
7	shareholder entities, which would indicate a
8	monopoly.
9	MR. HOUGH: And this is
10	MS. CONWAY: This is Molly. Before
11	MR. HOUGH: and this is PEFCO
12	answering that question very straightforward and
13	very simply. It is not restricted to the
14	shareholders. It is open to any and all
15	financial partners.
16	MS. De RUGY: Right. So
17	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. All right.
18	My next
19	MS. De RUGY: in the the
20	question was directed to me. Mr. Cruse, an hour
21	ago, said that PEFCO was indeed a monopoly.
22	Second, EXIM gives PEFCO an exclusive guarantee

on interest payments. The fact that it comes with condition is actually the way it works always with grant and subsidies and privilege from the Government, that's absolutely the rule. Who else was PEFCO's benefit of EXIM guarantee on its interest payment? And by the way, you are asking to raise the guarantee in the current renewal of PEFCO. These are like unique conditions that are granted exclusively to PEFCO and to PEFCO alone.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Well --

MS. CONWAY: Excuse me. This --

DIRECTOR BACHUS: -- let me --

MS. CONWAY: -- if I can please --

DIRECTOR BACHUS: -- go ahead.

MS. CONWAY: -- interrupt for a moment? This is Molly with the General Counsel's office. If we could please -- if each Director could please direct their question to one panelist and then that panelist -- everyone allow that panelist to please finish, turn it back to the Director to allow any other panelists to

respond, I think that will help this go a lot more smoothly. Thank you.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Yes, thanks. And -yes, I'm just going by, you know, the -- I looked at both Black's Legal --- Law Dictionary and also Webster's, and I think what we're -- when we talk about a monopoly, and this might -- I'm going by these definitions -- I always thought that was, you know, as they say, exclusive use of the service or the commodity; in other words, in this case, liquidity. And it's my understanding by the answers from our panelists is that the use of PEFCO is not limited to its shareholders -- or I think the legal term is stakeholders. In fact, I know a bank that is headquartered here in Alabama that's not a stakeholder has informed me that they believe PEFCO has been helpful to them.

I guess another follow-up to that,
it's also my understanding that any bank, and
I've talked to a bank -- I'm not going to
disclose -- we're not supposed to disclose our
confidential conversations or financial

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

information -- but they believe they have not joined PEFCO because they believe that it actually is a negative that they -- that the PEFCO stakeholders actually, it's more of a liability than a benefit, which leads to my second question. The Professor talked about the dividends that -- that we're saving dividends. How much have you paid in dividends, say, in the last five years, and how attractive is a PEFCO share to the equity market? I mean, most banks have chosen not to join, even those that utilize your services. And I'll direct that to any of the stakeholders.

MR. SLADE: This is David Slade. I -MR. NANDKUMAR: This is Raj Nandkumar
from PEFCO.

MR. SLADE: I'm sorry. This is David Slade, the General Counsel. I just want to make it clear -- to remind PEFCO they don't need to disclose any confidential business information regarding their dividends unless that information is public. There's no need, obviously, to

respond.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Well, the -- in the last -- you made those public, so --

MR. SLADE: Okay. I just want to make sure there's --

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Yes. Just what's been made public, how many dividends have been paid in the last five years? I mean, that information is in the public realm.

MS. De RUGY: So based on what I've seen on the public website, it has been -- when the board -- when basically EXIM was dormant, PEFCO was also, you know, having much less activity, and the fact that there weren't any dividends paid and relatively, you can say that those dividends are relatively modest. But the -- so -- but it's hardly the point that they have paid no dividends in the last five months. The fact is that they're entitled to a dividend, and that in and of itself is a problem considering that their shareholders are also the consumers of the service.

1	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. All right.
2	So no dividends paid in the last five years, am I
3	correct?
4	MS. De RUGY: No, because EXIM was
5	non-functioning.
6	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. Well, I would
7	ask I'm just saying, Professor, you had raised
8	that they were getting the benefit of the
9	dividends, and I'm not I'm trying to ask some
10	questions, legitimate questions that you have
11	raised in your testimony. I'm not trying to be
12	agnostic. I mean I'm not trying to be
13	antagonistic, okay. Let me my final question
14	
15	MS. De RUGY: I appreciate it
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	MS. De RUGY: appreciate it but still,
18	the only one
19	PARTICIPANT: However, the question is
20	directed to PEFCO, though, the question is
21	directed to PEFCO, and PEFCO should answer the
22	question, not yourself.
ı	

1	MR. NANDKUMAR: This is Raj Nandkumar
2	from PEFCO. Over the last five years, we have
3	not paid any dividends. That is public
4	information available on our annual report. Even
5	prior to 2015, when EXIM Bank went to its
6	prior to EXIM Bank's challenge with the
7	reauthorization process, typically in a given
8	year, our dividends are limited to typically less
9	than 5 percent of our annual earnings. So the
10	share owners really derive benefits not from the
11	earnings that PEFCO generates, and which is not
12	passed on to them per se; really, share owners
13	benefit from the social value that PEFCO brings
14	to the equation in terms of being able to provide
15	balance sheet relief and liquidity so that they
16	can actually pitch and fund more transactions and
17	support the exports of U.S. products and
18	services. That's the value they
19	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay.
20	MR. NANDKUMAR: derive from PEFCO,
21	not the earnings, and not the they're not a
22	publically-created corporation that has been

primarily established to enrich our share owners.

That's just not the way it works.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Right. And those benefits are not limited to the stakeholders is my understanding, the liquidity benefits.

MR. NANDKUMAR: We -- that is correct. We are agnostic in terms of who we work with both on the lending site as Gordon mentioned a short while ago. We will work with anyone and we offer the same pricing and consistent pricing and terms to everyone, that is just because an institution is a share owner doesn't mean they get better pricing. We offer the exact same pricing to everybody.

On the funding side, all of our fees are disclosed up front to -- based on the transaction we're engaging in. And we give the same fees to everybody whether they're share owners or not. So we are agnostic with who we work with both on the lending side and on the funding side.

Furthermore, of our 26 bank share

owners, only about half of them are active in the EXIM Bank space. Before -- and again, we don't make a preferential -- we don't give preferential treatment to any of our share owners whether they're active in EXIM space or not. Anyone who comes to us gets the same treatment, same pricing both on the lending side and the funding side.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. Now it's been reported publicly -- I'm going by that -- that you've been in a bit of a financial bind right now. How do you expect to work out of that and how long do you think it'll take? And am I correct in saying that you've been somewhat limited in the liquidity that you can supply to the commercial market?

MR. NANDKUMAR: That is correct,

Director. We have -- we make no secret of that.

The last five years have been challenging for

PEFCO. We would like to very much have -- and

our balance sheet has shrunk over the last five

years given EXIM Bank's lack of ability to

originate transactions greater than \$10 million.

Now we estimate it will take us a couple of years to return to profitability but again, that's not the primary objective. Our primary objective is to support the exports of U.S. products and services.

We have critically entrusted and are working with EXIM Bank on the small business front supply chain and working capital transactions. And if we can -- as we are able to participate on those transactions, it will enable us to return to profitability sooner rather than Banks have a serious need for PEFCO's balance sheets. This is not something that PEFCO came up with. Banks have been coming to us, lenders have been coming to us, small business lenders included, they have been coming to us asking us to get involved in the supply chain and working capital spaces, and PEFCO very much wants to be involved in those transactions, which will enable us to return to profitability sooner rather than later.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

One final question --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. NANDKUMAR: And --

DIRECTOR BACHUS: I'm sorry, go ahead.

MR. NANDKUMAR: No, please go ahead.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. I think it's

been mentioned by -- I know Patrick Gang mentioned loans to, I guess -- I think he characterized it as frontier markets. You know, Congress has mandated that EXIM, in the reauthorization, that we assist American exporters that are making long-term export transactions in sub -- and I'm going to use his example -- to sub Saharan Africa. We're under, actually, a mandate to support those exports. And Patrick mentioned that they do those exports, and he also mentioned -- and I think he's absolutely right -- that following the global financial crisis and the implementation of Basel III -- and I think Senator Toomey would know this -- the bank regulations made commercial banks

transactions in especially difficult or frontier

almost very reluctant to fund long-term

or risky markets including sub Saharan Africa.

And it's my understanding from talking to the staff here at EXIM, we're obviously trying to comply with the congressional mandates to help our exporters export into Africa. I think we all -- there have been several published articles, including one in The Economist magazine, that China has made that a number one priority. I think it's commonly known by anyone who reads any financial source that Africa is our fastest growing market, I mean, in the world of -- the population is expected to double the -- economically, it's the fastest-growing area in the world.

And yes, from everything I've heard,
PEFCO is essential if we're going to comply with
this mandate. And I think, Professor, you would
agree that U.S. needs to export into these
markets, and even if we disagree on that, we're
under a mandate to do that. And I would like
some comment from either our Bank staff or our
stakeholders and then maybe Professor De Rugy,

you could comment on the importance of those exports, the sub Saharan Africa, use that as an example. Anybody?

MS. De RUGY: Well, I mean -(Simultaneous speaking.)

Hi, this is Steve Greene MR. GREENE: from ATRAFIN. I just want to make a brief comment. We are very, very focused on sub Saharan Africa. I would actually say it's our primary focus, your small business and sub Saharan Africa. And we simply cannot do it without the support of EXIM Bank and PEFCO. -- we're entirely -- that's critical to us so -and our entire focus is sub Saharan African, small business. We do other markets, but we have an office in South Africa. Our CEO is based in South Africa, and we're trying to build business in Africa to support small business U.S. exports to sub Saharan Africa. Thank you.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. And yes, I think we're -- we, as a member of the Board, you know, I've had several people that have contacted

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

me and said PEFCO is actually essential if we're to support these long-term transactions by our American exporters to sub Saharan Africa, and we're under a congressional mandate to do so. So we're sort of between a rock and a hard place.

MS. De RUGY: So --

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Last question. Go ahead.

MS. De RUGY: This is a question. So I mean as you know, I think, you know, we should have a free market system and not supervise But as I said before, if the stated goal is to compete with China, we shouldn't be attempting to be extending loans and by extension, PEFCO's portfolio shouldn't be mostly in countries -- higher-income countries where there's a lot of capital available. And while I take for -- so I think the goal of having more of EXIM's activity in Africa actually would be -would better suit the goal of competing with China, for instance. Whatever -- no matter how I feel about that, that said, I am sorry, but I am

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

going to have to be skeptical. I mean I take the word of everyone for what they say they do, but the data speaks for itself; 86 percent of PEFCO's assets are in airplanes. More than 40 percent are in OECD countries. Four percent of PEFCO is in small businesses. And these numbers, I mean they mean something.

And finally, to go back to the monopoly question once and for -- and once and for all, if a single electric company operating in a market makes its electricity available to anyone who wants to buy that electricity -- you see the analogy with what PEFCO described, it's making its liquidity availability -- does that electric company that is alone in that market and protected by the government, is it not a monopoly? Yes, it is. So PEFCO is, in fact, a monopoly.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. Thank you,

Professor. Are you saying, Professor -
MR. HOUGH: Director Bachus?

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Yes. Identify

yourself.

MR. HOUGH: Yes. Director Bachus, this is Gordon Hough from PEFCO, and I'd like to address two comments, one with respect to the aviation exposure and the other to geography, getting to your sub Saharan Africa example.

PEFCO is totally agnostic to industries and geographies. Our role, our function is to support the financial intermediary that needs liquidity to fund these transactions. Yes, without question, there is a high concentration of aviation loans in PEFCO's portfolio. There's a very simple explanation for that. Number one, there's a very high concentration of aviation loans in EXIM's portfolio, so it would only stand to reason that a large percentage of PEFCO's business would be in that space.

Secondly, aircraft -- commercial aircraft costs a lot of money, wide-body Boeing aircraft costs in excess of \$150 million a throw.

Banks do not -- often do not want to clog up

their balance sheets with multiple loans for wide-body aircraft and thus, they look to PEFCO. PEFCO becomes an attractive outlet for those loans. So again, yes, we have quite a bit of that.

With respect to geography, I think as EXIM's initiatives to expand business in sub Saharan Africa, we will see a higher percentage of those loans coming to PEFCO in due course. There is something of a lag factor but, you know, we do not discriminate or look for loans in particular marketplaces. In fact, from time-totime, we've had banks approach us and said, could you be the lender on this transaction, because we don't have a country limit or -- Myanmar, for instance. We can't do this transaction in Myanmar because our bank won't -- doesn't want to be affiliated with it, but yet we have a relationship with the exporter, EXIM Bank is going to support the transaction. We'd like to this done. Can PEFCO make their liquidity Thank you. available? And we say, gladly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. De RUGY: So Director -
DIRECTOR BACHUS: Thank you. Well,

3 you know --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(Simultaneous speaking.)

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Let me comment first, if I could. I think we're all --Yes. you know, there are variances whether you're Republican, a Democrat, whether you're an exporter, whether you're just a citizen, whether you're employed and manufacturing and exporting, I think we're all concerned about the trade business. I mean it's something that ought to concern all of us, and we do have a surplus in aircraft. It's our number one export. Agriculture is, as far as contributing to the surplus, it's our number one valued export. I know we're criticized for supporting those transactions, but at the same time, - you know, in my mind, we ought to be celebrating that we are the world's greatest exporter of aircraft. Ι know it's been used against the Board. was Chairman of Financial Services, I heard the

same criticism. But it's frustrating that sometimes, to me, that our successes are used as a basis of criticizing the Bank.

MS. De RUGY: Director --

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Let me ask one more And Professor, you did say now is the question. time to revisit the 50-year relationship between EXIM Bank and PEFCO, and I know, you know, when you visited the Board a few months ago, you specifically said that we needed to have a hearing on this. And let me tell you that I agree with you 100 percent, and that's what we're doing today. And I'm trying to give you an opportunity to express your views and then have our other panelists to answer those views. hope you are taking my actions as a positive, because it is now -- you're remarks, and now is the time to revisit the 50-year relationship between EXIM Bank and PEFCO, I agree with you. And that's what we're trying to do here today. Ι really mean that sincerely, and I applaud you for bringing these issues up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The Professor -- and I have to 1 2 apologize, I think aren't we proposing a -- she -- you know, she says at 25-year extension. 3 4 That's not what we're proposing, is it, EXIM 5 staff? CHAIRMAN REED: Director Bachus, that 6 7 is -- the purpose of this -- that is the purpose 8 -- Chairman Reed. That is the purpose of this 9 discussion today and, of course, as we continue on and get further comments. 10 So we are -- will 11 formulate our views on this in due course. Thank 12 you. DIRECTOR BACHUS: Yes. 13 But I'm 14 saying, you know, she raised the thing about, you 15 know, an extension for 25 years is it's too long 16 of an extension. I tend to think she's right 17 about that. Are we proposing a 25-year 18 extension? 19 CHAIRMAN REED: We've not proposed 20 anything yet, sir. 21 DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. All right. 22 CHAIRMAN REED: This is to inform our

discussion just kind of as we prepare for looking at the document that we will craft.

know, some of our transactions, I'm aware that they do extend for two, three, four years, so I can understand that. But I don't think we've had any transactions that are going to last more than seven years at the most, and I think the Professor raises a legitimate point that if -- and I didn't know where the 25 years came from, but it just concerns me.

MS. De RUGY: Director Bachus, the 25 years came up because in the history of PEFCO, there have only been two reauthorizations: once when it was created -- and it was authorized for 25 years -- and then when it was reauthorized, it was 25 years. So, 100 percent of the reauthorizations so far have been 25 years. So it's not crazy to think that maybe it's going to be another 25 years.

DIRECTOR BACHUS: Okay. Well, I agree with you. I think 25 years is -- I don't think

that that would -- I don't think that's the right thing to do; okay?

MS. De RUGY: And I want to thank you because I really appreciate -- I absolutely appreciate the effort and giving me a voice, and I'm sorry if sometime I come off as a little aggressive. You have to understand it's like -- it feels it's kind of like me, you know, against a lot of stakeholders. And so I have to put some energy, and I have -- as everyone who knows me, I have a lot of passion about this.

pure point of the property of

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much,
Director Bachus, and thank you to everyone. I

will now ask Director Pryor if she would like to 1 2 have some question time. DIRECTOR BACHUS: But I would like to 3 -- chairman, I would like that the Professor's 4 remarks and the letter -- I don't have the letter 5 from Senator Toomey. I'd like you to distribute 6 7 those to all those concerned today. CHAIRMAN REED: Absolutely, Director 8 9 Bachus, and we will also be placing this 10 transcript on our website as well, so absolutely. DIRECTOR BACHUS: 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN REED: Director Pryor. 13 DIRECTOR PRYOR: Thank you, Chairman 14 Reed. I'm cognizant that it is already one minute passed our end time, so could you please 15 16 instruct if I can make a few comments and ask a 17 few questions, and if folks are willing to stay 18 on the line longer? 19 DIRECTOR PRYOR: I am and I think 20 Director Bachus will be, so I hope all of our 21 panelists -- if panelists cannot stay on for

another half an hour until 12:30, please speak up

1	right now.
2	DIRECTOR BACHUS: It's my
3	understanding, Chairman, this this is Director
4	Bachus that we had until 12:00 or 1:00 Eastern
5	time, which would be almost an hour, but I could
6	be wrong about that.
7	DIRECTOR PRYOR: No. It's so
8	Spencer, it's noon now, 1:00 your time in Central
9	Time, but this was a two-hour meeting. We've
10	just hit the noon mark.
11	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Oh, I thought it was
12	
13	CHAIRMAN REED: Can anyone if there
14	is someone who cannot stay, would you please let
15	us know right now?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you.
18	DIRECTOR PRYOR: Okay. Great. Thank
19	you, Chairman Reed and, you know, especially for
20	calling this public meeting today to so that
21	we could discuss and hear from a variety of
22	stakeholders and the PEFCO representatives

themselves. You committed from the beginning of your tenure as Chair of EXIM to be more transparent, and that includes this public hearing among others that confirm that.

Just one guick comment that comes to mind as I'm listening to all of this. You know, I am all for government that works for the people and programs that work for the people, for business, for industry, anything that helps us gain a competitive edge. But as a taxpayer, I don't get to pick and choose what or where I'd like to see my taxpayer used. Frankly, that entities like the USDFC and EXIM exist and generate returns for the U.S. taxpayer, sometimes I feel our intentions may be better -- or our attentions may be better directed elsewhere and not fixed on things that, you know, that aren't or that do not help American -- both the American public and industry.

So, you know, I think we've heard clearly from banks and entities of all sizes today regarding medium-term, long-term, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

short-term debt that we are helpful as is PEFCO.

A few weeks ago, you know, Jim Cruse and I led a stakeholder discussion with a number of the folks present today and others as well to discuss this relationship and the upcoming renewal of our arrangement. You know, they all demonstrated strong support for the continued existence of PEFCO, so during the discussion, I kept hearing over and over, quote, or unquote, important tool in their toolbox, flexibility in funding efficiency facilitate transactions that help support U.S. exports, especially in times of So we're in one of those moments now and crisis. on a scale that we haven't seen before in our lifetimes with this Coronavirus pandemic, I'm wondering if one of our participants today, maybe Steve Greene, if Bank of America is still on the line, any of the others, maybe somebody could please talk a little bit about how EXIM and PEFCO worked together to weather previous financial crises that they've seen in their tenure with their organizations. Could someone address that,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 please?

2 MR. GREENE: Sure. This is --

3 DIRECTOR PRYOR: Steve Greene?

4 MR. GREENE: -- Steve Greene from

5 ATRAFIN. I'll just -- I'll speak very quickly on

6 this, but yes, I mean we've been through other

7 financial crises in the past. And those times,

8 EXIM Bank becomes more critical than ever,

9 because all over the world, banks' liquidity

10 starts to decrease. They don't want to fund

11 these projects. If companies want to buy U.S.

12 equipment, especially smaller and medium-size

13 companies were selling, and smaller and medium-

14 size companies that are buying, that whole trade

is really dependent on these banks' liquidity.

16 As it dries up, the EXIM Bank's financing, backed

17 by PEFCO, is more critical than ever. Without

these tools, we can't fill that gap in the

19 market, and these trade transactions do not

20 happen. Thank you.

21 DIRECTOR PRYOR: Okay. Thank you,

22 Steve.

MR. GANG: I'd love to comment as well. It's Pat from Bank of America.

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Yes, please, Pat.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And certainly working MR. GANG: Yes. through a number of crises, you know, PEFCO does become more relevant as bank liquidity starts to dry up; right? This happened in '08. happened, you know, with one of the Euro crises, There has been a massive and it's happening now. need for corporate debt and various debt around the world, and banks are stressing liquidity as we manage, you know, the current crisis. that's really where PEFCO comes tremendously important, and really what PEFCO is doing is pooling through their secured notes program and others, you know, pockets of liquidity to be able to offer that to the market from more nontraditional sources. So, you know, creating that price deficiency and really sourcing, you know, outlets for U.S. Government and U.S. EXIMsponsored debt is really a huge benefit for the

exporters, and we're ensuring that stability translates to U.S. EXIM-guaranteed financing.

And there might be times where, you know, banks are more aggressive on funding and, you know, PEFCO rates are higher, and we keep it on balance sheet. There might be times where it's certainly stressed from a balance sheet perspective.

So PEFCO's role is instrumental in terms of the optionality and the ability to manage the credit cycles. So, you know, their usage will ebb and flow. You know, they're always relatively consistent, you know, across a number of outlets but, you know, certainly now is a time we need them. And I think it's really important, you know, to act in a sophisticated manner to source capital markets investors, you know, so that we can deliver that for American exporters and American companies that are trying to sell overseas.

MS. De RUGY: Director Pryor, if I can add something quick?

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Yes, please.

MS. De RUGY: I understand -- I mean,
I understand the argument that, you know, when
we're in a crisis, there's a need for liquidity
and that's the role of government-backed loans,
and government institution may actually be
warranted. But the thing is that American
market, the American capital market, America is
not always in crisis and yet PEFCO is always -it's always there.

And it's also worth -- I mean we're -I think we're in a vacuum here. It is worth
remembering that 90 percent, on average, of the
commercial aircraft, those that are made are made
without any government backing. There's plenty
of capital to go around during the good times,
and yet we're talking as if, you know, planes
would never be sold if it weren't for the
government entity.

So I think we have to be -- you know, put numbers in perspective and be circumspect about the role that a certain entity plays. And

then we need to ask what happens when there is maximum liquidity in the market like there was the last four years. And I understand that the shareholders of PEFCO don't want the crisis to go to waste.

But I certainly hope that the current crisis, which is horrendous for everyone at a personal level, at a business level, at an economic level. I mean the sheer -- that's unfolding in America is not actually used to renew something that will not be needed when we're out of this crisis. And this is why, actually, I suggest a one-year extension with reviews on actually the time and the liquidity at a time when we're doing this review.

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Thank you, Veronique.

I hear you; 90 percent yes, but also would imply
that there truly is 10 percent of the market that
really does need us so -- but I will take your
comments under consideration, absolutely.

Another question --

MR. KAPLAN: This is Harry Kaplan.

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Yes.

MR. KAPLAN: This is Harry Kaplan.

Should -- if I may --

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Yes.

MR. KAPLAN: -- have an opportunity just to respond? And we, from ATRAFIN -- I'm the CEO from ATRAFIN. We're a small business. And effectively, we rely on PEFCO and -- EXIM Bank and on PEFCO in times not of crisis. We're a small business and we need them for our existence and to expand the offering into Africa; particularly, that's an area that we dominate and -- that we're dominating. And without PEFCO, and not in times of crisis -- in times of crisis, we certainly yes, and not in times of crisis. And we don't do aircraft. We do the small-type transactions.

So I would say that EXIM Bank and the relationship with the EXIM Bank and PEFCO is absolutely paramount to our existence and for us to assist the multiple small business exporters in the USA. I just would like to respond.

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Thank you so much. No. I appreciate that and that was where I was leading. One of my focus areas here at EXIM, which most of you may know, it is -- has some of the most challenging markets in the world, and that is sub Saharan Africa. Harry, with your comments, I wonder if you might have just as example or an anecdote of a particular exporter or small business that we could discuss publicly? I think it always adds a little added color instead of talking into the obtuse numbers to actually have a specific story. Is there anyone that comes to mind that, you know, might not have proceeded but for?

MR. KAPLAN: Absolutely. We're doing an agricultural project right now in Tanzania, and it's about \$4.5 million, and without the EXIM Bank and the PEFCO support, I believe this project would not have happened. And you're always competing, particularly in the African market, against other resources but without the ability to access finance through ourselves

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

utilizing PEFCO and the EXIM Bank relationship, that deal wouldn't have happened. And that was multiple tractors going into an agricultural area, agricultural farm in Tanzania. So --

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Okay.

MR. GREENE: This is Steve Greene.

Let me just had one more case that may be interesting, is we financed a 10 million dollar project in Gabon for a small business company in the United States that produces rail products.

And this company, it was their very first export in the world, and they won the business because of EXIM Bank. It was \$10 million. It was their very first export.

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Okay. Great. That's wonderful. I love to hear that, so thank you for sharing. And I just also wanted to note that yes, I know we're -- right now we're in one of these unfortunate times of crises but yes, it is true that when we're not, you know, our business, we tend to be countercyclical. We all know this. That's not necessarily a bad thing at all, but I

still believe that if I were to ask BAFT, and I'd like to ask Stacey Facter to comment here, if I were to ask BAFT if their membership felt that EXIM, in any way, was crowding out the private commercial banking sector, wouldn't we be the first ones to hear about it? Stacey, could you - if you're still on the line, could you just comment about how many members you have and, you know, because I really take it very seriously indeed that we are here to supplement, not supplant the private market. So if you would care to comment, I'd appreciate your comments.

MS. FACTER: Sure. I'd only like to reiterate what I said earlier, that when I opened up the discussion with our Structure Trade Export Finance Committee that represents more than 35 banks, not just U.S. banks but banks around the globe, there was overwhelming support, and there was a lot of discussion with those banks in developing the letter that we did to MEP that, in fact, there is so much added value that comes through not just the EXIM relationship but the

partnership between EXIM and PEFCO.

And I think one thing that we ought to think about is, well, that hasn't been mentioned is, you know, many of these transactions have longer tenures, and the longer tenure transactions require not just the export-import bank guarantee but also the funding and the mechanisms that PEFCO brings forth, then the ability to place a lot of the transactions into the institutional marketplace which would not work otherwise.

So to me, and to my constituents, and having been, by the way, a former banker as well, so I have been in this role now for seven years, I have not heard one, frankly, negative comment that there isn't benefit in especially broader use of the toolkit and that, you know -- and PEFCO, frankly, is not just a funding mechanism but as we said earlier, it's also an organization that has years and years of experience in understanding how to structure these very specific transactions to make it work for the

U.S. exporting community.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Director --

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Thank you.

MS. De RUGY: -- can I add just one small thing. I mean I'm going to come off as an economist here, and -- but it's -- you know, it's unsurprising the beneficiary of a government program, whether it's a special privilege, a monopoly, whether it's a subsidy or any of these things, a loan guarantee, actually will acknowledge the benefits to them. I totally recognize.

But from an economics perspective, and when you actually measure on net the impact on an economy and on an industry, the fact that beneficiaries are actually reporting and witnessing that they're receiving a benefit is not a sign that it's not a net negative to the overall economy. And the academic literature on export subsidies certainly makes it very clear that it's a small but a net negative on the economy.

And I would argue that it's very 1 2 likely that PEFCO is exactly the same and that the crowding out actually is happening in terms 3 of lack of innovation precisely because of this 4 5 exclusive relationship. So it's not to say that the people who 6 7 are reporting -- who are actually reporting 8 getting a benefit -- I mean I'm not surprised, 9 but it doesn't mean -- we should not lose sight of the fact that that is not a sign that it's 10 beneficial for America. 11 12 DIRECTOR PRYOR: Thank you. Ι 13 appreciate your comments, Veronique. 14 MR. NANDKUMAR: This is Raj --15 DIRECTOR PRYOR: I'm sorry. 16 I'm sorry. I have one more comment and one more 17 question --18 MR. NANDKUMAR: Director Pryor? 19 DIRECTOR PRYOR: -- and I know that 20 Chairman Reed would like to speak as well, so if 21 you could be quick, Raj, please. 22 MR. NANDKUMAR: Yes, thank you.

point that has not been discussed here -- yes, this is Raj from PEFCO -- one point that has not been discussed here is the long nature of the transaction cycle. There was a point that was raised about competitiveness, et cetera, and the one-year reauthorization. As they sometimes themselves found out, a lot of the lenders want to know that -- they want reassurance that EXIM Bank will be around for the long haul before they can commit and engage in a transaction, and the borrowers want that comfort as well. They're not looking for comfort right now. They're looking for comfort five years from now, because as everyone knows, some of these transaction cycles are very long, governments change, policies change, priorities change, so when they engage in a transaction, they want to know that EXIM and thereby PEFCO will be around 5 years from now, 10 years from now --

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Yes.

MR. NANDKUMAR: -- 15 years from now, etcetera, because the deal cycle for many of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	these transactions is that long. Before
2	DIRECTOR PRYOR: Agreed. You're
3	making the point I was
4	MR. NANDKUMAR: This was the same
5	thing EXIM Bank went through from 2015 to 2019.
6	They want reassurance that EXIM Bank will be
7	around for the long haul; otherwise, the volumes
8	will just start declining and they'll go with
9	some other country, because they know China's
10	going to be around and supporting their champion
11	companies.
12	DIRECTOR PRYOR: Yes, thank you, Raj.
13	MR. NANDKUMAR: a lot of the banks
14	
15	DIRECTOR PRYOR: Thank you, Raj.
16	MR. NANDKUMAR: need assurance that
17	PEFCO will be around so. Thank you, Director
18	Pryor.
19	DIRECTOR PRYOR: Yes. No. Thank you.
20	I you were you made the point that I was
21	just about to make. We're going to move on now.
22	I have just one more comment and perhaps one more

question. I just want to -- to Raj's point and, you know, to talk about a long-term commitment, we all know how important it was for us to get a historic seven-year reauthorization to provide assurances to the market that we're going to be around for the long haul. So I would just throw it out there but again, this is for our internal discussion as a Board that, you know, a one-year extension will not do good service to anyone. It might, in fact, provide the opposite and be a disservice to the industry. But it is something that having heard from Veronique, I am happy to weigh as this Board deliberates.

I also just want to quickly remind everyone of EXIM's mission and the rule of law, our charter, that we follow to implement that mission, and that is to support U.S. jobs through exports. It's no secret that when we were nominated to become members of the Board there were about 95 export credit agencies in the world, and I think the latest count on that number is, you know, no one's going away. That

number has increased by 20.

Now I know that we have been directed, and I hope Chairman Reed comments on this, by certain members of Congress on the Senate and perhaps even the House side that, you know, we should engage with our fellow ECAs to disarm, if you will, you know, across the board. If we try to do that unilaterally, that's not going to be very helpful to U.S. exporters, even if it is only 10 percent of those exporters.

So I just wanted to ask Jim Cruse if he could clarify just one more quick thing, please. You talked about the ECAs and you talked about EXIM being the first to establish, you know, with multiple government agencies and the private sector, the PEFCO organization. And since that time, it seems to have spread to other ECAs. Could you just please comment just a little bit on that as you see it? And I know that it's something you've probably been tracking. Could you just go back to your early comment, your opening remarks and expand on that

a little bit more, please?

MR. CRUSE: Okay, yes. This is Jim
Cruse, Director Pryor. The point being that the
difference between originating a transaction and
funding it and holding it to maturity, up until
the financial crisis 10 years ago, most banks
could do all of those functions. But with the
new regulatory emphasis on keeping their
intermediation to the medium-term, they can't.
And that -- and since most ECAs, particularly in
Europe, are dependent on banks for delivery and
management of their resources, the Europeans have
spent the last 10 years trying to find
equivalents to PEFCO.

PEFCO itself has been approached by a variety of other ECAs to see if they could be willing to help the other ECAs or help -- We have a whole effort by multiple banks for some five years trying to set up a twin PEFCO.

And so almost -- now in Europe, you had the French changing their whole export credit system from being based on an insurance concept

to being based out of a national bank as part of its attempt to get to a funder.

So it is critical now that with the diversification and splitting of the functions between origination, funding, and holding, that the ECA has to have multiple entities; banks to originate, somebody else to fund and hold. We have that in the guarantee format with PEFCO and the banks. If we didn't have it, we would have to repeat it because on a competitive basis, that's how the guarantees and insurance work.

MS. De RUGY: Director Pryor, I think you misunderstood my point. If 10 percent of commercial for aircraft --

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Aircraft.

MS. De RUGY: -- but it's, let's be honest, on most years, especially when the economy is doing really well, EXIM only backs less than 2 percent of all exports. So it's much -- it's significantly smaller. Also, Mr. Cruse, more than 90 percent of the financing of PEFCO's most dominant sector was not impeded by Basel

And if PEFCO is only back-filing for what 1 2 you claim, that commercial lenders don't do it because of Basel III, why is PEFCO's portfolio so 3 4 full of assets that are not impacted by Basel 5 I mean I'm really curious. III? MR. CRUSE: All of PEFCO's assets are 6 7 affected by Basel III. 8 All right. DIRECTOR PRYOR: Thanks. 9 Thank you very much, Jim. I just -- I'm not sure about most of you, but I'm fairly grateful for 10 11 PEFCO, because I don't think EXIM doing more 12 direct lends is the way to go. And I really do 13 feel that we work well. But having said that, 14 nobody's perfect. We need to take a long hard 15 look at this, and I'm sure that's exactly what 16 we're going to do. 17 So with that, thank you all for 18 answering my questions, and I'm going to turn it 19 over to Chairman Reed now, who I'm sure has a few 20 of her own. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REED:

I will try to be quick. For the EXIM staff,

21

22

Thank you very much.

1	could you please talk about how we evaluate PEFCO
2	interests and how risky is PEFCO to the taxpayer
3	generally? So let's talk about how we evaluate
4	PEFCO interests, is it risky, and how risky is
5	PEFCO to the taxpayer generally? Can EXIM staff
6	please respond?
7	PARTICIPANT: Maybe we start with Ken
8	Tinsley.
9	CHAIRMAN REED: Jim Cruse, can you
10	please comment?
11	MR. CRUSE: All right. The can you
12	hear me? My system has disappeared on my screen.
13	Can you hear me?
14	DIRECTOR BACHUS: Yes, Jim. We can
15	hear you.
16	MR. CRUSE: Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN REED: Yes.
18	MR. CRUSE: All right. Basically, the
19	risk exposure of EXIM Bank is the extra interest
20	on the secured notes. That, in any one year,
21	might be \$100 million. During the structuring of
22	that risk, there is a set up where PEFCO, we not

only have the coverage of the institution of PEFCO, there's a 50 million dollar pool set aside, plus there's general over-collateralization.

PEFCO can speak more in detail to it, but the fact is the risk is less than one half of one percent of our portfolio. It is covered in multiple ways with belts and suspenders and overalls so that the effective risk is minimal.

And the real issue isn't the fact that there is some risk there, it is the fact of trying to evaluate is what the U.S. gets from us taking that risk worth it. And I think that's why we can talk about the degree of risk, and there is some. We consider it minimal. We consider it well-protected and almost non-classifiable in terms of a likelihood of non-payment. But we can't say that there isn't any, but that there are considerable benefits.

CHAIRMAN REED: Anyone else want to build on that?

MS. De RUGY: I would just -- it's

Veronique. I will just add something. There is something profoundly inconsistent. Even if there's no risk or very little risk and then it is that actually there is -- the private sector would be willing to engage, or it's high risk and that's why the private sector doesn't want to engage. I mean you can't have it both ways.

MR. CRUSE: But the issue isn't just risk. The private sector is mitigated, is concerned with the fact that the regulatory pressure, which I don't think you fully understand, constrains them to not taking longterm asset booking. Therefore, they have to find someone else to hold ten and fifteen-year paper; all right? And that's where PEFCO comes in. The risk that PEFCO is involved in -- the risk to us and the risk to PEFCO. PEFCO takes a funding financial risk on the liability side. As I said, ask anybody at Lehman Brothers if that isn't a real risk. They do not take credit risks.

For us, the extra risk involved in having PEFCO is a credit risk in the sense that

1	we have additional exposure. And so we can
2	minimize our credit risk. They have their
3	funding risk, and they can do it all with good
4	management and good practice. But there is still
5	risk, and the fact that they had been losing
6	money the past few years illustrates the fact of
7	what can happen when they can't manager their
8	portfolio and balance their liabilities and
9	assets.
10	So the shareholders do bare risk in
11	PEFCO. We have a slight exposure risk, and we
12	feel it is highly mitigated; two different risks,
13	two different situations.
14	MR. TINSLEY: Chairman Reed.
15	CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you.
16	MR. TINSLEY: Ken Tinsley. Can you
17	hear me?
18	CHAIRMAN REED: Yes, sir yes.
19	MR. TINSLEY: Sorry. I was having
20	technical difficulties again. Really, I'd just
21	like to associate myself with all the comments

that Jim Cruse made with respect to, again,

particularly the vulnerabilities associated with, again, our relationship with PEFCO. But as I pointed out, and I think Jim Cruse also mentioned as well, you have to look at opportunities as well when you look at risk. And the opportunities, in my view, I think outweigh the very small risk relative to the vulnerabilities associated with the 1971 Agreement. And as Jim Cruse pointed out, we have all kinds of sort of controls related to that risk that minimize it. As well as we know, PEFCO is rated triple A by the rating agencies, which in and of itself, represents minimal sort of risk of default and loss.

So those are my comments related to, again, risk in the sense of opportunities as well as vulnerabilities. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much.

MS. De RUGY: Can I add? Mr. Cruse, you're not getting an argument from me that PEFCO cannot lose. I mean PEFCO's loses are on EXIM which means on the taxpayer. And I -- and

honestly, I perfectly understand how, contrary to what you think, what PEFCO does and how this sector works.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you. On August 4th, Speaker Newt Gingrich had a piece in The Hill, and he stated, "PEFCO keeps capital in the private sector and makes the execution of EXIM's financing programs more efficient. For U.S. exporters to compete with China for contracts in places such as Africa and Latin America, EXIM needs PEFCO to be operational to maximize its impact and leverage the private sectors." And he goes on about China.

As I mentioned previously, we now have this new mandate on China, a variety of the President's Cabinet Secretaries, including Secretary Pompeo, and National Security Advisor Robert O'Brien feel very strongly that EXIM is a tool in our Nation's trade toolbox but also in our national security toolbox. I know that Senator Cruz has spoken extensively and been focused quite a bit on China.

And I have now presented twice to the House China Task Force chaired by Congressman McCaul of Texas. And that Task Force, for those of you that don't know, has four key pillars; national security, technology, economics and energy, and competition. And it is my feeling, just based on our interactions with them, that they absolutely underscore this vital role. It's a new day in our world for EXIM to be helpful. So I would like for anyone on the call to please comment on how PEFCO helps us get at these new aims that we have. Just could we start with PEFCO?

MR. HOUGH: Sure. Again, Gordon Hough for PEFCO, and as I've said several times -- I'm sorry to repeat myself, but we do not have -- we are agnostic with respect to geographies and to the extent that I think our current position with a concentration of exposure in China is predicated upon the historical activity of EXIM Bank in China. And clearly, as EXIM Bank takes - implements initiatives away from China and to

combat the Chinese threat, if you will, to the
extent that our partners in the commercial
banking sector and non-bank lenders come to us
and seek our liquidity to support the EXIM-
guaranteed transactions that would fall into that
category, we'd only be too happy to fund them. I
would hope if those initiatives are successful
with EXIM Bank, that in three, four, five years,
the composition of our portfolio will look
considerably different. There is a lag period
here people have to be aware of. I don't think
it would be appropriate to be critical of PEFCO's
portfolio in 2020 for loans that were funded in
2013 or '14 that were fully supported by U.S.
EXIM Bank and were deemed to be appropriate at
the time. Thank you.
MS. BUSH: Chairman Reed
CHAIRMAN REED: Does anyone
MS. BUSH: Yes. Mary Bush. May I
make a comment?
CHAIRMAN REED: Yes.
MS. BUSH: So, Chairman Reed, Director

Pryor, Director Bachus from my home state of Alabama, first of all, thank you so much for arranging for this discussion today. I also think it is very, very important that both EXIM and PEFCO be very transparent about what we do and how we help exporters in the United States and help business in the United States. That's extremely important now, yes, because of this crisis. It was important during the financial crisis, but it's always important, because we need to have a level playing field.

And the United States, as you know, is being challenged on many fronts. As countries have transformed from being developing to emerging economies, they are presenting more of - more competition to United States business. So competitiveness, I think, is extremely important and that EXIM plays a vital role in helping our exporters compete, and PEFCO plays a supporting vital role.

I'm very happy, actually, to hear about the mandate with regard to sub Saharan

Africa, because China has made big inroads there as they have in Latin America, not only on the export side but also on infrastructure. So if we together, EXIM and PEFCO, can help our country, our business in terms of competing better there and establishing more of a foothold in sub Saharan Africa and other places, I think it is in our interest and the interest of the country and the interest of business.

You know, the way I think about PEFCO is we're part of the ecosystem of export finance. As was pointed out by Jim Cruse earlier, we were formed actually by EXIM, Justice, Federal Reserve, the U.S. Congress in order to bring capital and liquidity to these very important objectives of promoting U.S. exports and helping the business community. Thank you, Chairman Reed.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you, Mary, and thank you for presenting views as a Board member of PEFCO, very much appreciated.

So I guess is just more of a comment

but also any of the lawyers or those with knowledge on the line to comment, I take seriously any discussion of monopoly, and so PEFCO, it is my understanding that DOJ, Department of Justice Antitrust Division cleared PEFCO in 1969. Do we have any other comments on that? I learned this in my briefing. And then when it comes to --

MR. ALDRICH: Chairman Reed -- CHAIRMAN REED: Yes -- yes.

MR. ALDRICH: -- Chairman Reed.

Chairman Reed, Dick Aldrich. I wasn't working on PEFCO at the time, but that's not far away from it. The Justice Department did spend a considerable amount of time to be sure that we were not -- that, in fact, the structure of PEFCO did not lead itself to be some kind of a monopoly or other kind of mechanism to otherwise distort the market. As was mentioned earlier, for example, we are prohibited from marketing ourselves and are not permitted, in that respect, to compete with the banks. We need the banks to

come to us and as I say, we can't exercise our own initiative, which was viewed by the Justice Department as a very important factor in our creation.

The other point I mentioned earlier, we don't discuss pricing or other confidential information on loans with our board members, which include our stakeholders. And that's another critical point that Justice focused on, and it's been -- although the antitrust law, in many respects, has changed, those requirements continue to live with us.

I know we've mentioned the Federal Reserve but also, I understand Treasury, DOJ, and Antitrust Division, and the IRS also were involved in establishing PEFCO.

Also, Congress, regarding its
evaluation of PEFCO, I just want to be sure that
everyone knows that Congress evaluated PEFCO via
GAO on several occasions between 1973 and 1983
and then expanded PEFCO's scope by authorizing

the sale of SBA loans in the Small Business Act of 2000. And that gets to my next point.

So we've talked about banks. We've heard -- thank you, again, for the presentation from American Trade Finance. But also, you may have noticed that I recently signed a memorandum with Rodney Hood, Chairman of the National Credit Union Administration. I'm solely dedicated to helping every company in our country be successful. We don't discriminate. We are required by law to judge every transaction as a Board, based on the reasonable assurance of repayment. Again, we are not in the profitmaking business at all. Our mission is focused on supporting U.S. jobs yet when we're fully functional, we do give millions of dollars to the U.S. Treasury through the fees and interest that we charge.

But I just want to know how PEFCO would feel -- I feel that credit unions are that gateway to a lot of small businesses in our country. And when you are a mom and pop shop

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

thinking about entering this very competitive global marketplace, a credit union might be some place that you visit to figure this out. And so we, Rodney Hood and I, entered into our very first MOU to help educate in this way through the credit unions as well. How would PEFCO feel about having credit unions be added to any potential future agreements between EXIM and PEFCO?

MR. NANDKUMAR: Chairman Reed, this is Raj Nandkumar. Short answer, we would absolutely love it. We are -- as mentioned earlier, we are trying to increase our presence and, therefore, your presence in supporting small business exports and particularly for supply chain and working capital transactions. And having -- and on a personal note, having worked with credit unions in the past, they can be a considerable source of small business lending activity, and we would absolutely love that and would very much support that.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you so very, very much. And I know -- so I asked our leg.

affairs team to do a briefing with staff on the Hill and so Senator Lee had a question through his staff, and I'd like to ask that. PEFCO was largely on the sidelines for the 4-1/2 years when EXIM did not have a board quorum. Knowing that it is difficult to restart operations from a near full stop, do you have any concerns with PEFCO being competitive even with the renewal of EXIM's agreement with PEFCO? And then is PEFCO up to the task and ready to fulfil their role?

So I'd like to ask those and in grouping with that why 25 years versus 10 years or another timeframe? We've heard, you know, it's hard perhaps at one but if PEFCO could take on these questions generally. Thank you.

MR. NANDKUMAR: This is Raj Nandkumar from PEFCO again. There are a few recent -- one of the points I alluded to earlier, given the long transaction cycles, a lot of the borrowers need reassurance and comfort that EXIM Bank and PEFCO will be around to fund transactions not next year but 5 years, 10 years from now. There

are syndicated project loans, for example, which are greater than 15 years, and the borrowers want to know that PEFCO can support itself and continue to support their transactions 15-20 years from now.

In addition, the rates agencies both rate PEFCO -- their model of rating PEFCO is a support space rating, that is how strong is the support provided by EXIM Bank, what they would see if it's renewed for a much shorter period, then the supports will be perceived as being lesser than it has been in the past, and that could affect as well.

But the primary reason is we -because of the lending cycle and the nature of
the transaction, we need to demonstrate that we
can be around to support transactions over the
long haul and fund ourselves over the long haul.

MR. DUNNE: Chairman Reed, this is Tim

Dunne. And to answer the first part of your

question, yes, while EXIM was diminished in its

capacity to support new transactions larger than

10 million, we did continue to support the medium-term guaranteed business during that entire period, and we did do a modest amount of purchases of loans from banks and non-banks on the secondary market. We did retain our operational capability, and we, of course, would look to ramp up as we get into opening up in the next chapter, as we continue our partnership with you. And in that role, although we probably have a couple tweaks to do to expand certain capabilities, we are ready to fund loans going forward for you.

MS. De RUGY: Chairman Reed, if I can just weigh -- I think we're missing --

CHAIRMAN REED: Sure.

MS. De RUGY: -- when we're talking about this, the length of the reauthorization, I think we're mixing two things; one's the ability of PEFCO to do business, and then the other one is to honor its portfolio. And those two things do not have to be together. I mean Treasury could take over PEFCO's portfolio if it's not

reauthorized and has to fold. The other thing that it can do is that PEFCO could actually be only operating as honoring its portfolio but not issue any new loans. And we've seen how it works with EXIM. And so I think we have to be careful not to confuse to say that, because a loan that is issued now is actually -- is a long-term loan and needs PEFCO itself should be authorized to do other loans, extend others and make other deals for a long period of time.

The other thing that I wanted to weigh in before I go is the -- you've mentioned -- if I understood you correctly, I mean it's been -- the last review, really, by the Justice Department was 1969. And do we really think that the commercial market hasn't evolved in any way since 1969? I mean it -- and also, the GAO -- GAO ended its oversight, what, in the 80s. We're talking decades there, and I think, you know, I'm not -- I mean I think it's not unreasonable to say that it's time to bring a lot of light to PEFCO in many different forms, because not having

been reviewed for decades, it's just plainly unacceptable. Thank you.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Chairman Reed, this is
Ben Friedman from Harvard. Can I address your
question about the length of term of the
Agreement?

CHAIRMAN REED: Sure -- sure.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes. My name is Benjamin Friedman. I'm a Professor of Economics at Harvard University and a longtime Director of I think we are all aware that the EXIM PEFCO. Bank has not been well-served and, therefore, the U.S. export community has not been well-served and, therefore, U.S. workers have not been wellserved by the shortness of the leash on which Congress has kept EXIM Bank. As everybody on this call knows, EXIM was essentially out of business for most transactions for four years, and even though the Bank is now up and running again, it will still be some time before the pipeline is fully in place. So we will have had perhaps a 5, perhaps a 6-year period in which the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

United States will have done, fortunately temporarily, what Director Pryor referred to as unilateral disarmament.

And I think we are all aware that it's not just the Chinese but the Europeans and others are high-fiving themselves all over the world and expressing astonishment that the United States, while professing an interest in competitiveness, would shoot itself in the foot. That's really what we've done by incapacitating the EXIM Bank for this lengthy period of time.

Now we're talking about how long to renew the agreement that will allow this adjunct, this facilitator of EXIM to function. And the key thing to keep in mind is that as we get close to the horizon of any agreement, it starts to come under scrutiny and doubt in the market. And so fortunately, as you mentioned before, Madam Chairman, Congress, in its wisdom, decided to extend the EXIM Bank this time for seven years. Well, that's terrific but I can assure that you that by the time we get to maybe the fifth or so

year of that agreement, EXIM's future will again be questioned and EXIM's ability to function will be impaired.

narrow a view of what it takes to keep something going, especially when the issues are not just technical, do we change this feature or do we change that feature, but these kinds of existential issues that we've been discussing this morning. Anytime we think that that's going to come up again within the next few years, then the ability of the market to rely on the mechanism is impaired. And just as we've seen currently and in the past few years, that's bad for EXIM. It's bad for U.S. exporters, and it's bad for U.S. workers. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you very much.

Just one final question, and thank you, sir, for your fiduciary duty on the board of PEFCO. My final question is -- so Jim Cruse, this one's probably for you, but again, we are charged in law to do things such as compete with other

export credit agencies and now neutralize China using our financing options. So we're here today to discuss PEFCO. Can you again quickly summarize how the rest of the 114 other known ECAs, what tools they have that we don't have or are similar to, and if PEFCO goes away, what does it mean for this explicit mandate we have to compete in the world?

MR. CRUSE: Okay. This is Jim Cruse again. I've had to switch to my phone because my computer went bye-bye. So I will speak directly to the point. As I've said before, that in the past 10 years, every ECA in the world has either developed a strong direct lending program which did compete with banks and has a philosophical issue here; or they have developed a funding mechanism for their guarantees and insurance.

Without PEFCO, we would have to try to replace it in some way, shape, or form if we wanted to be competitive. So we're not talking about whether we have the functions that PEFCO provides. The issue today is whether PEFCO

provides them, or we have to find somebody else 1 2 to provide them, or we have to do them ourselves. Competitiveness demands that they're provided one 3 way or another. 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN REED: So with that, do my fellow Board members have any closing 6 7 comments? Otherwise, we've been on this call now for almost three hours. Do -- Spencer Bachus or 8 9 Judith Pryor, any closing comments? DIRECTOR BACHUS: This is Director 10 11 Bachus. I commend all the participants, and I've 12 learned a lot here this morning, and I complement 13 you, Chairman, on holding this public hearing. 14 And I think we've had a very robust debate and we're better for it. 15 16 CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you. 17 DIRECTOR BACHUS: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN REED: Director Pryor? 19 DIRECTOR PRYOR: Chairman Reed, Thank 20 you again for convening this, and I would just 21 second what my colleague, Director Bachus has

It's -- you know, we can never hear

stated.

enough. I'm sure we could spend another three hours talking this in circles as we've spent much time reviewing these issues as they've come before us in the past and as they will moving forward. So I thought that was a wonderfully robust conversation, and I appreciate you opening this up to the public in support of your commitments to transparency. So thank you, again.

CHAIRMAN REED: Thank you -- thank you to the two of you and to all the participants today, and the staff, and please stay safe and have a nice lunch. And we will continue to work through this process, and we really appreciate everyone's time. Again, those in the public are welcome and invited to submit comments through the Federal Register. And thank you to our EXIM advisory subcommittee. I very much appreciate your focus on this and with that, everyone have a good day. Thank you so much. Bye-bye.

DIRECTOR PRYOR: Stay safe.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 12:55 p.m.)

Α
<b>A-rated</b> 73:1
<b>a.m</b> 1:10 4:2 <b>ability</b> 22:1 54:13 82:18
92:21 112:10 116:22
119:9 145:18 149:2
149:12
able 9:2 11:18 28:10
33:8,12 37:3 38:17
48:22 56:14 66:20
70:18 90:14 93:9
111:17
above-entitled 152:21
<b>absolutely</b> 8:16 34:15
62:20 85:4 94:17
105:4 106:8,10
114:20 115:20 116:15
135:8 142:10,19
abyss 17:18
academic 120:19
access 33:1 47:11
116:22
accessing 32:6 accident 17:9
account 38:1
accounts 41:16 43:21
accruing 71:6
accusing 75:17
acknowledge 19:1 77:8
120:11
acknowledged 78:18
acquired 44:2
acquisitions 27:21
act 17:9 112:16 141:1
Acting 74:17 76:22 action 23:3 105:16
actions 102:16 105:15
active 27:8 34:7,14 71:
92:1,5
activities 26:1,18 27:12
29:20 31:8 41:21
58:20 67:4 70:8 72:12
80:7
activity 26:6 30:3 34:21
45:17 79:15 88:14
97:19 135:20 142:18
add 15:21 25:3 78:11
82:7 112:22 120:4
131:1 133:19
added 27:4 116:10 118:21 142:7
addition 22:13 55:4
58:15 144:6
additional 49:19,20
50:12 70:20 76:21
132:1
additionality 40:20
Additionally 50:21 54:7

address 99:4 105:19 109:22 147:4 adds 47:17 116:10	a
adequate 70:6 adjunct 148:13 administration 45:21	
141:8 advancing 7:19 advantage 55:13 advised 66:21	a A
<b>advisor</b> 32:21 134:17 <b>advisory</b> 10:10 11:3,6,8 11:9 59:5 152:17	a
affairs 5:17 143:1 affect 144:13 affiliated 100:18	a
<b>affirmatively</b> 65:8 <b>Africa</b> 55:2,9 94:13 95:1 95:5,10 96:2,9,11,16 96:17,18,19 97:3,19	a
99:6 100:8 115:11 116:6 134:10 138:1,7 <b>African</b> 96:14 116:20	a a
<b>agencies</b> 7:22 8:3 17:6 19:9 23:10 26:7 48:20 124:20 125:15 133:12 144:6 150:1	Δ
agency 2:15 7:9 10:18 48:2 agenda 4:8 6:4,6	Δ
aggressive 105:7 112:4 agnostic 30:15 89:12 91:7,19 99:7 135:17	
<b>ago</b> 19:9 40:18 69:20 84:21 91:9 102:9 109:2 126:6	a
agree 60:16 95:18 102:12,19 104:21 Agreed 123:2	a
agreement 5:8,12 8:13 19:19 22:21,22 23:7 23:13 24:12 39:11 53:17 57:18,19,22	aaa
58:7,8,10,16,18 59:2 59:3,9,11,14 60:5,6 60:10,12,13 61:8	a
71:20 72:5,10,13,18 73:3 75:7,8,11,15 76:14 133:8 143:9	a
147:6 148:13,16 149:1 agreements 57:17 58:1 70:8 142:8	а
agricultural 116:16 117:3,4	a
Agriculture 101:15	a

aimed 64:6,18
aims 135:12
aircraft 42:1 44:3 99:19
99:20,21 100:2
101:14,20 113:14
115:16 127:14,15
airplanes 98:4
<b>Alabama</b> 86:15 137:2
Aldrich 2:6 3:7 9:13
19:10,13 26:16 66:22
139:9,11,12
alike 65:16 79:18
allegations 76:17
allocate 82:3
allow 17:7 83:19 85:20
85:22 148:13
allowing 47:12
allows 34:11 47:7 56:1
56:12 66:14
alluded 143:18
<b>alternative</b> 17:1 34:10
amazingly 17:5
amazingiy 17.5
amended 62:1 75:8
America 2:16 10:18
48:18 55:4 105:13
109:17 111:2 113:8
114:10 121:11 134:10
138:2
<b>American</b> 2:18,20
10:20,21 49:15 53:13
10.20,21 49.15 55.15
94:10 97:3 108:18,18
112:18,19 113:7,8
141:5
amount 54:11 67:8
139:15 145:3
analogy 98:13
analysis 1:16 8:20
12:10 69:2,9
Analyst 1:17
ancillary 29:8,9
and/or 76:16
anecdote 116:8
announced 74:21
annual 7:4 31:13 37:20
41:15 43:4 44:14
67:11 70:5 76:3 90:4
90:9
annually 65:13 67:17
answer 68:2 83:20
89:21 102:15 142:10
144:20
answering 84:12
128:18
answers 86:12
antagonistic 89:13
antitrust 139:5 140:10
140:15
anybody 15:12,15 96:3

131:19 **Anytime** 149:10 anyway 68:15 **apologies** 68:13,14 apologize 103:2 **applaud** 102:21 **applies** 65:15 **appointed** 63:11,13 appreciate 5:20 8:2,12 41:6 45:19 53:8 89:15 89:17 105:4,5 116:2 118:12 121:13 152:6 152:13,17 **appreciated** 9:8 138:21 approach 100:13 **approached** 29:4 34:6 126:15 approaches 29:12 **appropriate** 136:12,15 **approval** 37:20 58:19 67:7 **approve** 9:9 37:18,19 **approved** 28:17 31:5 61:19 66:16 approves 15:7 approving 66:9 approximately 69:20 **April** 58:5 75:1,9 Arabia 55:9 area 95:13 115:12 117:4 areas 116:3 argue 31:18 121:1 argues 42:19 argument 42:8,10,13 113:3 133:20 arguments 41:12 arrange 32:10 34:2 arrangement 44:8 72:3 77:17 82:2,12 109:6 arrangers 29:2 arranging 137:3 articles 95:6 articulates 29:20 Asia 55:4 aside 130:3 asked 7:12,18 14:6 20:13,16 21:10 30:8 63:20 77:10 142:22 asking 84:5 85:7 93:17 aspect 13:22 18:9 21:17 aspects 13:13,16 18:15 67:21 72:12 assess 69:15 assessment 69:10 asset 14:13,19 16:4 47:15 59:1 131:13

ahead 53:2 79:7 85:15

94:3,4 97:8

assets 28:11 33:17 36:10 44:1 47:14 50:10,15 61:16 72:2 98:4 128:4,6 132:9 assist 24:8 75:2 94:10 115:21 associate 13:17 132:21 associated 18:16 20:4 39:7 69:15 73:1,3,13 133:1.8 associates 13:18 association 2:13 10:16 46:6,7 associations 15:4 **assume** 14:17 20:17 assurance 123:16 141:12 assurances 124:5 **assure** 148:21 astonishment 148:7 **ATRAFIN** 53:13,14,19 55:19 56:2,22 80:6 96:7 110:5 115:6,7 attached 23:10 attempt 127:2 attempting 97:14 attend 23:19 attendance 4:5 5:20 attending 67:12,13 attention 67:2 attentions 108:16 attracted 39:1 attraction 25:7 attractive 23:6 33:19 87:9 100:3 attributes 16:8 audience 8:12 **audit** 76:4,15 audits 76:10 **August** 1:7 49:5 74:18 75:13 134:4 authority 62:2 76:3,15 authorization 23:22 31:20 32:2 authorized 104:15 146:8 authorizing 140:22 automated 66:18 avail 83:4 availability 98:14 available 28:22 36:18 42:12 44:19 50:19 68:6 70:5,13 73:9 75:4 79:17 81:3 82:17 90:4 97:17 98:11 100:22 availing 28:1 average 113:13

aviation 99:5,12,15 aware 27:19 30:18 44:10 104:4 136:11 147:11 148:4

# Bachus 1:13 4:9,10,16

4:20 7:7 11:16,20

23:21 62:15,18 68:17 74:10 77:3,6 78:4,9

78:20 79:1,3,7,9,11 79:19,22 80:3,15,18 80:21 81:8,13 83:18 84:17 85:11,13,15 86:3 88:2,6 89:1,6 90:19 91:3 92:8 93:22 94:3,5 96:20 97:7 98:19,21,22 99:2 101:2,5 102:5 103:6 103:13,21 104:3,12 104:21 105:12,22 106:3,9,11,20 107:2,4 107:11 129:14 137:1 151:8,10,11,17,21 back 5:2 8:4 10:8 13:10 19:9 25:5 33:5 39:13 45:16 57:6 62:20 63:1 85:21 98:8 125:21 back-filing 128:1 backed 72:2 110:16 background 8:11 69:17 **backing** 113:15 backs 127:18 backstop 38:19 backstopped 23:12 bad 15:4 117:22 149:14 149:15,16 **BAFT** 46:5 47:20 49:5 118:1,3 **BAFT's** 46:9.11 **balance** 28:12 32:16 33:13,15 34:21 38:16 52:13 56:5 90:15 92:20 93:13 100:1 112:6,7 132:8 Bank's 15:5 29:16 31:19 32:11 34:16 36:17 90:6 92:21 110:16 Bank-backed 70:21 Bank-guaranteed 24:4 banker 119:13 Bankers 2:12 10:16 46:5 banking 26:3 28:3 30:7 33:19 42:20 48:17 49:8 51:3 118:5 136:3 banks 16:5 17:12 26:4

27:2.2.6 28:8 29:4 30:17 33:9,11,20 34:7 34:7 35:15 37:10 38:15 43:7,15,16 46:10,14,15 47:5,18 51:8 52:4 54:6 56:4 70:2 75:5 78:5,7,9 80:1 81:17 82:6,8,13 82:15,16 87:10 93:12 93:14 94:20 99:22 100:13 108:21 111:12 112:4 118:17,17,17 118:19 123:13 126:6 126:11,18 127:6,9 139:22,22 141:3 145:4 150:15 banks' 110:9,15 bare 132:10 **base** 53:15 based 36:7 37:13 73:10 84:2 88:10 91:16 96:16 126:22 127:1 135:7 141:12 Basel 16:2 28:13 33:17 42:20 47:14 52:14 94:18 127:22 128:3,4 128:7 basically 17:15 30:16 32:5 39:9 88:12 129:18 **basis** 26:17 29:1 76:6 102:3 127:10 beautiful 6:14 becoming 25:6 **beginning** 9:3 108:1 behalf 9:14 19:14 46:9 57:8 believe 49:11 69:2 73:12 86:17 87:1,2 116:18 118:1 belong 15:5,6 **Belt** 8:1 **belts** 130:8 Ben 9:17 21:7 147:4 benchmark 52:11 beneficial 70:22 121:11 beneficiaries 41:20,21 120:16 beneficiary 120:7 benefit 17:19 18:2 44:12,21 73:13 82:13 85:5 87:5 89:8 90:13 111:22 119:16 120:17 121:8 benefits 12:22 18:11,14 90:10 91:4,5 120:11 130:19 Benjamin 2:14 147:9

better 41:20 47:8 83:21 91:12 97:20 108:15 108:16 138:5 151:15 **beyond** 49:10 **bid** 28:2,9 **bidding** 44:10 big 17:22 56:16 138:1 **bill** 7:13 21:8 billion 29:21 30:8 31:13 **bind** 92:10 **bit** 92:10 100:4 109:19 125:19 126:1 134:22 Black's 86:5 **board** 1:3,9 8:12 9:8,12 9:15,20 10:10,11 11:8 11:13 13:4 20:8,16,19 21:5,19 22:4,15 23:19 26:17 35:10 40:14 44:5 46:8 48:11 57:15 59:5,5 61:20 63:8,13 63:16 64:8,10,12,13 65:8,12,16,21 66:3,5 66:5,8,19 67:1,3,7,10 67:12 72:14 74:2,7 75:12 88:12 96:21 101:21 102:9 124:8 124:13,19 125:7 138:20 140:7 141:12 143:5 149:19 151:6 **Board's** 67:5 Boeing 41:21 43:21 44:4 99:20 **BofA** 48:16 **bond** 32:15 booking 131:13 **born** 5:9 borrower 29:8 32:8,12 32:20,22 33:22 59:16 59:21 **borrowers** 50:16 51:16 122:11 143:19 144:2 borrowing 15:11 **borrowings** 71:15,17 **box** 31:16 **bridges** 50:11 brief 19:20 24:17 57:20 96:7 briefing 5:18 13:8 139:7 143:1 briefings 64:3 briefly 21:11 bring 27:13 138:14 146:21 **bringing** 7:8 102:22 **brings** 34:10 50:11,13 56:1 90:13 119:8 broad 18:14 58:17 72:11

**broader** 51:10 119:16 **Brothers** 15:16 131:19 **brought** 28:19 67:2 **budget** 17:6 59:7 72:15 build 61:6 96:17 130:21 bulk 34:18 43:22 **Bush** 2:7 9:16,16 21:6 136:17,19,19,22 **business** 23:17 24:9 31:10,22 34:18,19 38:21 41:4,15 54:3 55:18 56:16,18,21 58:21 80:11,12 87:20 93:7,15 96:10,15,17 96:18 99:17 100:7 101:12 108:9 114:8 115:7,10,21 116:9 117:9,12,20 137:7,16 138:5,9,17 141:1,14 142:13,18 145:2,19 147:18 businesses 41:14 51:2 54:1 98:6 141:21 **buy** 54:13 81:3,22 98:12 110:11 **buvers** 15:3 53:18 54:10 55:1,1 56:14 81:17 buying 82:6,9 110:14 **bye-bye** 150:11 152:19 **bylaws** 20:20

#### С

**Cabinet** 134:16 call 4:6 5:19 11:10 12:5 17:8 19:2 26:9 42:20 46:1 48:6 52:7,8 53:5 77:3 135:10 147:17 151:7 calling 107:20 calls 72:9 Cambodia 55:9 **candid** 30:14 candidates 21:20 22:3 22:9 65:12 capabilities 47:4 145:11 capability 52:7 145:6 capacity 33:16 35:13 52:22 70:12,14 81:22 83:11 144:22 capital 17:20 24:6 32:14 34:20 35:17 36:7 37:7 39:3 50:4 53:18 56:15 69:20 70:13,16 71:1,5 72:9 73:7,11,14 75:3 93:8 93:18 97:17 112:17

113:8,16 134:6 138:15 142:15 capital-47:14 care 21:14 118:12 careful 146:5 **carry** 43:2 case 21:15,19 22:8 43:13 86:11 117:7 cash 72:8 catalyst 28:5 categorically 79:5,16 categorizes 76:6 category 136:6 ceased 20:20 celebrated 8:21 celebrating 101:19 center 2:11 10:7 11:5 40:12 51:7 **Central** 107:8 century 41:2 **CEO** 10:1,21 24:22 25:6 96:16 115:7 certain 113:22 125:4 145:10 certainly 48:21 49:6 51:4,19,22,22 52:15 111:5 112:7,14 114:6 115:15 120:20 cetera 122:5 chaff 14:7 chain 24:5 93:8.17 142:14 Chair 108:2 **chaired** 11:4 135:2 challenge 90:6 challenged 137:13 **challenging** 49:14 54:9 55:21 92:18 116:5 champion 123:10 chance 41:7 change 122:15,16,16 149:7,8 **changed** 140:11 changes 54:14 changing 41:2 126:21 chapter 145:8 **character** 13:20,22 16:15,16 characterized 77:15 94:8 charge 6:22 25:22 141:18 **charged** 149:21

**charter** 6:20 23:22

chief 1:19,20 2:8,17 9:5

124:16

**check** 66:1

**chase** 27:18

9:7 10:19 62:20 63:2 63:11 68:5,19,20 71:5 71:18 **China** 7:20 8:6 30:19,20 30:22 39:18 40:2 42:3 42:7 45:20,22 55:15 95:8 97:13,21 134:9 134:13,15,22 135:2 135:19,21,22 138:1 150:1 China's 123:9 **Chinese** 136:1 148:5 **choose** 33:3 60:20 81:18 108:11 **chosen** 87:11 **circles** 152:2 circumspect 113:21 **citizen** 101:9 claim 37:3 43:5 60:3 128:2 **claims** 18:13 clarified 76:18 **clarify** 20:12 125:12 classifiable 130:17 clear 13:13,17 16:12 45:11 50:5 87:19 120:20 cleared 139:5 **clearly** 27:15 29:22 108:21 135:21 **client** 50:16 52:10 clients 27:20 50:18 clog 99:22 close 22:20 73:11 148:15 Closed 3:21 **closing** 151:6,9 **code** 65:15 cognizant 106:14 **collateral** 36:11 59:22 61:17 62:4 72:2 collateralization 130:4 collateralized 36:2,9,12 36:16,17 37:12 colleague 35:4 151:21 **color** 116:10 **column** 31:6 combat 136:1 combination 17:18 71:14 come 6:5 21:2 33:11 62:20 66:10 74:10 105:6 120:5 136:3 140:1 148:17 149:11 152:3 comes 69:21 85:1 92:6 108:5 111:14 116:13 118:21 131:15 139:8

**comfort** 122:11,12,13 143:20 coming 10:8 93:14,15 93:16 100:9 commend 83:22 151:11 commendation 19:22 comment 21:10 34:13 46:18,22 48:22 75:14 95:21 96:1,8 101:5 108:5 111:1 118:2,8 118:12 119:15 121:16 123:22 125:18,22 129:10 135:11 136:20 138:22 139:2 comments 6:7 12:21 13:4,6 15:2 18:20 22:20 25:3 46:16 48:15 68:6 69:1,14 73:18 74:4,6 99:4 103:10 106:16 114:20 116:7 118:12 121:13 125:3 132:21 133:15 139:6 151:7,9 152:15 **commercial** 27:2 32:9 32:21 35:21 36:8,9,19 37:7 38:15 42:18 43:7 43:10 44:13 45:16 49:10 52:4 61:14 70:2 70:13 75:5 78:5,7 80:1,5 82:13,15,16 92:15 94:20 99:19 113:14 118:5 127:14 128:2 136:2 146:16 commit 122:10 commitment 5:16 40:22 124:2 commitments 31:17 152:8 committed 8:14 108:1 **committee** 11:3,6,9 20:10.11 21:1.3.6.21 21:22 46:12 65:22 67:6,13,14,18 118:16 commodity 77:19 86:10 commonly 95:9 communicate 14:2 communication 20:18 community 26:3 27:17 28:3 30:7 33:19 49:8 120:1 138:17 147:13 companies 55:17 70:4 110:11,13,14 112:19 123:11 company 53:14 56:3 65:11 70:3 98:10,15 117:9,11 141:9

company's 65:15 66:7

comparative 7:19

compensation 20:11 67:5,6,8,10,16,17,20 67:22 **compete** 29:6 47:5,8 52:4 56:13 97:13 134:9 137:19 139:22 149:22 150:8,15 competing 97:20 116:20 138:5 competition 7:5 45:1 48:22 50:1 55:15,16 56:19,21 135:6 137:16 competitive 7:2 18:4 34:11 47:13 49:18,21 50:18 51:5 56:8,10,14 56:19 108:10 127:10 142:1 143:8 150:20 competitively 33:18 competitiveness 122:5 137:17 148:8 151:3 complement 151:12 complementary 29:9 complements 61:13 completely 82:12 complicated 54:20 55:20 62:22 comply 60:17 95:4,16 components 14:21 composition 136:9 **comprised** 36:20 70:2 computer 5:1 150:11 concentration 99:12,15 135:19 **concept** 126:22 **concern** 101:13 concerned 101:11 106:7 131:10 concerning 67:22 concerns 75:18 76:21 104:11 105:18 143:7 conclude 18:7 38:4 45:7 concludes 35:3 62:6 68:1 73:18 **conclusion** 11:14,19 73:5 condition 59:7 72:16 85:2 conditions 29:6 45:4,14 82:19 85:9 conduct 65:15 75:21 conducted 76:11 conducting 76:19 conduits 51:12 confidence 28:10 confidential 22:14 86:22 87:20 140:6

confirm 46:21 65:13 108:4 confirmation 23:20 conflict 22:6 65:13 **conflicts** 21:18.19 22:12 64:7 65:14,18 **confuse** 146:6 confusion 14:22 congratulations 9:1 19:21 Congress 5:14,18 7:4 7:12,18 40:3,17 43:19 45:2,13 74:6 75:20 94:9 125:4 138:14 140:18,20 147:16 148:19 congressional 7:17 42:4,6 95:4 97:4 Congressman 135:2 conjunction 22:11 connected 41:20 68:7 Connecticut 53:16 connection 19:17 consensus 17:5 consequences 16:12 conservative 47:10 consider 4:7,10 28:1 32:18 130:15.16 considerable 130:19 139:15 142:17 considerably 30:10 136:10 consideration 66:10 114:20 considerations 13:1 considered 22:12 considering 52:20 88:20 consist 36:17 64:12 consistent 29:11 76:2 91:10 112:13 consists 46:13 64:14 constituents 26:14 38:9 119:12 constitution 64:10 constrain 52:12 constrained 47:15 constrains 131:12 constraints 38:17 76:9 **consult** 39:21 consulting 35:17 consumers 43:17 88:21 contact 52:8 76:20 contacted 96:22 contained 72:17

contingency 38:1 continue 24:9 28:8 39:16 65:4 103:9 140:12 144:4 145:1,8 152:12 continued 109:7 continues 29:15 continuing 46:20 contracts 49:22 134:9 contrary 134:1 contributing 101:15 **control** 72:11 **controls** 72:17 133:10 convened 1:9 convening 151:20 conversation 11:19 74:16 152:6 conversations 86:22 Conversely 31:20 **CONWAY** 1:15 83:16 84:10 85:12,14,16 coordination 17:5 core 13:5 Coronavirus 109:15 **corporate** 14:1 21:22 63:21 111:11 corporation 3:4 4:13 21:12.14 43:20 56:2 75:1 90:22 correct 81:16 89:3 91:6 92:13,16 correctly 146:13 cost 15:11.12 costs 12:22 18:15 99:20.21 counsel 1:15,18,21 9:11 22:6 57:8,13,13 87:18 **Counsel's** 85:17 **count** 124:21 counter-party 33:22 countercyclical 117:21 **countries** 55:5,6 97:16 97:16 98:5 137:13 country 6:16 34:2 100:15 123:9 138:4,8 141:9,22 **couple** 25:17 27:4 31:9 35:14 74:13 93:1 145:10 coupon 22:22 37:15 course 6:5 15:14 48:11 67:20 77:16 100:9 103:9,11 145:6 cover 37:17 42:17 coverage 130:1 covered 130:7 **covers** 46:12

**COVID** 6:17 54:15 COVID-19 24:2 52:21 craft 104:2 **crazy** 104:19 create 16:10 17:1 60:14 created 16:17.22.22 17:11 41:19 52:21 104:15 **creates** 50:15 creating 73:9 111:19 creation 6:15 17:7 19:8 140:4 credit 7:4,22 8:3 14:12 14:17,18 15:8,10 19:18 22:21,21 23:12 24:12 26:7 28:18 33:17 39:10 42:11 48:2,20 49:14 51:19 51:20 53:2 54:15 57:18,22 58:10,16 59:3 60:6,12 61:7 71:8,20 72:21 75:7 112:11 124:20 126:21 131:20,22 132:2 141:7,20 142:2,6,7,16 150:1 credit-challenged 52:20 crises 44:18 109:21 110:7 111:6,9 117:19 **crisis** 16:2 24:7 33:6 42:12.14 94:18 109:13 111:13 113:4 113:9 114:4,7,12 115:9,14,14,15 126:6 137:9,10 criteria 36:21 37:17 65:17 critic 41:8 critical 16:2 34:15,16 38:10,12,20 55:11 56:11 96:13 110:8,17 127:3 136:12 140:9 critically 93:6 criticism 102:1 criticize 105:14 criticized 75:16 101:17 criticizing 102:3 **cronyism** 43:14 44:6 crowd 38:11 42:9 crowded 43:8 crowding 42:17 43:9 118:4 121:3 crowds 52:16 Cruse 1:16 3:6 8:19 11:21,22 12:8,9 18:5 18:9 19:1,21 27:14

CONTENTS 3:1

67:3

context 21:16 45:3,14

47:3 84:20 109:2

125:11 126:2.3 127:20 128:6 129:9 129:11,16,18 131:8 132:22 133:3,9,19 138:12 149:20 150:9 150:9 Cruz 19:4 134:21 **curious** 128:5 current 29:22 33:16 60:22 70:1 85:7 111:13 114:6 135:18 currently 64:14 149:14 cut 18:20 cutting 13:4 **cycle** 53:2 122:4,22 144:15 cycles 49:14 112:11 122:14 143:19

#### D

**dance** 34:3 data 98:3 date 60:7 **David** 1:18 87:14,17 day 135:9 152:19 day-to-day 61:4 days 33:20 60:3 de 2:10 3:11 10:6 40:6,9 40:10 45:5,9 77:8,14 78:11,14 80:10,14,16 80:19,22 81:9 82:5,8 83:14 84:16,19 88:10 89:4,15,17 95:22 96:4 97:6,9 101:1 102:4 104:12 105:3 112:21 113:2 120:4 127:12 127:16 130:22 133:19 145:13,16 deal 51:4 65:17 117:2 122:22 dealing 43:2,14 deals 45:20 146:9 **Dear** 74:20 debate 151:14 debt 58:21 71:16 109:1 111:11,11,22 debts 35:20 decades 146:19 147:1 **December** 7:13 58:6 59:12 75:10 decided 148:19 decisions 22:10 23:15 decline 60:20 declining 123:8 decrease 30:3 110:10 dedicated 19:15 141:8 deemed 136:15 **deep** 18:14

default 72:22 133:13 deficiency 111:20 define 69:4 defined 69:6 defines 69:5 definition 13:14 definitions 86:8 degree 130:14 **Delaware** 21:12,15 deliberates 124:13 **deliver** 112:18 delivery 126:11 **DELZOPPO** 1:13 demand 39:5 60:4 demands 151:3 democracy 105:16 Democrat 101:8 demonstrate 144:16 109:7

demonstrated 33:4 **Department** 19:5 39:21 139:5,14 140:3 146:14 dependent 34:22 110:15 126:11 deployment 70:16 deposits 56:6 **Deputy** 1:15 derivatives 71:17 **derive** 90:10.20 described 98:13 deserves 21:17 designed 66:3 desk 1:17 35:14 despite 54:19 detail 130:5 detailed 83:5 details 61:15 70:7 determination 32:20 determine 65:8 developed 16:8 150:14 150:16 developing 118:20 137:14

**Development** 67:6 dialogues 27:9 Dick 2:6 3:7 9:13 19:10 19:12 24:16 26:16 139:12

dictate 39:12 **Dictionary** 86:5 difference 36:7,15 126:4

different 26:4 27:1 32:6 33:21 34:5 51:6 132:12,13 136:10 146:22

differentiate 14:9,20

difficult 54:10 94:22 143:6 difficulties 20:15 68:14 132:20 diligence 63:18 diminished 144:21 direct 17:2,21 32:14 65:10 85:19 87:12 128:12 150:14 directed 84:20 89:20.21 108:16 125:2

direction 81:1,2 directly 22:9 28:2 37:3 37:10 50:9 59:16 63:16 68:21 73:9 150:11

directors 1:3,9 9:13 20:9,16 21:5,12,20 22:16,18 40:15 44:6 57:15 59:5 63:9,17 64:13,15 65:6,7 67:8 67:9,10 74:10 75:12 **directs** 61:11

disappeared 129:12 disarm 125:6 disarmament 148:3 disbursement 39:6 discharge 22:2 disclose 21:20 86:21 86:21 87:20

disagree 95:19

disclosed 91:16 discriminate 100:11 141:10

discuss 107:21 109:5 116:9 140:6 150:3 discussed 66:13 67:1 122:1,3

discussing 149:9 **discussion** 6:3 10:14 74:14 103:9 104:1 109:3,8 118:15,19 124:8 137:3 139:3 discussions 22:14 **dislike** 42:21

**dispute** 83:20 disruptions 33:7 disservice 124:11 distort 139:18 distribute 47:14 106:6

displays 61:14

distribution 23:6 diversification 127:4 diversity 64:19 dividend 88:19

dividends 44:12 87:7,7 87:8,21 88:7,15,16,18 89:2,9 90:3,8

**Division** 139:5 140:16 document 104:2 documents 57:21 61:3 64:4 doing 49:3 53:19

102:13 105:16 111:15 114:15 116:15 127:18 128:11

**DOJ** 139:4 140:15 dollar 31:17,21 34:9,10 117:8 130:2

dollars 49:12 141:16 dominant 127:22 dominate 115:12

dominating 115:13 dormant 88:12 double 95:12

doubt 148:17 Dr 21:7

dries 110:16 drive 32:22 driver's 32:19

drivers 26:13 dry 111:8

due 50:10 54:14 63:18 76:9 100:9 103:11 Dunne 2:8 3:8 9:22 21:9

24:16,20,21 79:21,21 81:15,15 82:7,15,16 144:19,20

duties 21:11,17 22:2,18

**duty** 21:13 149:19 dynamics 39:4

### Е

eager 24:7 earlier 47:3 118:14 119:19 138:12 139:19 140:5 142:11 143:18 early 16:19 125:21 earnings 90:9,11,21 ease 39:1 **easier** 47:11 East 55:3 Eastern 55:3 107:4 eat 33:15 ebb 112:12 **ECA** 16:3 49:1 51:10 127:6 150:13 ECAs 47:10 51:11 125:6,13,18 126:10 126:16,17 150:5 economic 44:18 45:4 45:14 114:9 economically 95:13 economics 50:16 120:13 135:5 147:9

145:3 **evolved** 146:16 64:19 119:20 economies 137:15 **exact** 91:13 expertise 47:16 economist 95:7 120:6 entirely 69:5 96:13 exactly 14:5 16:13 56:9 expertly 50:3 economy 9:18 54:14 **entities** 45:16 51:3 78:10 84:7 108:13,21 experts 74:5 120:15,19,22 127:18 121:2 128:15 ecosystem 138:11 127:6 **example** 5:16 14:10 expiration 60:7 edge 108:10 entitled 88:19 72:13 94:13 96:3 99:6 **expire** 58:6 explaining 69:14 educate 142:5 entitles 59:4 116:8 139:20 144:1 entity 13:22 14:1 16:8 examples 51:18 explanation 99:13 **effective** 52:2 130:9 **effectively** 32:13 51:4 17:8 41:1,18 44:14 exceed 12:5 73:15 explicit 150:7 61:21 73:6 115:8 73:1 80:6 81:20 exceptional 19:22 **export** 2:15 3:4 4:13 7:4 7:22 8:3 10:17 16:3 113:19,22 excess 39:22 99:21 **efficiency** 51:13,15 17:15 25:9 26:7,14 entrusted 93:6 **exclusive** 44:8,12,21 109:11 efficient 134:8 environment 33:16 77:17,19 81:19 82:12 34:8 42:10 44:20 83:12 84:22 86:9 46:11,13 48:2,19,20 efficiently 73:6 47:7,15 52:21 61:22 effort 25:9 105:5 126:18 equal 79:17 121:5 51:19,20 56:2 70:16 **exclusively** 37:19 43:2 74:22 94:11 95:5,18 efforts 8:15 equally 65:15 71:10 78:5 85:9 101:14,16 117:11,14 eight 35:12 equation 90:14 either 36:2 60:17 77:19 equipment 110:12 **Excuse** 18:5 37:21 38:2 118:15 120:20 124:20 95:21 150:13 equity 17:13 67:19 45:5 80:18,19 83:16 126:21 138:3,11 elected 20:8,14 22:16 69:20 87:10 85:12 147:13 150:1 **execution** 52:18 134:7 export-import 1:1 election 22:3 equivalents 126:14 **electric** 98:10,15 especially 38:20 47:19 **executive** 2:6,8 9:13 40:15 119:6 electricity 98:11,12 54:8,16 94:22 107:19 15:14 19:11 20:3,10 **exporter** 55:22 100:19 **element** 58:12 59:19 109:12 110:12 119:16 20:17 21:3,6 64:2 101:9,20 116:8 127:17 149:6 65:22 **exporters** 15:3 17:13 eliaible 36:11 emerging 54:16 137:15 **essential** 47:22 49:22 exempt 23:4 43:20 47:7,19,20 emphasis 126:8 95:16 97:1 exercise 12:13 140:1 49:15,21 53:22 54:7 emphasize 31:2 essentially 147:17 exhaustive 83:22 55:12,13,14 56:12,16 **EXIM's** 3:3 4:12 5:8 56:18,20 94:11 95:5 employed 101:10 establish 125:14 97:3 112:1,19 115:21 employees 65:16 **established** 5:10 11:3 7:14 12:1 27:12 29:16 empowered 75:20 51:13 52:1 58:2 61:6 41:19,21 42:5 43:13 125:9.10 134:9 137:6 137:19 149:15 **enable** 52:17 93:10,20 91:1 43:22 57:8 58:13 63:2 63:11,18 71:5,10 75:5 **exporting** 101:10 120:1 **enables** 49:15 55:13 establishing 138:6 **exports** 7:2 8:7 16:18 75:11 76:7,14,17,19 enabling 56:18 140:17 18:3 20:6 23:18 24:2 ended 42:16 146:18 estimate 93:1 97:19 99:15 100:7 et 122:5 124:15 134:7 143:8 24:11 48:3 58:22 energy 105:10 135:6 70:15 73:8 75:2 90:17 etcetera 122:22 149:1,2 enforceable 60:15 **EXIM-** 58:11 62:2 93:4 94:14,15 96:2,18 **engage** 37:17 58:19 ethically 66:4 122:10,16 125:6 ethics 1:20 9:7 63:3,3 111:21 136:4 97:12 109:12 124:18 131:5,7 63:11,14,16,22 EXIM-backed 70:18 127:19 138:16 142:14 engaged 7:16 **Euro** 111:9 **EXIM-guaranteed** 50:9 **exposed** 68:22 Europe 55:3,16 126:11 59:17 112:2 **exposure** 30:12,20,21 engagement 30:7 71:4 **EXIM-PEFCO** 52:21 40:19 99:5 129:19 engagements 23:16 126:20 Europeans 126:12 exist 17:10 108:13 132:1,11 135:19 engages 28:16 existence 38:10 109:8 exposures 71:14 engaging 58:21 91:17 148:5 evaluate 13:6 14:6,12 115:10,20 express 40:13 102:14 enhanced 50:7 existential 149:9 expressed 105:17 enrich 91:1 14:17 18:14,20 45:3 **expressing** 75:18 148:7 ensure 48:1 51:13 45:13 76:16 129:1,3 existing 61:22 130:12 expand 100:7 115:11 extend 19:21 104:5 61:20 66:3 81:20 146:9 148:20 evaluated 140:20 125:22 145:10 ensures 51:5 **expanded** 42:16 140:22 extended 44:22 **ensuring** 61:13 64:18 evaluating 67:15 extending 97:14 83:1 112:1 evaluation 76:4 140:19 expanding 7:1 **extends** 76:14 entails 69:8 eventually 25:6 **expect** 30:2,4,10 31:12 entered 58:3 59:11 61:1 extension 58:9 97:15 **everybody** 26:9,20 92:11 expectation 60:14 103:3,15,16,18 75:6 142:4 91:14,18 147:16 114:13 124:9 entering 142:1 everyone's 152:14 expected 95:12 extensive 50:21 enterprises 71:3 evidence 72:19 expedited 60:3 evolution 12:18 **experience** 13:7 50:22 extensively 134:21 entire 48:12 96:14

feeling 135:6 117:11,14 118:6 119:15.18 extent 81:21 135:18 **feels** 105:8 125:14 137:2 142:5 free 18:17 22:12 42:22 136:2 144:20 65:13,14 76:20 97:11 external 8:10 10:4 11:1 **fees** 67:13 91:15,18 fiscal 30:9 76:5 French 126:21 53:8 74:2 141:17 extra 18:15 129:19 fellow 2:10 9:7 10:7 **fits** 49:2 frequency 20:18 Friedman 2:14 9:17 131:21 11:13 40:11 74:7 five 11:13 12:3,6 18:6 21:7 147:3,4,8,9 **extremely** 73:2 137:8 125:6 151:6 38:3 40:7 45:6 46:3 front 12:17 91:16 93:8 48:8 53:11 54:8 57:10 137:17 felt 118:3 fiduciary 21:11 149:19 62:12 63:5 87:9 88:8 frontier 94:8,22 **eye** 45:4,15 field 29:3 137:11 88:18 89:2 90:2 92:18 **fronts** 137:13 F 92:20 122:13 126:18 frustrating 102:1 fifteen-year 131:14 fifth 148:22 fulfil 83:1 143:10 136:8 facilitate 109:11 facilitating 49:12 fixed 108:17 **figure** 142:3 full 6:6 17:11 59:6 **FLETCHER** 1:17 18:5 128:4 143:7 facilitator 148:14 **file** 37:3 fill 53:21 56:11 110:18 37:21 38:2 45:5 65:2 full-time 63:15 facilities 23:12 fills 55:19 flexibility 66:14 109:10 fully 7:2 8:12 30:18 facing 55:14 final 29:13 53:9 89:13 floating 39:5 62:3 69:14 71:21 72:2 fact 5:17 12:20 42:15 94:1 149:18,20 flow 112:12 131:11 136:14 141:15 80:4 81:6 82:1 85:1 finally 10:4 34:13 47:16 **focus** 5:20 7:18 8:4,5 147:21 86:14 88:14,19 98:17 98:8 38:8 53:17 69:1,5 fully-functioning 48:1 100:12 118:21 120:15 function 7:1 66:11 99:9 **finance** 2:13,15,18,20 96:10,14 116:3 121:10 124:10 130:6 10:17,18,20,21 16:3 152:18 148:14 149:2 130:10,11 131:10 132:5,6 139:16 17:15 26:5,14 34:8 focused 6:15 8:16 26:4 functional 141:16 Facter 2:12 3:12 10:15 44:5 46:6,11,13 48:19 96:8 134:22 140:9 functions 9:10 61:9.10 141:14 126:7 127:4 150:21 53:14,14 54:2,4 56:3 46:1,4,4 48:6 118:2 fold 146:1 fund 14:18 15:7 25:13 118:13 116:22 118:16 138:11 factor 82:22 100:10 141:5 **folks** 106:17 109:4 30:16 31:3,4 32:13 financed 55:6 117:8 33:1,8 35:22 50:9 140:3 **follow** 6:18.20 124:16 **follow-up** 86:18 54:21 90:16 94:21 fails 40:19 **financial** 11:5 16:2 99:10 110:10 127:7 failure 18:1 60:17 20:15 23:14 24:4.7 following 94:17 136:6 143:21 144:18 **Fain** 74:17 76:22 32:21 33:5 42:12.14 **foot** 148:9 foothold 138:6 145:11 fairly 25:17 30:15 32:1 59:7 70:3 72:16 84:15 86:22 92:10 94:18 Force 135:2.3 fundamental 23:8.8 128:10 funded 47:9 136:13 95:10 99:9 101:22 foreign 34:7,7 47:8 50:1 **fall** 136:5 funder 14:18 127:2 far 26:8 27:10 37:14 109:20 110:7 126:6 53:18 55:1,1,15,16 131:18 137:9 funding 3:4 4:13 14:13 44:9 101:15 104:18 56:19.21 form 15:4 32:18 56:5 15:16 16:4 17:14 23:2 financing 7:2 20:6 139:13 22:11 23:17 24:1 60:22 67:7 150:19 28:1 31:13 35:5 36:1 farm 117:4 **fashion** 68:15 27:12 28:6 29:9 38:22 format 127:8 36:6 37:6,18 50:22 **fastest** 95:10 42:10 43:22 49:1,13 **formed** 138:13 51:5 52:22 56:2,5 former 119:13 70:7.12.21 72:12 fastest-growing 95:13 49:18,22 50:5,6,20 54:10,17 55:5,12,17 forms 146:22 74:22 83:7 91:15,21 fault 42:6 55:21 58:22 61:14 formulate 103:11 92:7 109:11 112:4 favor 41:12 feature 60:2 149:7,8 66:15,19 73:8 75:2,4 forth 119:8 119:7,18 126:5 127:5 fortunately 148:1,18 131:17 132:3 150:16 features 25:10 58:11 110:16 112:2 127:21 134:8 150:2 forward 8:14 25:19 40:4 **funds** 35:19 59:1 71:9 **February** 58:4 75:8 145:12 152:5 funneling 83:12 **FEC** 51:20 find 6:8,21 14:21 16:4 further 49:16 72:17 30:13 55:2 67:21 81:5 Fed 17:7 found 122:7 81:5 126:13 131:13 foundational 58:1 59:9 103:10 Federal 6:8 19:5.7 46:17 138:13 140:14 furthering 34:17 151:1 four 6:14 14:21 30:4 32:12 37:5 57:5 65:14 Furthermore 91:22 **findings** 64:10 152:16 finish 85:21 74:2 98:5 104:5 114:3 future 8:15 60:20 142:8 fee 67:12 71:22 feedback 46:19 Finland 51:20 135:4 136:8 147:18 149:1 Finnish 51:20 fourth 16:1 feel 5:12 34:15 49:6 G firm 20:8 fraction 31:14 50:5,11 52:1,15 76:20 first 13:10,13 14:8 15:2 frame 84:2 **Gabon** 55:7 117:9 97:22 108:15 128:13 framework 61:6 gain 35:22 108:10 132:12 134:18 141:20 15:7 31:16 61:1 63:15 69:3 74:12,16 101:6 frankly 30:21 108:12 Gang 2:15 3:13 10:17 141:20 142:6

government-backed happening 111:10 48:6,9 94:6 111:1,5 121:3 **GAO** 140:21 146:17,17 113:5 government-linked gap 50:11 53:21 55:19 51:12 56:11 110:18 gateway 141:21 governments 122:15 **GE** 43:21 grant 85:3 general 1:15,18 21:14 granted 85:9 143:14 43:18 57:8,13 74:17 grateful 128:10 75:21 77:1 85:17 greater 92:22 144:2 87:18 130:3 greatest 101:20 generally 47:9 59:13 greatly 26:21 **Green** 10:19 129:3,5 143:15 **Greene** 2:17 3:14 53:10 generate 108:14 48:18 generated 69:22 53:12.13 57:4 80:6 96:6,6 109:17 110:2,3 generates 90:11 110:4,4 117:6,6 geographic 30:11 geographies 30:16 grounds 60:19 48:20 99:8 135:17 group 27:5 70:2 geography 99:5 100:6 grouping 143:12 **George** 40:12 **groups** 57:5 Germany 51:21 **grow** 30:10 56:13 **getting** 13:5 89:8 99:6 growing 95:11 121:8 133:20 growth 54:3 **guarantee** 16:9 18:13 Gingrich 134:5 give 42:4,6 91:17 92:3 19:18 22:20,21 24:12 143:13 102:13 141:16 29:17 32:10 36:18,21 given 12:20 17:4 31:10 36:22 37:15 39:10 41:7 47:16 90:7 92:21 41:4 43:3,15 44:8,20 143:18 53:16 57:18,19,22 **gives** 23:13,18 58:16 58:10,13,15,16 59:3 **held** 72:2 72:13 84:22 59:10.13.14.20 60:3.5 **Hello** 65:2 giving 35:10 105:5 60:6,11,12 61:7,7,18 71:19 75:7 81:6 84:22 glad 68:2 85:5,7 119:7 120:10 gladly 25:13 100:22 global 7:4 42:17 46:15 127:8 guaranteed 44:2 50:4 48:18 94:17 142:2 62:3 71:22 82:4,10 globally- 47:12 **globe** 118:18 83:8 136:5 145:2 goal 50:17 97:13,18,20 **guarantees** 22:22 28:16 141:9 **goals** 34:17 81:3 82:9 127:11 **gold** 16:21 150:17 guess 19:6 86:18 94:7 Goodman 11:4 138:22 **goods** 53:19 56:15 Gordon 2:19 3:9 10:1 **guide** 61:4 **guidelines** 64:11,17 24:16 25:1,14 35:7 65:19 66:2 38:12 78:2,22 79:10 112:5 79:14 91:8 99:3 н 135:14 half 27:7 30:4 92:1 **govern** 39:12 106:22 130:6 governance 21:1,22 63:21 64:4,5,11 65:19 half-century 41:1 governed 57:17 **handle** 39:6 governing 60:10 **handled** 34:19 **government** 40:2 43:3 **hands** 17:16 48:2 43:11 72:22 85:4 happen 44:16 81:11 hills 33:10 98:16 105:15 108:7 110:20 132:7 **historic** 7:11 124:4 111:21 113:6,15,19 **happened** 111:8,9 116:19 117:2 historical 8:11 135:20 120:7 125:15

happens 81:11 114:1 happy 43:6 53:4 124:12 136:6 137:21 hard 97:5 128:14 Harry 2:20 10:21 114:22 115:2 116:6 Harvard 9:19 147:4.10 haul 122:9 123:7 124:6 144:18,18 head 2:15 6:10 10:17 headquartered 86:15 hear 5:7 12:21 40:14 41:22 42:11 65:1 68:8 107:21 114:17 117:16 118:6 129:12,13,15 132:17 137:21 151:22 heard 39:17 41:11,13 42:19 69:12 80:4,6 95:15 101:22 108:20 119:15 124:12 141:4 hearing 8:8 9:4 35:1 41:5 79:1 102:11 108:4 109:9 151:13 heights 6:12 help 1:17 7:22 13:3 86:1 95:4 108:18 109:12 126:17,17 137:6,7 138:4 142:5 helpful 52:9 86:17 109:1 125:9 135:9 helping 137:18 138:16 **helps** 18:19 41:14 108:9 135:11 Hi 4:22 40:10 46:4 96:6 high 30:6 31:19 76:8 82:22 99:11,14 131:5 high-fiving 148:6 higher 76:10 100:8 higher-income 97:16 highlight 64:9 Highlights 47:2 highly 33:17 46:19 47:21 132:12 highly-competitive Hill 17:7 134:6 143:2

**history** 7:15 12:16 13:9 16:17 104:13 hit 107:10 hold 14:13,19 43:1 127:7 131:14 holding 5:6 16:4 126:5 127:5 151:13 home 137:1 honest 127:17 honestly 134:1 Hong 42:3 honor 145:20 honoring 146:3 **Hood** 141:7 142:4 hope 4:18 13:3 14:2 16:12 17:18 18:19 76:18 102:16 106:20 114:6 125:3 136:7 hoped 18:2 horizon 148:16 horrendous 114:7 Hough 2:19 3:9 10:1 24:16 25:1,14,16 78:2 78:2,8,13,22,22 79:2 79:5,8,10,13,13,14 80:2,4,11,17 84:9,11 98:21 99:2,3 135:14 135:14 hour 84:20 106:22 107:5 **hours** 151:8 152:2 **House** 125:5 135:2 huge 111:22

idea 17:16 identical 29:5 identified 76:7 identifies 31:7 identify 13:12 79:9 98:22 II 3:21 **III** 1:13 28:13 33:17 42:20 47:14 94:19 128:1,3,5,7 III-dominated 16:3 illustrates 132:6 illustrative 25:12 impact 82:21 120:14 134:12 impacted 128:4 **impair** 65:11 **impaired** 149:3,13 **impeded** 127:22 implement 124:16 implementation 28:13 94:18 implements 135:22

**imply** 114:17 **importance** 16:13,19 23:9 96:1 important 6:16 8:11 9:9 10:5 13:19 14:9,20 16:16 21:16 22:13 23:14 28:13,21 29:14 31:15 32:17 47:6 48:13 49:11 51:9,14 51:16 52:3,11 53:1,21 54:2,18 55:22 58:12 59:19 60:2 77:12 82:22 109:10 111:15 112:16 124:3 137:4,8 137:9,10,17 138:15 140:3 importantly 22:8 23:16 47:11 importers 49:16 **improved** 18:2 50:15 in-depth 6:2 incapacitating 148:10 incentive 67:19 inception 58:4 69:19 include 21:9 47:2 62:2 64:14.17.20 65:5 69:13 140:8 included 51:18 93:16 includes 64:6 108:3 including 23:15 24:5 26:15 35:10 36:22 37:18 41:11 43:21 46:15 47:22 58:20 70:7 72:7,20 76:1 95:1,7 134:16 income 69:22 inconsistent 131:2 incorporated 75:1 **increase** 5:14 20:18 142:12 increased 20:16 28:14 47:5 125:1 increasing 8:15 increasingly 24:3 incremental 49:12 independence 64:20 independent 21:5 41:8 65:7,9 76:15 India 32:8 55:9 indicate 84:7 indicated 26:12 indirect 65:10 indirectly 50:4 individuals 75:16 **industrial** 34:1 70:3 industries 99:8 industry 33:22 108:9,19 120:15 124:11

influence 28:18 66:20 inform 103:22 informal 66:1 **information** 22:15 59:6 72:15 87:1,20,21 88:9 90:4 140:7 informed 86:16 infrastructure 138:3 initially 20:7 initiative 140:2 initiatives 8:1 24:6 100:7 135:22 136:7 innovation 121:4 **inroads** 138:1 **inspect** 76:16 inspection 76:4 **Inspector** 74:17 75:21 76:22 instance 41:13 97:21 100:16 institution 9:2 44:7 91:11 113:6 130:1 institutional 47:12 50:3 119:10 **institutions** 18:3 75:6 **instruct** 106:16 instrumental 112:9 insurance 126:22 127:11 150:17 integrity 64:18 intend 27:22 intent 60:14 intentions 108:15 interactions 135:7 interest 21:18,19 22:6 22:12,22 41:9 50:14 58:14,15 64:7 65:13 71:14,16,21 72:4 82:10 85:1,6 129:19 138:8,8,9 141:17 148:8 **interesting** 25:11 27:5 30:13 117:8 **interests** 129:2.4 interfere 22:1 interject 12:5 intermediary 99:9 intermediation 126:9 internal 75:21 124:7 internally 34:4 international 1:16 8:20 9:17 12:10 46:7 54:6 internationally 51:18

**interrupt** 77:14 85:16 **introduce** 12:2 24:18

57:9 62:11 63:4

introduction 25:21

40:6 46:2 48:7 53:10

invaluable 18:11 invest 50:4 investigate 76:16 investing 58:22 investment 17:20 investor 23:5 investors 37:11 47:12 50:8,13 52:16 112:17 invitation 19:16 46:9 invite 6:7 11:21,22 19:10 24:16 57:7 62:9 63:2 74:5 **invited** 152:15 involved 13:11 19:7 22:9 26:6 93:17,19 131:16,21 140:16 involvement 50:7 involving 71:2 76:17 irrelevant 30:15 **IRS** 140:16 issuance 37:19 **issuances** 60:1 71:16 issue 12:17 15:16 32:15 37:8,10 130:10 131:8 146:4 150:16,22 **issued** 146:7 issues 22:7 35:5 52:14 76:10 102:22 149:6.9 152:3 **issuing** 58:20 it'll 92:12 item 4:7,10

J James 1:17 4:3 Jennifer 74:17 76:22 **Jim** 1:16 3:6 8:19.21 9:1 9:1 11:21,22 12:2,9 19:6,21 27:14 109:2 125:11 126:2 128:9 129:9,14 132:22 133:3,8 138:12 149:20 150:9 job 6:15 18:13 iobs 6:22 124:17 141:15 join 68:11 74:8 87:11 joined 17:13,16 21:8 87:2 joining 9:21,22 10:6,20 25:5,7 Joseph 9:18 judge 141:11 judgment 65:12 Judith 1:13 5:4 151:9 **July** 74:20 jump 17:17 **June** 7:3

Justice 17:6 138:13 139:5,14 140:2,9 146:14 justify 18:16

K Kaplan 2:20 10:21 114:22,22 115:2,2,5 116:15 keep 112:5 148:15 149:5 **keeping** 126:8 **keeps** 134:6 Ken 1:19 3:17 9:4 62:9 62:10,12,21 63:2 65:2 68:5,18 73:21 129:7 132:16 Kenya 55:8 **kept** 109:9 147:16 **key** 8:9 14:4 49:5 55:12 135:4 148:15 **KFW** 51:20 Kimberly 1:10,12 4:4 kinds 133:9 149:8 knitting 15:22 **Knowing** 143:5 knowledge 54:21 139:2 known 95:9 150:4 knows 39:19 105:10 122:14 140:20 147:17

**Kong** 42:3

L L 76:22 lack 92:21 121:4 lacks 40:19 lag 100:10 136:10 landscape 51:10 Lanka 55:8 large 18:1 27:4 30:20 30:21 31:18 33:14,17 34:19 43:20 56:4 99:17 largely 143:4 larger 31:22 32:1 54:6 144:22 largest 51:7 **Larry** 11:4 late 13:10 16:19 latest 124:21 Latin 55:4 134:10 138:2 law 6:19,20,20 20:7 21:15,15 76:8 86:5 124:15 140:10 141:11 149:22 lawyer 20:7 **lawyers** 139:1 lead 6:12 20:14,20,22

limitation 50:10 124:2 146:7 mandates 95:4 21:2 139:17 mandatory 76:9 limited 34:9 39:5 42:14 **longer** 16:6 106:18 leadership 7:20 119:5,5 manner 112:17 **leading** 116:3 54:11 71:1 74:13 84:6 longest 7:14 manufacturing 101:10 **leads** 87:5 86:13 90:8 91:4 92:14 learned 139:7 151:12 limits 33:21 34:5 **Iongtime** 147:10 **March** 75:9 mark 107:10 leash 147:15 line 106:18 109:18 look 10:11 18:10 25:19 market 25:10 27:14.20 **led** 109:3 118:7 139:2 48:19 100:2,11 liquidity 27:14 28:22 128:15 133:4,5 136:9 28:6 29:1,7 32:3 33:7 Lee 19:3 143:2 32:7 33:1,5 34:9,11 145:7 34:8 36:7 37:13 42:15 **left** 15:15 leg 5:17 142:22 36:1,1 38:16 42:11 looked 86:4 42:17,22 50:12 53:22 looking 33:20 35:18 55:20 56:12 61:22 legal 22:5 60:13 61:6 47:6 49:10,20 52:20 62:4 67:18 81:4,11 70:10,19 71:13 79:16 39:10 104:1 122:12 86:5,14 82:19,19,22 83:11 legally 60:15 82:17,20 86:11 90:15 122:12 lose 55:18 56:20 121:9 87:10 92:15 95:11 legislative 39:20 91:5 92:14 98:14 legitimate 84:3 89:10 99:10 100:21 110:9 133:21 97:11 98:11.15 104:9 110:15 111:7,12,17 loses 133:21 110:19 111:18 113:8 113:4 114:2,14 136:4 losing 132:5 113:8 114:2,18 **Lehman** 15:15 131:19 138:15 loss 72:22 133:14 116:21 118:11 124:5 lender 20:6 24:11 38:13 40:1 53:15 59:18 **Lisa** 1:20 3:16 9:6 62:18 lost 71:7 139:19 145:5 146:16 63:2,4,10 65:4 lot 30:13 43:17 55:15 148:17 149:12 100:14 list 15:21 51:21 86:1 97:17 99:20 market-based 37:7 **lenders** 23:12 24:3 27:13 29:2 34:14,20 listen 12:21 105:9,11 118:19 marketing 27:16 139:20 35:1 36:19 38:21 listeners 39:17 119:9 122:7 123:13 marketplace 26:15 27:8 42:18 43:10 49:11 listening 6:2 11:7 42:5 141:21 143:19 146:21 119:10 142:2 marketplaces 100:12 70:13,17,19,22 71:9 108:6 151:12 markets 32:14 39:3,4 81:17 93:15.16 122:7 literature 120:19 love 111:1 117:16 128:2 136:3 little 41:13 66:14 83:21 142:11,19 54:9,16 55:21 94:8 95:1,19 96:15 112:17 lenders' 52:12 105:6 109:19 116:10 low 73:2 lowering 50:14 lending 15:12 17:2 125:19 126:1 131:3 116:5 Mary 2:7 9:16 21:6 22:10 25:22 27:17,17 **live** 140:12 loyalty 21:14 136:19 138:19 31:8 32:16 41:15 70:8 **LLC** 9:17 **lucky** 16:7 loan 15:13 25:9 27:16 lunch 152:12 Mason 40:12 72:12 75:5 79:6.15 91:8,20 92:7 142:18 28:15 32:13 36:17,20 massive 111:10 M **master** 53:16 144:15 150:14 37:1,2 59:21 66:15,16 material 65:10 lends 128:12 67:1 81:2,6 120:10 **machine** 54:13 146:6.7 Madam 12:8 68:8 73:18 materially 60:17 length 58:8 145:17 loans 22:15 28:11 30:9 148:18 matter 16:6 47:1 97:21 147:5 152:21 magazine 95:7 lengthy 6:3 148:11 36:4 42:7 43:2,11,16 matters 21:22 23:15 lesser 144:12 44:2 53:18 59:15,15 **Maier** 9:18 let's 38:7 41:10 44:18 70:18,21 81:18,20,22 main 6:21 66:22 maturity 14:14.19 126:5 127:16 129:3 82:4 83:6,8 94:7 maintaining 46:20 Mauritius 55:8 letter 46:19,22 47:2 97:14 99:12,15 100:1 major 23:14 54:12 maximize 134:11 majority 49:7 49:6 74:18 106:5,5 100:4,9,11 113:5 118:20 136:13 140:7 141:1 making 28:21 32:19 maximum 114:2 144:1 145:4,11 146:4 68:14 94:11 98:14 McCaul 135:3 letters 74:7 146:9 123:3 141:14 mean 44:18 84:1 87:10 level 29:3 30:6 34:21 lobby 31:3 manage 33:21 111:13 88:8 89:12 91:12 66:11 70:6 114:8,8,9 95:11 96:4 97:10 98:1 137:11 **Local** 54:9 112:11 location 54:22 55:2 management 35:17 98:6,7 101:12 102:21 leverage 134:12 110:6 113:2,11 114:9 long 51:21 92:12 64:15 67:6 72:20 leveraging 50:19 73:11 126:12 132:4 120:5 121:8,9 128:5 103:15 122:3,9,15 liabilities 132:8 131:7 133:21 145:21 123:1,7 124:6 128:14 manager 132:7 liability 15:10 87:5 146:13,17,20 150:7 131:18 143:19 144:18,18 manages 71:13 means 81:2 133:22 **lifetimes** 109:15 146:10 148:12 mandate 7:18 8:5 39:20 measure 58:17 72:11 light 146:21 long- 71:15 131:12 42:6 50:17 94:14 95:17,20 97:4 134:15 120:14 likelihood 130:17 long-term 23:1 31:21 measures 72:7 limit 33:22 34:1,2 74:1 36:1 58:20 82:4 94:11 137:22 150:7 94:21 97:2 108:22 mandated 76:8 94:9 mechanism 17:3 44:11 100:15

66:16 119:18 139:18 149:13 150:17 mechanisms 75:20 119:8 **medium** 76:8 medium- 35:21 38:12 53:17 110:13 medium-size 110:12 medium-sized 47:20 71:2 medium-term 31:16 36:8,10 37:8 83:8 108:22 126:9 145:2 meet 83:4 meeting 1:5 4:6 5:7 10:6,10 19:16 69:7 75:12 107:9,20 meetings 22:16 23:19 26:17 59:6 65:21 67:1 67:12,13 72:14 member 20:9 21:8 40:16 46:10 96:21 138:20 members 9:8,20 11:9 11:13 13:8 21:3,6,9 21:13 40:14 43:19 46:8 47:21 48:11 63:8 64:8,14,20 65:16 74:6 74:7 77:18 78:6 118:8 124:19 125:4 140:7 151:6 membership 78:6 118:3 memo 12:15 memorandum 141:6 mention 21:18 mentioned 25:22 26:16 37:4 47:3 49:8 52:6 52:15 66:22 91:8 94:6 94:7.15.16 119:3 133:3 134:14 139:19 140:5,14 142:11 146:12 148:18 mentioning 42:2 **MEP** 118:20 Mercatus 2:11 10:7 40:12 messages 49:5 **metrics** 51:16 Mexico 32:8 middle 36:13 55:3 midst 24:2 million 39:22 54:5 82:3 92:22 99:21 116:17 117:8,13 129:21 130:2 145:1 **millions** 141:16 mind 101:19 108:6

116:13 148:15 minefield 34:4 minimal 37:16 130:9,15 133:13 minimize 132:2 133:10 minimum 44:21 82:3 minute 106:15 minutes 11:13 12:3,6 18:6 38:4 40:7 45:6 46:3 48:8 53:11 57:10 62:12 63:5 mischaracterize 77:13 missing 145:14 mission 20:5 24:10 25:8 27:10 29:13 49:9 71:5 73:15 83:1 124:15,17 141:14 misunderstood 127:13 mitigated 73:4 131:9 132:12 mix 64:15 mixing 145:18 mobilization 75:3 mobilize 73:14 mobilizes 50:3 73:7 **mode** 32:1 model 52:1 66:15,19 144:7 modest 32:1 73:16 88:16 145:3 Molly 1:15 84:10 85:17 mom 141:22 moment 85:17 **moments** 109:13 Monday 8:21 money 17:22 51:7 99:20 132:6 monopoly 17:9 40:17 44:9 77:15,16,22 78:19 79:4 80:14,16 80:20 81:1,2,10 84:8 84:21 86:7 98:9,17,18 120:9 139:3,17 months 13:7 36:5 88:18 102:9 morning 4:4,6 5:2,6 53:12 57:12 63:7,8 66:22 68:16,16 149:10 151:12 mortgage-backed 35:15 motion 4:7,10,17 5:3 **MOU** 142:5 move 18:8 38:5 45:8 62:16 123:21 **moving** 152:4 multiple 29:4 33:6 39:6

117:3 125:15 126:18 127:6 130:8 mute 4:20 Myanmar 100:15,17

Ν name 24:21 40:10 51:22 55:6 63:10 68:18 147:8 Nandkumar 2:21 3:10 10:2 24:17 25:1 35:4 35:7,8 37:22 38:3,7 87:15,15 90:1,1,20 91:6 92:16 94:2,4 121:14,18,22 122:21 123:4,13,16 142:9,10 143:16,16 narrow 15:18 149:5 Nation's 134:19 national 127:1 134:17 134:20 135:5 141:7 naturally 81:12 nature 13:16 122:3 144:15 navigate 53:1 near 143:6 nearly 26:2 30:8 35:12 necessarily 117:22 **necessary** 42:9,21 **need** 13:5 38:9,18,21 69:4 87:19.22 93:12 111:11 112:15 113:4 114:1,19 115:10 123:16 128:14 137:11 139:22 143:20 144:16 needed 58:19 70:11 81:21 102:10 114:11 **needs** 13:13 15:13 37:1 37:2,18 95:18 99:10 134:11 146:8 **negative** 87:3 119:15 120:18,21 net 120:14,18,21 network 51:3 neutralize 7:20 150:1 never 5:11 6:16 40:17 56:8 113:18 151:22 **new** 6:12,19 7:17 8:5 20:22 22:16 39:19 50:12 126:8 134:15 135:9,11 144:22 146:4 **Newt** 134:5 nice 5:5 152:12 Nicole 1:21 3:15 9:10 57:7,12 62:9

nobody's 128:14 nominated 124:19 nominating 20:22,22 21:21,21 non- 79:17 111:18 130:16.17 **non-bank** 136:3 **non-banks** 145:4 non-discriminatory 29:1 non-functioning 89:5 non-management 64:15 67:9 non-special 41:9 non-stockholder 64:16 non-U.S 46:15 nonbank 34:14,20 noon 107:8,10 normal 43:8 50:10 82:19 normally 31:12 **notably** 50:2 66:8 note 11:2 16:16 20:21 21:4 22:13 27:6 28:21 31:15 37:2,8 51:9,14 52:3 60:1 71:17 78:16 83:7,13 117:17 142:16 **noted** 10:9 notes 11:17 23:1 35:22 36:2,3,8,9,10,12,12 36:16.16.17.19 37:12 37:14 50:3,8 58:14 61:17 62:3,4 71:21 72:2 82:10 83:5 111:16 129:20 noteworthy 72:1 73:10 **notice** 46:17 **noticed** 141:6 **noting** 26:11 48:15 number 4:7.10 28:7 41:18 51:6 58:20 80:9 95:8 99:14 101:14,16 109:3 111:6 112:14 124:22 125:1 numbers 98:6 113:21

#### 0

116:11

O'Brien 134:18 objective 69:7 93:3,3 objectives 15:21 27:10 29:14 61:12 138:16 obligations 60:15 obligor 40:1 observations 13:2 obstacle 54:12 obtain 23:5 49:17 54:11

52:6 100:1 115:21

Nigeria 55:7

**Nixon** 16:20

**obtuse** 116:11 **obviously** 87:22 95:3 occasions 140:21 occurs 66:11 October 10:10 62:1 63:12 odds 40:21 **OECD** 98:5 offer 29:5 56:14 91:9.13 111:18 offering 75:13 115:11 offers 55:17 office 1:16 8:20 57:8,13 75:20 85:18 96:16 officer 1:19,20 2:9,17 9:6,7 10:19 62:21 63:3,11,16 68:5,19,20 officially 63:12 officials 63:14 offshore 32:8 **oftentimes** 33:11,14 34:6 69:4 **OIG** 76:7,11,12,15 **OIG's** 76:18 once 22:16 53:3 98:9,9 104:14 one's 124:22 145:18 149:20 one-year 114:13 122:6 124:8 ones 118:6 open 3:2 29:16 74:3 75:12 77:20 78:1,4 81:4 84:14 opened 78:6 118:14 opening 125:22 145:7 152:6 **operate** 35:19 39:13 61:21 **operates** 53:15 66:3 operating 2:17 10:19 39:11 57:19 59:8 60:9 66:12 69:19 72:16,17 98:10 146:3 operation 58:18 operational 66:11 134:11 145:6 operations 64:3 66:1,7 70:1 75:22 76:20 143:6 opining 66:9 opinion 44:17 63:22 64:5 66:2 opportunities 69:9 133:4,6,16 **opportunity** 9:9 24:14 35:11 71:6,7 73:10,14

opposed 51:7 **opposite** 43:5 56:10 124:10 optimistic 30:5 optionality 112:10 options 32:13 49:22 150:2 oral 78:16 order 4:6 24:8 55:14 61:20 62:1 83:4 138:14 orders 55:11 organization 26:13 119:19 125:16 organizations 109:22 organizing 19:16 orientation 22:17 original 25:7 27:5 58:8 originally 71:20 **originate** 14:11,15 92:22 127:7 originating 126:4 origination 47:4 52:7 127:5 originators 43:10 ought 101:12,19 119:2 outlet 100:3 outlets 51:7 111:21 112:14 outlines 58:11 59:14 76:4 outside 8:9 20:7 outstandings 29:21 outweigh 133:6 over- 130:3 overall 25:9 120:19 overalls 130:9 overseas 112:20 oversee 26:18 oversight 22:10 23:14 28:14 66:6 75:19,19 75:22 76:19 146:18 overview 12:1,15 18:19 25:2 57:21 overwhelming 46:18 118:18 owner 91:12 owners 43:17 90:10,12 91:1,19 92:1,4 Р

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S p.m 152:22 package 67:20 paid 67:8 71:22 87:8

88:8,15,18 89:2 90:3

pandemic 24:2 109:15

4:1

**panel** 41:9 panelist 10:22 85:20,20 85:21 panelists 12:6 77:5,11 85:22 86:12 102:15 106:21,21 paper 35:21 36:8,9 37:8 131:14 Paraguay 55:10 parameters 58:11 66:18 paramount 115:20 part 7:17 63:18 64:1 72:6 83:2 127:1 138:11 144:20 **PARTICIPANT** 89:19 129:7 participants 52:17 53:9 77:17 109:16 151:11 152:10 participate 60:20 80:1 93:10 participated 64:2 participates 26:16 participation 28:5 38:11 50:6 51:4 particular 34:1 100:12 116:8 particularly 31:22 47:6 49:13 70:22 115:12 116:20 126:10 133:1 142:14 parties 60:16 75:8 partners 27:13,17 47:22 84:15 136:2 partnership 3:3 4:12 26:19,20 40:16 46:20 47:22 52:22 63:19 69:18 72:20 73:5 74:22 119:1 145:8 party 60:17,19 passed 90:12 106:15 **passion** 105:11 **Pat** 111:2,3 path 54:3 Patrick 2:15 3:13 10:17 48:6 53:8 94:6,15 **pause** 42:4 pay 18:12,12,17,18 44:12 payment 60:3 71:21 72:5 85:6 130:18 payments 58:14 59:21 60:1 85:1 **PEFCO's** 9:12 14:8 15:6 16:14 19:17 20:15

22:8 23:1,12,14,16

24:3 27:21 28:1 29:20

35:5 38:10 39:1 41:15 41:16 42:1,5,15 43:22 44:5,21 45:3,13 49:9 51:9 52:22 58:14,17 59:5,7 60:1 61:9 62:2 63:20 64:2,3,11 66:1 67:21 70:5 71:4 72:14 75:14 76:13 85:5 93:12 97:15 98:3 99:12,17 112:9 127:21 128:3,6 133:21 136:12 140:22 145:22 pending 48:14 penetrating 34:16 **people** 6:4 30:13 63:1 96:22 108:7,8 121:6 136:11 perceived 144:11 percent 8:5 41:16 42:1 42:2 43:3 44:1 71:11 90:9 98:3,4,5 102:12 104:17 113:13 114:17 114:18 125:10 127:13 127:19,21 130:7 percentage 31:19 32:2 99:17 100:8 perfect 128:14 perfectly 30:14 134:1 performance 67:15 performs 67:18 **period** 44:17 136:10 144:10 145:3 146:10 147:22 148:11 periodically 61:20 periods 39:6 permits 23:5 59:20 70:20 permitted 139:21 person 12:12 43:1 personal 26:6 114:8 142:16 personalization 13:21 Personally 30:12 **perspective** 9:3 47:18 62:10 63:4 64:1 112:8 113:21 120:13 perspectives 13:3 pertinent 64:4 philosophical 150:15 phone 4:19 150:10 pick 108:11 **piece** 134:5 **pillars** 135:4 pipeline 147:21 pitch 38:17 90:16 place 28:20 47:13 97:5 119:9 142:3 147:21

74:4 102:14 115:5

1			
<b>placed</b> 61:16	28:8 48:22 63:12	pricing 22:14 47:13	37:6 46:13 70:18
places 134:10 138:7	76:12 135:18	66:17 67:1 91:10,10	75:22 76:19 81:6
placing 106:9	positive 46:19 102:16	91:13,13 92:6 140:6	108:8 134:8
plainly 147:1	possibility 18:1	primarily 57:17 61:11	prohibited 22:15 27:15
plan 76:3,5	possible 5:8 12:22 56:6	91:1	139:20
<b>planes</b> 113:17	72:8	primary 7:1 26:14 60:10	project 26:5 29:10 39:7
planting 10:12	post 16:2	69:1 93:3,3 96:10	116:16,19 117:9
plate 33:8	post-COVID 47:6	144:14	144:1
played 61:3	potential 22:6 29:2,7	<b>prime</b> 5:16	project's 54:19
playing 29:3 137:11	49:17 52:16 64:7	primer 24:17	projects 54:1,4,5
plays 13:14 14:11 49:11	142:7	principal 23:2 59:22	110:11
113:22 137:18,19	potentially-connected	prior 22:3 26:2 35:13,16	promised 5:14
<b>pleas</b> 45:7	41:19	61:2 69:13 90:5,6	promoting 138:16
please 11:12 12:2,5	practice 132:4	priorities 76:5 122:16	proponent 41:12
18:7 24:18 38:4 40:6	precisely 121:4	prioritization 76:10	proposed 103:19
46:1 48:7 53:10 57:9	predicated 135:20	priority 95:8	proposing 103:2,4,17
62:11 63:4 65:4 76:20	preface 48:15	private 3:4 4:12 17:1	prospect 17:21
77:14 85:14,18,19,21	preferential 92:3,3	42:9 50:5 56:1 69:21	protect 6:18
94:4 106:15,22	prepare 76:3 104:1	70:13,16 71:4 73:7,14	protected 98:16
107:14 109:19 110:1	prepared 12:15	74:22 75:3 118:4,11	protecting 64:6
111:3 113:1 121:21	preparing 13:8	125:16 131:4,6,9	protection 65:17
125:13,18 126:1	presence 72:14 142:12	134:7,12	provide 12:1 14:13
129:1,6,10 135:10	142:13	privately-controlled	17:13 24:17 29:8
152:11	present 1:12,15 2:5	44:7	42:10 48:17 49:9
pleased 7:6 24:22	35:11 57:7 65:21 68:6 109:4	<b>privilege</b> 20:4 85:3 120:8	57:20 62:9 63:3,21 64:12 65:17,19 70:6
46:21 <b>pledged</b> 36:11	presentation 4:11	probably 27:7 125:20	75:13 90:14 124:4,10
plenty 113:15	25:11,14 35:2 62:6	145:9 149:21	151:2
plus 130:3	68:15 141:4	problem 88:20	provided 144:9 151:3
pockets 111:17	presentations 3:3	<b>problems</b> 5:1 81:9	provides 17:19 37:15
point 7:5 14:8 29:13	11:18	procedure 66:13	47:5,11 59:15 66:16
P	•		
39:20 51:14 52:8	presented 135:1	procedures 39:12	70:10 72:10 82:18
39:20 51:14 52:8 88:17 104:9 122:1.2.4	presented 135:1 presenter 18:8 38:6	<b>procedures</b> 39:12 57:20 60:9 64:6	70:10 72:10 82:18 150:22 151:1
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4	presented 135:1 presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8		
	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8	57:20 60:9 64:6	150:22 151:1
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3	presenter 18:8 38:6	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14	150:22 151:1 <b>providing</b> 25:2 46:16
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19 44:10 66:18 90:7 152:13	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19 44:10 66:18 90:7 152:13 produces 117:10	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19 44:10 66:18 90:7 152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19 44:10 66:18 90:7 152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13 39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19 44:10 66:18 90:7 152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13 39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17 51:17 90:17 93:4	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19 44:10 66:18 90:7 152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13 39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17 51:17 90:17 93:4 117:10	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22     98:20,20 102:6 103:1	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 press 6:8	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19    44:10 66:18 90:7    152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13    39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17    51:17 90:17 93:4    117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9    77:10,13,14,22 79:4    87:6 89:7 95:17,22    98:20,20 102:6 103:1    104:9 147:9	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22 population 95:12	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10 press 6:8 pressure 131:11	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22     98:20,20 102:6 103:1     104:9 147:9 Professor's 106:4	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15 123:18,19 126:3
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22 population 95:12 portfolio 8:6 29:22	presenter 18:8 38:6     45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14     9:16 25:1 137:15     138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19     1:20 2:8,12,19,21     6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5     9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9     16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8     67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10 press 6:8 pressure 131:11 presumably 26:9	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19    44:10 66:18 90:7    152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13    39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17    51:17 90:17 93:4    117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9    77:10,13,14,22 79:4    87:6 89:7 95:17,22    98:20,20 102:6 103:1    104:9 147:9	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22 population 95:12	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10 press 6:8 pressure 131:11	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22     98:20,20 102:6 103:1     104:9 147:9 Professor's 106:4 profit 45:17	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15 123:18,19 126:3 127:12,15 128:8
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22 population 95:12 portfolio 8:6 29:22 41:17 42:1,3 97:15	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10 press 6:8 pressure 131:11 presumably 26:9 pretty 26:10 27:11	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22     98:20,20 102:6 103:1     104:9 147:9 Professor's 106:4 profit 45:17 profit- 141:13	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15 123:18,19 126:3 127:12,15 128:8 137:1 148:2 151:9,18
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22 population 95:12 portfolio 8:6 29:22 41:17 42:1,3 97:15 99:13,16 128:3 130:7 132:8 136:9,13 145:20,22 146:3	presenter 18:8 38:6     45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14     9:16 25:1 137:15     138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19     1:20 2:8,12,19,21     6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5     9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9     16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8     67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10 press 6:8 pressure 131:11 presumably 26:9 pretty 26:10 27:11 prevailing 50:14	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22     98:20,20 102:6 103:1     104:9 147:9 Professor's 106:4 profit 45:17 profit- 141:13 profitability 93:2,11,20	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15 123:18,19 126:3 127:12,15 128:8 137:1 148:2 151:9,18 151:19 152:20 public 5:6,11 6:7 8:22 10:5 43:19 47:1 70:6
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22 population 95:12 portfolio 8:6 29:22 41:17 42:1,3 97:15 99:13,16 128:3 130:7 132:8 136:9,13 145:20,22 146:3 portfolios 28:11	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10 press 6:8 pressure 131:11 presumably 26:9 pretty 26:10 27:11 prevailing 50:14 previous 27:20 45:21 109:20 previously 49:8 134:14	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22     98:20,20 102:6 103:1     104:9 147:9 Professor's 106:4 profit 45:17 profit- 141:13 profitability 93:2,11,20 profoundly 131:2 program 8:6 36:2,3     37:9 45:22 50:3,9	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15 123:18,19 126:3 127:12,15 128:8 137:1 148:2 151:9,18 151:19 152:20 public 5:6,11 6:7 8:22 10:5 43:19 47:1 70:6 74:5,21 87:22 88:3,7
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22 population 95:12 portfolio 8:6 29:22 41:17 42:1,3 97:15 99:13,16 128:3 130:7 132:8 136:9,13 145:20,22 146:3 portfolios 28:11 portion 27:6	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10 press 6:8 pressure 131:11 presumably 26:9 pretty 26:10 27:11 prevailing 50:14 previous 27:20 45:21 109:20 previously 49:8 134:14 price 49:16 51:13,15	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22     98:20,20 102:6 103:1     104:9 147:9 Professor's 106:4 profit 45:17 profit- 141:13 profitability 93:2,11,20 profoundly 131:2 program 8:6 36:2,3     37:9 45:22 50:3,9     83:5,9,13 111:16	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15 123:18,19 126:3 127:12,15 128:8 137:1 148:2 151:9,18 151:19 152:20 public 5:6,11 6:7 8:22 10:5 43:19 47:1 70:6 74:5,21 87:22 88:3,7 88:9,11 90:3 107:20
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22 population 95:12 portfolio 8:6 29:22 41:17 42:1,3 97:15 99:13,16 128:3 130:7 132:8 136:9,13 145:20,22 146:3 portfolios 28:11 portion 27:6 poses 69:2	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10 press 6:8 pressure 131:11 presumably 26:9 pretty 26:10 27:11 prevailing 50:14 previous 27:20 45:21 109:20 previously 49:8 134:14 price 49:16 51:13,15 111:20	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22     98:20,20 102:6 103:1     104:9 147:9 Professor's 106:4 profit 45:17 profit-141:13 profitability 93:2,11,20 profoundly 131:2 program 8:6 36:2,3     37:9 45:22 50:3,9     83:5,9,13 111:16     120:8 150:14	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15 123:18,19 126:3 127:12,15 128:8 137:1 148:2 151:9,18 151:19 152:20 public 5:6,11 6:7 8:22 10:5 43:19 47:1 70:6 74:5,21 87:22 88:3,7 88:9,11 90:3 107:20 108:3,19 151:13
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22 population 95:12 portfolio 8:6 29:22 41:17 42:1,3 97:15 99:13,16 128:3 130:7 132:8 136:9,13 145:20,22 146:3 portfolios 28:11 portion 27:6	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10 press 6:8 pressure 131:11 presumably 26:9 pretty 26:10 27:11 prevailing 50:14 previous 27:20 45:21 109:20 previously 49:8 134:14 price 49:16 51:13,15	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22     98:20,20 102:6 103:1     104:9 147:9 Professor's 106:4 profit 45:17 profit- 141:13 profitability 93:2,11,20 profoundly 131:2 program 8:6 36:2,3     37:9 45:22 50:3,9     83:5,9,13 111:16	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15 123:18,19 126:3 127:12,15 128:8 137:1 148:2 151:9,18 151:19 152:20 public 5:6,11 6:7 8:22 10:5 43:19 47:1 70:6 74:5,21 87:22 88:3,7 88:9,11 90:3 107:20
88:17 104:9 122:1,2,4 123:3,20 124:1 126:3 127:13 140:5,9 141:2 150:12 pointed 133:3,9 138:12 pointing 26:11 points 26:22 78:14 143:18 policies 122:15 policy 1:16 8:20 12:10 61:9,12 Political 9:18 Pompeo 134:17 pool 61:17 62:5 130:2 pooling 111:16 pop 141:22 population 95:12 portfolio 8:6 29:22 41:17 42:1,3 97:15 99:13,16 128:3 130:7 132:8 136:9,13 145:20,22 146:3 portfolios 28:11 portion 27:6 poses 69:2	presenter 18:8 38:6 45:8 presenters 57:5 78:17 presenting 8:18 9:14 9:16 25:1 137:15 138:20 presents 54:12 president 1:10,16,18,19 1:20 2:8,12,19,21 6:11 7:12,14 8:19 9:5 9:6 10:1,2,3,16 12:9 16:20 24:22 25:6 35:8 67:15 68:19 President's 134:16 presiding 1:10 press 6:8 pressure 131:11 presumably 26:9 pretty 26:10 27:11 prevailing 50:14 previous 27:20 45:21 109:20 previously 49:8 134:14 price 49:16 51:13,15 111:20	57:20 60:9 64:6 proceeded 116:14 process 7:16 28:19     44:10 66:18 90:7     152:13 produces 117:10 products 6:13 38:13     39:5 46:5 49:19 51:17     51:17 90:17 93:4     117:10 professing 148:8 Professor 9:18 77:8,9     77:10,13,14,22 79:4     87:6 89:7 95:17,22     98:20,20 102:6 103:1     104:9 147:9 Professor's 106:4 profit 45:17 profit-141:13 profitability 93:2,11,20 profoundly 131:2 program 8:6 36:2,3     37:9 45:22 50:3,9     83:5,9,13 111:16     120:8 150:14	150:22 151:1 providing 25:2 46:16 47:13 50:18 61:8 70:19 provisions 64:18 65:5 prudent 72:19 73:11 Pryor 1:13 4:15,19,21 4:22 5:1 7:8 11:17 23:21 68:17 74:10 106:1,12,13,19 107:7 107:18 110:3,21 111:3 112:21 113:1 114:16 115:1,4 116:1 117:5,15 120:3 121:12,15,18,19 122:20 123:2,12,15 123:18,19 126:3 127:12,15 128:8 137:1 148:2 151:9,18 151:19 152:20 public 5:6,11 6:7 8:22 10:5 43:19 47:1 70:6 74:5,21 87:22 88:3,7 88:9,11 90:3 107:20 108:3,19 151:13

public-private 69:18 publically-created 90:22 **publicly** 92:9 116:9 published 49:5 95:6 purchase 81:18 82:4 purchased 59:17 62:3 purchaser 81:19,19 purchases 71:9 145:4 purpose 17:1 103:7,7,8 pursuant 58:18 66:12 72:5 push 34:2 put 17:22 33:13 46:16 49:19 59:20 70:17 74:13 105:9 113:21

Q&A 25:19 43:6 qualifications 64:11 qualified 61:16 qualify 83:7 quarter 41:2 quarterly 67:3,12 76:6 question 20:12 33:2,3

Q

85:19 87:6 89:13,19 89:20,22 94:1 97:7,9 98:9 99:11 102:6 106:2 114:21 121:17 124:1 143:2 144:21 147:5 149:18,20

46:16 79:6 84:12,20

questioned 149:2 questions 3:19 11:14 53:5 68:2 74:11 76:21 77:4,7,12 83:19 84:2 84:4,4 89:10,10 105:20 106:17 128:18 143:15

quick 108:5 112:22 121:21 125:12 128:22 quickly 12:16 25:18 110:5 124:14 150:3

quietly 56:17 quintessential 43:13 quite 6:6 25:11 34:18 35:20 51:21 52:2 54:20 100:4 134:22 quorum 143:5

R

quote 30:8 109:9

rail 117:10 raise 85:7 raised 20:13 89:7,11 103:14 122:5 raises 104:9 raising 41:3 Raj 2:21 3:10 10:2 24:17 25:1 35:4,8 87:15 90:1 121:14,21 121:21 122:2 123:12 123:15 142:10 143:16

Raj's 124:1 ramp 30:4 145:7 ramping 24:1 range 54:4 83:10 rapid 17:5 rate 39:5 50:15 62:3 71:14,16 144:7

rated 33:17 133:11 rates 51:5 56:14 82:10 112:5 144:6 rating 23:10 11 72:21

rating 23:10,11 72:21 133:12 144:7,8 rationale 43:18

reached 18:6 38:3 45:6 read 8:2 74:19 reading 81:14 reads 95:9

ready 40:15 143:10 145:11

reaffirms 76:15 real 17:21 130:10 131:20

reality 43:5 56:9 realm 88:9

reason 99:16 144:14 reasonable 141:12 reassurance 122:8

123:6 143:20 reauthorization 90:7 94:10 122:6 124:4 145:17

reauthorizations

104:14,18 reauthorized 7:11 104:16 146:1

receive 49:16 66:20 67:9,11 72:15 received 19:2 46:17

receiving 120:17 recession 42:16 recognize 120:12

recommending 67:16 recommends 67:7 record 12:3 24:18 40:7

46:2 47:1 48:7 53:10 55:5 57:9 62:11 63:5 79:12 152:22

reduces 43:9

Reed 1:10,12 4:3,4,14 4:17,18 5:3,4 11:21 18:22 19:14 20:2 23:21 24:13,15,20 26:11 35:10 39:14,15

40:10 45:18 46:7 48:5 48:10 53:6,7 57:3,12 62:8,14,17,19 63:8,20 64:22 65:3 68:4,9,16 73:20 74:20 103:6.8 103:19,22 105:21 106:8,12,14 107:13 107:17,19 121:20 125:3 128:19,21 129:9,17 130:20 132:14,15,18 133:18 134:4 136:17,18,21 136:22 138:18,19 139:9,10,11,12 140:13 142:9,21 144:19 145:13,15 147:3,7 149:17 151:5 151:16,18,19 152:9

151:16,18,19 152: Reed's 40:22 referencing 49:4

referred 59:13 148:2 refinance 54:19 reflect 13:6

reflected 30:21 reflective 30:2 31:10

reform 41:4 reformer 40:22 reforms 7:8

regard 65:22 71:12 137:22

regarded 23:7 regarding 3:3 4:11 5:7 39:22 59:6 61:10 87:21 108:22 140:18

Regardless 76:13 regional 46:14 Register 6:8 46:17

152:16 registrants 6:1 registration 23:4

regret 41:8 regular 16:6 26:17 80:7 regularly 29:4 regulation 37:9 42:22

regulations 42:21 94:20

regulatory 28:14 33:16 52:13 126:8 131:10

reimburse 72:6 reinstate 62:2 reiterate 118:14 related 35:5 133:10,15 relating 15:3

Relations 1:17 8:21 12:10 relationship 5:15 10

relationship 5:15 12:1 23:9 48:13 57:15,16 58:2,5,12 60:11 61:5 65:10 73:12 75:16 76:17 100:19 102:7 102:18 109:5 115:19 117:1 118:22 121:5 133:2

relative 133:7 relatively 15:18 50:7 82:20 88:15,16 112:13

release 6:9 released 7:3 relevant 21:15 64:

relevant 21:15 64:19 111:7 reliable 33:4

relief 23:3 38:16 90:15 relies 71:10 reluctant 94:21

rely 28:15 115:8 149:12 remain 24:9 38:22 remaining 22:19

remarks 11:12,15 12:3 19:20 24:19 35:3 40:8 46:3 48:8 53:11 57:7 57:10 62:10,12 63:3,6 68:1 73:22 78:1 83:22 102:17 106:5 125:22

remember 44:18 remembering 113:13 remind 87:19 124:14 renew 3:3 4:12 39:10 40:16 57:15 75:11 114:11 148:13

renewal 5:8 19:18 40:21 48:14 57:1 58:7 60:8 63:19 75:14,15 76:13 85:8 109:6 143:8

renewed 24:12 44:17 45:1,12 56:20 58:5 144:10

repayment 141:13 repeat 27:21 45:10 127:10 135:16 replace 150:19

report 7:4,6 8:2 40:3 41:15 43:4 44:15 68:20 90:4

reported 92:9 reporting 120:16 121:7 121:7

reports 63:16 70:5 reposition 28:10 representation 30:11 59:4

representative 30:1 representatives 63:9 64:16,17 65:20 77:21 107:22

Rhodes 21:8 seek 136:4 represents 31:18 49:7 S seeking 57:14 58:7 right-hand 31:6 118:16 133:13 **S** 37:9 60:8 Republican 101:8 rights 44:8 60:15 72:15 saddened 40:13 request 3:3 4:11 19:17 risk 1:19 9:5 14:18 15:9 seen 7:21 88:11 109:14 safe 152:11,20 75:14 76:13 15:13.16 17:22 37:5 109:21 146:4 149:13 **Saharan** 11:9 94:13 requested 75:11 37:16 43:4 44:14 54:8 seament 32:2 95:1 96:2,9,11,14,19 **self-** 43:13 requesting 24:3,11 62:10,20 68:5,19,20 97:3 99:6 100:8 116:6 self-dealing 43:14 requests 80:13 68:22 69:2,4,5,6,15 137:22 138:7 71:8,12 72:19,22 75:17 require 54:20 119:6 **salarv** 67:19 73:13 76:8,10 129:19 **self-evident** 27:11 39:9 required 21:20 22:5 sale 141:1 sell 28:11,11 43:15 29:10 141:11 129:22 130:6,9,11,13 **Sasse** 19:4 70:18 112:20 130:14 131:3,3,5,9,16 requirement 72:6 **Saudi** 55:9 selling 110:13 requirements 83:3,5 131:16,17,18,20,21 saving 87:7 **Senate** 125:4 140:11 131:22 132:2,3,5,10 **savings** 15:13 requires 40:2 69:9 132:11 133:5,7,10,13 **Senator** 19:3,3,4,4 saying 78:18 79:4 89:7 133:16 94:19 105:17 106:6 Research 2:10 10:7 92:13 98:20 103:14 risk- 42:17 134:21 143:2 40:11 savs 43:4 44:15 103:3 reserve 19:6,7 38:1 risk-rated 47:15 send 74:6 **SBA** 81:5 141:1 138:14 140:14 risk-taking 43:7,8 45:16 **Senior** 1:16,18,19,20,21 **scale** 109:14 risks 14:12 131:20 2:10,12,19,21 8:19 **resort** 38:13 **scene** 12:13 9:5,6,11 10:1,2,7,15 resource 76:9 132:12 scenes 56:17 resources 116:21 risky 95:1 129:2,4,4 12:9 35:8 40:11 44:4 **scheduled** 21:1 75:12 126:12 Rita 21:7 57:13 68:19 scholar 41:9 respect 61:9 76:12 99:4 **Road** 8:1 senior-level 63:15 scope 140:22 sense 14:1 17:14 41:13 100:6 132:22 135:17 **Robert** 134:18 screen 25:15 36:14 131:22 133:16 139:21 robust 11:19 151:14 129:12 sensitivities 30:19 respected 74:5 152:6 **scrutiny** 148:17 sent 7:13 74:18 respective 49:16 rock 97:5 **se** 90:12 **sentence** 45:10,10 Rodney 141:7 142:4 respects 140:11 **seat** 32:19 sentiment 49:7 respond 20:14 86:1 **Rodriguez** 21:7 120:2 **SEC** 23:3 88:1 115:6.22 129:6 role 8:13 13:14.16.17 **separate** 14:6.10 second 4:14,15,17 5:3 serious 40:20 93:12 response 62:13 107:16 14:9 15:17 16:1,18 16:14 17:12 42:8 59:9 25:4 42:13,15 43:8,10 seriously 118:9 139:3 responsibilities 22:10 74:11 84:22 87:6 servant 8:22 22:18 48:17 49:12 51:10 151:21 serve 41:19 63:10 responsibility 66:7 61:3,3 66:6 76:18 secondary 62:4 81:4 99:8 112:9 113:5.22 served 20:9 147:15 responsible 12:12 66:8 82:4 145:5 119:14 135:8 137:18 serves 28:4 61:5 65:22 67:14 68:21 79:15 **Secondly** 13:19 15:9 rest 48:11 150:4 66:5 137:20 143:10 145:9 99:19 **service** 20:1 39:2 67:10 restart 143:6 roles 12:18 14:10,15 secret 92:17 124:18 restricted 84:13 Rolling 41:1 67:11 77:19 86:10 Secretaries 134:16 88:22 124:9 restrictions 23:16 roughly 27:7 **Secretary** 134:17 result 23:2 50:14 56:22 **RSVPs** 19:2 servicer 37:1 **Section** 39:20 services 28:22 29:8 70:15 Rugy 2:10 3:11 10:6 **sector** 17:1 34:1 42:9 results 59:8 72:16 40:6,9,11 45:5,9 48:17 53:19 56:15 50:5 69:21 118:5 70:3 80:8,13 87:12 retain 44:11 145:5 77:15 78:11,14 80:10 125:16 127:22 131:4 90:18 93:5 101:22 retainer 67:11 80:14,16,19,22 81:9 131:6,9 134:3,7 136:3 session 6:3 25:19 retention 69:22 82:5,8 83:14 84:16,19 **sectors** 134:12 set 40:18 126:19 129:22 return 71:22 93:2,11,20 88:10 89:4,15,17 secure 59:22 71:17 95:22 96:4 97:6,9 130:2 returns 17:21 108:14 **secured** 23:1 36:3.12 sets 66:18 review 5:11,13,15 28:18 101:1 102:4 104:12 36:16,19 37:14 50:2,8 setting 12:12 41:6 48:13 63:18,20 105:3 112:21 113:2 58:14 60:1 61:17 62:4 seven 7:11 26:2 104:8 120:4 127:12,16 64:1 67:21 74:21 76:5 71:15,21 72:1 83:4,7 76:11 114:15 146:14 130:22 133:19 145:13 119:14 148:20 83:13 111:16 129:20 seven-year 124:4 reviewed 22:4 40:17 145:16 **securities** 23:4 35:16 Rugy's 77:8 **shape** 32:18 150:19 64:4 67:17 147:1 72:8 **share** 8:17 9:2 34:19 reviewing 74:8 152:3 rule 85:4 124:15 security 134:17,20 77:2 87:10 90:10,12 reviews 76:7 114:14 run 25:17 135:5 91:1,12,18,22 92:4 revisit 102:7,18 running 33:9 147:19 seed 10:12

	i		·
<b>shareholder</b> 48:16 70:1	87:18 88:4	speak 19:11,17 46:9	60:13
80:8 84:7	slide 29:19 31:7 32:4,4	63:1 105:14 106:22	statements 18:7
shareholders 27:1,3	35:18 38:8 39:9	110:5 121:20 130:5	states 1:1 7:1,19 32:10
44:1,13 69:21 79:17	slides 25:17	150:11	117:10 137:6,7,12,16
79:18 80:12 84:14	slight 132:11	Speaker 134:5	148:1,7
86:13 88:21 114:4	small 34:14,17,18,19	speakers 69:13	statutory 76:2
132:10	38:21 41:14,15,17	speaking 83:15,17	stay 106:17,21 107:14
sharing 117:17	51:1 54:1,6,19 56:16	89:16 96:5 101:4	152:11,20
<b>sheer</b> 114:9	56:18 71:2 93:7,15	speaks 98:3	stayed 15:19 16:19
sheet 28:12 32:16	96:10,15,18 98:6	special 18:13 21:18	steering 45:15
33:13,15 34:21 38:16	115:7,10,21 116:9	42:7 120:8	<b>step</b> 33:8
56:5 90:15 92:20	117:9 120:5,21 133:7	<b>specialized</b> 53:15 54:20	Stephen 2:17 3:14
112:6,7	141:1,21 142:13,18	specific 61:12 83:3	10:19 53:9
sheets 52:13 93:13	small- 47:19	116:12 119:22	Steve 53:13 80:6 96:6
100:1	small-type 115:16	specifically 102:10	109:17 110:3,4,22
shoot 148:9	smaller 46:14 51:3	specificity 61:8 specified 59:1	117:6 stockholder 64:16
shop 141:22 short 50:17 91:8 142:10	55:20 70:22 110:12	<b>Spencer</b> 1:13 107:8	stocks 48:3
short-term 35:20 109:1	110:13 127:20	151:8	stop 143:7
shorter 144:10	smoothly 86:2 snapshot 29:20	spend 139:14 152:1	stop 143.7 stopped 43:20
shortly 18:7 38:5 45:7	social 90:13	spent 26:3 35:16	story 116:12
shortness 147:15	sold 113:18	126:13 152:2	straightforward 84:12
shoulders 15:6	solely 66:11 141:8	spite 40:18	strange 13:21
shows 32:5	solicitor 71:15	splitting 127:4	strategic 16:18
shrunk 92:20	solid 26:19 64:5	<b>spoke</b> 64:1	streamlined 52:17
side 15:10 31:6,21	solution 37:7,13 52:9	spoken 134:21	Street 35:15
91:15,20,21 92:7,7	somebody 109:18	sponsored 111:22	stress 33:7 82:22
125:5 131:18 138:3	127:7 151:1	spread 125:17	stressed 112:7
sidelines 143:4	somewhat 92:13	<b>Sri</b> 55:8	stressing 111:12
<b>sight</b> 121:9	sooner 93:11,20	stability 11:5 49:17	strictly 60:13
sign 120:18 121:10	<b>SOP</b> 60:12,18,22 61:4	112:1	<b>strong</b> 55:5 109:7 144:8
signed 71:20 141:6	61:11,15,19 66:13	<b>Stacey</b> 2:12 3:12 10:15	150:14
significant 23:9	83:2,6	46:1,4 118:2,6	strongly 56:22 134:18
significantly 127:20	sophisticated 112:16	staff 1:15 8:9 9:22 10:3	structural 50:10
silos 32:6	SOPs 57:20	14:16 19:3,4,15 42:4	structure 29:17 46:11
similar 51:11 61:3	sorry 73:22 78:21 82:5	57:6 74:1,2 95:3,21	47:17 63:21 64:5
66:17 150:6	87:17 94:3 97:22	103:5 128:22 129:5	67:22 73:11 118:15
simple 35:20 99:13	105:6 121:15,16	143:1,3 152:11	119:21 139:16 structured 26:5 46:12
simplicity 39:1 simply 14:18 49:19	132:19 135:16 sort 12:12,17 29:13	stakeholder 3:3 4:11 57:5 86:16 109:3	structures 49:1 50:19
54:7 70:17 84:13	97:5 133:9,13	stakeholders 5:7 8:10	structuring 50:22
96:11	sorts 69:12	10:5 11:1 63:10 74:3	129:21
Simultaneous 83:15,17	soul 14:1,3	75:13 79:22 86:14	stuck 15:22
89:16 96:5 101:4	sound 13:21	87:4,13 91:4 95:22	<b>sub</b> 94:12,13 95:1 96:2
sincerely 76:22 84:1	soundness 63:22	105:9 107:22 140:8	96:8,10,14,19 97:3
102:21	<b>source</b> 16:5 23:2 32:22	stamped 6:13	99:6 100:7 116:6
<b>single</b> 98:10	33:5 34:10 70:10,19	stand 99:16	137:22 138:6
sir 68:9 79:14 103:20	71:18 95:10 112:17	standard 16:21 36:18	<b>Sub-</b> 11:8
132:18 149:18	142:18	39:11 57:19 60:9	sub-Saharan 55:2
<b>site</b> 91:8	<b>sources</b> 61:14 82:17	66:12	subcommittee 11:4,6
situation 17:10 18:2	83:9 111:19	start 25:14 41:10 123:8	152:17
31:11 81:10	sourcing 49:18 111:20	129:7 135:12	subject 21:13 75:18
situations 132:13	South 55:9 96:16,17	starts 110:10 111:7	submit 6:7 152:15
size 41:18 110:14	Southeast 55:3	148:16	submitted 43:18 46:21
sizes 46:14 47:8 108:21	<b>space</b> 31:20 34:12 38:9	state 19:5 39:21 137:1	submitting 46:18
skeptical 98:1	38:10 92:2,5 99:18	stated 57:14 97:12 134:6 151:22	subsidies 85:3 120:20 subsidy 120:9
<b>skill</b> 64:19	144:8 spaces 93:18	statement 38:5 45:7	substantially 73:4
<b>Slade</b> 1:18 87:14,14,17	<b>30.10</b>	3.43.7	Japotantiany 10.7
II	1	1	1

**success** 54:2 tests 40:20 tool 109:10 134:19 Т toolbox 109:10 134:19 **Texas** 135:3 successes 102:2 table 50:13 134:20 thanks 19:15 73:21 successful 136:7 taken 28:20 toolkit 119:17 141:10 86:3 128:8 takes 15:9 43:3 44:14 successfully 54:21 **thereof** 31:14 tools 110:18 150:5 76:12 131:17 135:21 **Toomey** 19:3 94:19 things 6:12 7:22 15:5 69:19 149:5 105:17 106:6 sufficient 60:19 18:12 61:15 69:12 talk 13:21 15:13 39:18 74:14 77:10 108:17 top 31:16 **suggest** 114:13 43:6 53:4 86:6 109:19 120:10 145:18,20 topic 5:21 49:6 suit 97:20 124:2 129:1,3 130:14 summarize 11:12 150:4 149:22 totally 69:21 99:7 talked 86:20 87:6 thinks 15:12 120:11 supervise 97:11 125:13,13 141:3 tough 55:15 thinly 82:21 **supervision** 58:17 76:1 talking 6:9 16:15 95:2 third 10:9 14:4 15:17 **supplant** 118:11 track 55:5 113:17 116:11 145:16 tracking 125:21 **supplement** 73:8 75:4 18:9 60:10 146:19 148:12 150:20 thought 10:13 13:1 tractors 117:3 118:10 152:2 86:8 107:11 152:5 trade 2:13,18,20 10:17 supplemental 20:5 Tanzania 55:8 116:16 10:20,21 26:5,5 46:5 24:10 27:14 49:9 thoughtful 5:13 6:2 117:4 7:16 46:6,7,11,12 53:13 81:22 task 135:2,3 143:10 suppliers 47:8 thoughtfully 74:8 101:11 110:14,19 taxpayer 6:18 69:3 71:7 threat 136:1 118:15 134:19 141:5 **supplies** 49:20 50:12 71:19 73:17 108:10 three 13:2,13 21:4 37:5 trading 35:14,15 **supply** 24:5 92:14 93:8 108:12,14 129:2,5 93:17 142:14 57:17,21 65:12 104:5 traditional 49:10 56:4 133:22 support 6:22 7:22 24:4 136:8 151:8 152:1 111:19 taxpayer-backed 41:3 24:10 25:8,9 27:12 throw 99:21 124:6 transaction 14:11.16 taxpayers 82:11 **THURSDAY** 1:7 29:5,10 32:5,12 33:2 32:11 34:16,21 46:18 taxpayers' 40:18 47:17 91:17 100:14 47:21 48:3 51:1 53:22 tighter 54:15 team 5:17 48:12 143:1 56:16 61:12 66:21 Tim 2:8 3:8 9:22 21:9 100:16,20 122:4,10 technical 13:14 14:9 70:14 71:5 73:7,15 122:14,17 126:4 24:16 25:16.21 79:21 68:13 132:20 149:7 81:15 82:16 144:19 141:11 143:19 144:16 83:10 90:17 93:4 Technically 20:19 time-to- 100:12 transactions 24:5 94:14 96:12,18 97:2 technologically 62:22 99:9 100:20 109:7.12 time,- 101:18 27:16,18,20 28:9,16 technology 135:5 timeframe 143:13 31:3.4 33:9.12.14 116:18 118:18 124:17 telecommuting 6:5 timekeeper 12:4 35:22 38:18 39:7.22 136:4 142:20 144:3,4 tell 28:2 43:12 102:11 40:3 44:20 51:1 52:11 timely 68:15 144:8,9,17,22 145:1 temporarily 148:2 times 33:6,7,20 42:20 55:7,21 60:21 66:9,20 152:7 temporary 42:14 49:13 52:6 82:20.21 67:2 70:11,14,17 71:2 **supported** 47:9 54:17 ten 131:14 71:9 76:1 83:10 90:16 109:12 110:7 112:3,6 136:14 tend 83:9 103:16 92:22 93:9,10,19 113:16 115:9,14,14 **supporting** 20:5 34:17 117:21 101:17 123:10 137:19 115:15 117:19 135:15 94:12,22 97:2 99:10 tends 82:20 141:15 142:13 **Timothy** 24:21 101:18 104:4.7 tenure 36:3 108:2 **Tinsley** 1:19 3:17 9:5 109:11 110:19 115:17 **supports** 50:17 56:22 109:21 119:5 62:9,12,14,21 64:22 119:4,6,9,22 123:1 144:11 **tenures** 119:5 supposed 41:5 86:21 68:5,7,12,18 73:21 136:5 142:15 143:21 term 35:22 38:13 53:18 surplus 58:22 101:13 129:8 132:14,16,16 144:4,17,22 147:18 54:12 71:16 86:14 132:19 transactors 47:18 101:16 131:13 147:5 today 5:19 6:1,17 8:8 transcript 106:10 surprise 31:1 terminates 75:10 76:14 transformational 8:7 **surprised** 41:22 121:8 8:18 9:15,21 10:6,14 terms 15:16 23:6 29:5 transformations 7:9 **surveys** 67:18 10:22 11:7,10 12:21 35:18 36:15 38:8 52:8 suspenders 130:8 15:1 16:1,13 40:4,13 transformed 137:14 60:18 66:17 69:14 **SVP** 46:5 translates 112:2 41:7,11 46:9 51:15 79:17 83:6 90:14 91:7 **Sweden** 51:19 52:5 53:4 68:11 74:14 transparency 5:15 8:15 91:10 112:10 121:3 12:14 17:11 40:19 **Swedish** 51:19 102:13,20 103:9 130:17 138:5 105:19 106:7 107:20 70:7 152:8 **switch** 150:10 terribly 31:9 108:22 109:4,16 transparent 44:11 **sworn** 6:10 terrific 148:21 137:3 150:2,22 108:3 137:5 syndicated 144:1 **Terry** 1:20 3:16 9:6 63:2 transpired 51:6 152:11 syndications 52:12 63:7,10 65:5 68:4 today's 16:5 **Treasurer** 2:22 10:3 **system** 97:11 126:22 testimony 15:1 77:9 **told** 6:11 25:5 35:9 44:5 129:12 78:15,16 89:11

treasury 17:6 35:13 140:15 141:17 145:21 treatment 92:4,6 tremendously 111:14 trend 31:17 trends 67:19 tried 15:21 84:2 triple 23:11 72:21 73:1 133:11 trouble 78:21 true 117:20 truly 41:6 114:18 **Trump** 6:11 7:12 trust 59:21 72:3 try 125:7 128:22 150:18 trying 84:1 89:9,11,12 95:3 96:17 102:13,20 105:18 112:19 126:13 126:19 130:12 142:12 turn 25:13 35:4 39:13 57:6 70:20 85:21 128:18 tweaks 145:10 twice 135:1 twin 126:19 two 9:9 37:10 58:1 65:7 65:20 99:4 104:5,14 132:12,13 145:18,20 152:10 **two-hour** 107:9 **types** 59:1 61:16 typical 36:3 typically 32:9 54:4

#### U

55:14 90:7,8

**U.S** 6:22 16:18 17:6 18:3 19:5 20:6 23:17 24:1,11 27:22 34:9,10 44:20 46:15 47:7 48:3 48:3 49:12,18,21 51:2 51:8 53:14,18,22 54:1 54:7 55:11,13,14,17 55:22 56:12,15,18,20 58:22 69:3 71:6,19 72:22 73:7,16 75:2 90:17 93:4 95:18 96:18 108:14 109:12 110:11 111:21.21 112:2 118:17 120:1 124:17 125:9 130:12 134:8 136:14 138:14 138:16 141:15,17 147:13,14 149:15,16 **U.S.-made** 54:13 Ukraine 55:8 ultimate 66:6 **unable** 54:8

unacceptable 147:2 unaffiliated 21:4 65:7,9 uncertain 53:1 **uncertainty** 69:7,7,15 underlying 36:10 44:1 underscore 19:6 39:17 40:4 45:20 135:8 understand 13:20 14:5 29:15 104:6 105:7 113:2,3 114:3 131:12 134:1 140:15 understanding 26:10 86:11,19 91:5 95:2 107:3 119:21 139:4 understood 146:13 undertaking 71:11 underway 10:13 underwriting 28:19 37:10 71:11 unfolding 114:10 unfortunate 117:19 unilateral 148:3 unilaterally 125:8 union 141:8 142:2 unions 141:20 142:6,7 142:17 unique 12:13 16:17,21 48:21 51:11 85:8 **United** 1:1 7:1,19 117:10 137:6,7,12,16 148:1.7 **University** 9:19 40:12 147:10 unpledged 72:8 unprecedented 12:13 16:22 **unauote** 109:9 unreasonable 146:20 unrelated 58:21 unsecured 35:21 71:15 unsurprising 120:7 untested 17:2 unwanted 65:18 upcoming 109:5 updated 61:19 76:6 **USA** 6:14 48:3 115:22 **usage** 112:12 **USDFC** 108:13 use 27:22 35:20 39:2 59:22 72:8 77:19 78:5 83:9 84:6 86:9,12 94:12 96:2 119:17 useful 13:2 usefulness 45:3,14

user 40:1 80:7

usual 31:10,22 41:4

users 51:17

utilize 87:11

#### utilizing 117:1

vacation 68:10 vacuum 113:12 value 47:17 90:13,18 118:21 valued 101:16 values 26:21 31:17,21 variances 101:7 variety 12:21 26:4 51:1 72:7 107:21 126:16 134:15 various 17:6 33:21 34:4 38:8 48:20 49:1 52:14 111:11 venture 17:19 35:17 **Veronique** 2:10 3:11 10:6,8 40:6,10 45:19 114:16 121:13 124:12 131:1 versus 143:12 vet 22:5 vetting 42:7 viable 24:9 38:22 Vice 1:16,18,19,20 2:12 2:19,21 8:19 9:5,6 10:1,2,16 12:9 35:8 68:19 view 71:4.18 73:2 133:6 149:5 viewed 140:2 views 102:14,15 103:11 138:20 virtue 23:3 visit 142:3 **visited** 102:9 vital 135:8 137:18,20 voice 105:5 volatile 39:4 volumes 31:7 123:7 **VP** 44:4 vulnerabilities 69:6,8 73:16 133:1,7,17

#### V

vulnerability 71:18 73:3

wait 4:21 Wall 35:15 wandered 15:20 waning 15:19 wanted 74:13 77:2 117:17 125:11 146:11 150:20 wanting 40:4 wants 93:18 98:12 warehousing 36:4 warrant 73:15

warranted 113:7 wasn't 139:12 waste 114:5 **water** 43:1 **waxing** 15:19 way 16:20 25:21 28:4 28:18 32:18 35:19 44:4 56:9 69:17 74:1 83:21 85:2,6 91:2 118:4 119:13 128:12 138:10 142:5 146:16 150:19 151:4 ways 130:8 131:7 weather 109:20 webinar 1:10 website 6:9,21 88:11 106:10 Webster's 86:6 week 21:2 weeks 36:5 109:2 weigh 124:13 145:14 146:11 welcome 4:5 6:6 19:11 152:15 well- 147:14 well-protected 130:16 well-served 147:12,13 went 90:5 123:5 150:11 152:22 weren't 88:14 113:18 Wharton 1:21 3:15 9:11 57:7.11.12 wheat 14:7 wide 51:1 83:10 wide-body 99:20 100:2 wider 23:5 William 9:17 willing 33:13 106:17 126:17 131:5 win 49:22 55:12,13 wisdom 148:19 wish 6:4 witnessing 120:17 won 117:12 wonder 116:7 wonderful 117:16 wonderfully 152:5 wondering 109:16 word 98:2 words 6:14 77:18 86:10 work 7:10 27:16 28:3 32:9 56:13 76:3 91:7 91:9,20 92:11 108:8 119:11,22 127:11 128:13 152:12 worked 7:6 109:20

workers 147:14 149:16

142:16

working 24:5 56:7 61:4	60:3 74:18 92:22	<b>24</b> 3:8	<b>86</b> 41:22 44:1 98:3
73:5,12 93:7,8,18	114:18 117:8,13	<b>25</b> 3:9 10:10 26:3 39:22	<b>86-</b> 7:14
111:5 139:12 142:15	122:18 125:10 126:6	44:22 56:7 57:1	
works 32:6 85:2 91:2	126:13 127:13 143:12	103:15 104:10,12,16	9
108:7 134:3 146:4	143:22 145:1 150:13	104:17,18,20,22	<b>90</b> 113:13 114:17
world 6:13 7:20 8:3	<b>10:00</b> 1:10	143:12	127:21
16:3,5 48:21 54:16	<b>10:02</b> 4:2	<b>25-</b> 40:20	<b>95</b> 124:20
	<b>10.02</b> 4.2 <b>100</b> 6:1 43:2 71:11	<b>25</b> -40.20 <b>25</b> -year 58:7 103:3,17	93 124.20
95:11,14 110:9	102:12 104:17 129:21		
111:12 116:5 117:12		<b>250,000.00</b> 54:5	
124:21 135:9 148:6	11 64:14	<b>26</b> 27:1,6 70:2 91:22	
150:8,13	<b>114</b> 150:4	<b>27</b> 74:20	
world's 101:20	<b>115</b> 8:3	3	
worth 18:18 26:11 42:2	<b>12</b> 3:6		
113:11,12 130:13	<b>12:00</b> 107:4	<b>3(a)3</b> 37:9	
wouldn't 41:22 117:2	<b>12:30</b> 106:22	<b>3.8</b> 29:21	
118:5	<b>12:55</b> 152:22	<b>30</b> 63:13	
write 78:15	<b>12th</b> 49:5	<b>31</b> 58:6 75:10	
written 74:4	<b>13</b> 1:7 75:13	<b>35</b> 3:10 46:14 118:16	
wrong 107:6	<b>135-billion</b> 8:6		
	<b>14</b> 64:13 136:14	4	
X	<b>144A</b> 37:9	<b>4</b> 41:16	
	<b>15</b> 53:20 59:12 122:21	<b>4-1/2</b> 143:4	
Y	144:2	<b>4(a)(2)</b> 37:9	
year 7:7,15 9:10 30:9	<b>15-20</b> 144:4	<b>4.5</b> 116:17	
40:21 45:2,12 76:5	<b>150</b> 99:21	<b>40</b> 3:11 98:4	
82:3 90:8 129:20	<b>17</b> 13:8	<b>408</b> 39:21	
143:22 149:1	<b>19</b> 3:7 42:2	<b>46</b> 3:12	
years 7:11,12,14 8:22	<b>1969</b> 139:6 146:15,17	<b>48</b> 3:13	
12:11,19 15:20 16:9	<b>1970</b> 5:10 75:2	4th 134:5	
19:9,22 26:2,3,12,19	<b>1970s</b> 13:10		
30:4,22 31:9,12 35:13	<b>1971</b> 5:9 36:20,22 39:10	5	
40:18 44:22 53:20	57:18 58:4 59:12,13	<b>5</b> 90:9 122:18 143:22	
54:9 56:7 57:1 69:20	59:19 60:3,5,11 61:7	147:22	
87:9 88:8 89:2 90:2	61:18 71:20 72:5,10	<b>50</b> 8:22 12:18 15:20	
92:18,21 93:1 103:15	73:3 75:8,9 133:8	19:22 26:12 40:18	
104:5,8,10,13,16,17	<b>1973</b> 140:21	69:20 130:2	
104:18,20,22 114:3	<b>1980</b> 20:7	<b>50-year</b> 102:7,18	
119:14,20,20 122:13	<b>1983</b> 140:21	<b>50-year-old</b> 5:11	
122:18,19,21 126:6	<b>1994</b> 58:5,9 75:9	<b>53</b> 3:14	
126:13,19 127:17	<b>1997</b> 20:8	<b>57</b> 3:15	
132:6 136:8 143:4,12	1301 20.0	<b>37</b> 3.13	
143:12,22,22 144:2,5	2	6	
147:18 148:20 149:11	<b>2</b> 31:13 127:19	<b>6</b> 70:3	
149:14 150:13	<b>20</b> 7:13 8:5 125:1		
		<b>6-year</b> 147:22	
yesterday 5:17 46:22	<b>2000</b> 141:2	<b>60s</b> 16:19	
77:9	<b>2002</b> 61:2,2	<b>63</b> 3:16	
Z	<b>2005</b> 25:5	<b>64</b> 3:17	
	<b>2008</b> 24:7 27:4	7	
<b>Zambia</b> 55:7	<b>2009</b> 20:15	<u> </u>	
zero 44:14	<b>2013</b> 63:12 136:14	<b>7</b> 7:14	
	<b>2014</b> 75:15	<b>7-year</b> 23:22	
0	<b>2015</b> 90:5 123:5	<b>70s</b> 16:19	
<b>08</b> 111:8	<b>2017</b> 20:15	<b>74</b> 3:19	
	<b>2019</b> 6:11 7:13 62:1		
1	63:13 123:5	8	
<b>1.5</b> 31:13	<b>2020</b> 1:7 30:9 58:6	<b>8</b> 58:5 64:13	
<b>1:00</b> 107:4,8	74:20 75:10,13	<b>800</b> 82:3	
<b>10</b> 7:12 16:9 30:8 54:5	136:13	<b>80s</b> 15:15 146:18	
	1	i	I .

## ${\color{red} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E}}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Board Meeting

Before: Import-Export Bank of the United States

Date: 08-13-20

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &